Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 6 Issue 2 (2026)

Research Outline for Comparative Study: E-Commerce Vs Quick Commerce Strategy and Operations with Changing Impact on Consumer Behaviour

Mr. Shridhar Shahu Gaikwad

Subject Matter Expert, Indian Institute of Business Management & Studies, Mumbai

shridhar.gaikwad1609@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of digital retail has given rise to divergent commerce models that differ fundamentally in their strategic priorities, operational architectures, and behavioral outcomes. While traditional e-commerce has long emphasized scale efficiency, centralized fulfillment, and planned consumption, the recent emergence of quick commerce introduces a contrasting paradigm centered on ultra-fast delivery, hyperlocal inventory placement, and immediacy as a core value proposition. This review article presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of e-commerce and quick commerce strategies and operations, with particular focus on their changing impact on consumer behaviour. Drawing on interdisciplinary literature from operations management, strategic management, marketing, and consumer psychology, the study synthesizes existing research to examine how fulfillment speed reshapes value creation mechanisms, cost structures, and consumption patterns. The analysis demonstrates that delivery time functions as a structural variable rather than a marginal service attribute, triggering nonlinear shifts in consumer expectations, purchase frequency, impulse buying, and loyalty formation once critical temporal thresholds are crossed. The review further highlights the operational trade-offs associated with decentralized fulfillment, including increased cost intensity, labor dependence, and sustainability challenges, while identifying hybrid fulfillment strategies as a potential pathway for balancing responsiveness and economic viability. By integrating strategic, operational, and behavioral perspectives, this article contributes to a more nuanced understanding of digital commerce evolution and offers theoretical insights and managerial implications for firms navigating increasingly time-sensitive consumer markets.

Keywords: E-commerce; Quick commerce; Digital retail strategy; Operations management; Ultra-fast delivery; Consumer behaviour

1. Introduction

The rapid digitization of retail ecosystems has fundamentally reshaped how consumers search for, evaluate, purchase, and receive goods. Over the last two decades, electronic commerce has transitioned from a novel alternative to brick-and-mortar retail into a dominant commercial paradigm, redefining supply chains, pricing mechanisms, and consumer expectations. Traditional e-commerce models, characterized by centralized warehousing, scheduled delivery windows, and cost-efficient scale economies, have become deeply embedded in global retail infrastructure. However, the last few years have witnessed the emergence of a new and disruptive format—quick commerce—which emphasizes hyperlocal fulfillment, ultra-fast delivery, and immediacy as its core value proposition. This transformation signals not merely a logistical innovation but a deeper strategic and behavioral shift in digital consumption patterns. E-commerce, in its conventional form, evolved primarily around convenience, assortment breadth, and price competitiveness. Consumers were willing to trade off waiting time in exchange for broader choice and lower prices, leading to the dominance of marketplace platforms and large-scale fulfillment centers. Over time, technological advancements in inventory management, predictive analytics, and last-mile logistics reduced delivery times from weeks to days, and eventually to same-day delivery in select urban markets. Yet, despite these improvements, the fundamental operating logic of e-commerce remained centered on planned purchases, batch fulfillment, and relatively predictable demand cycles [1].

In contrast, quick commerce represents a paradigm shift that places speed at the center of the consumer value equation. Defined by delivery windows often ranging from ten minutes to two hours, quick commerce relies on decentralized micro-fulfillment centers, algorithm-driven demand forecasting, and dense urban logistics networks. Rather than competing on assortment size or lowest price, quick commerce platforms compete on immediacy, reliability, and frictionless consumer experience. This model has gained particular traction in categories such as groceries, personal care, and daily essentials, where immediacy and convenience significantly influence purchase decisions. The rise of quick commerce has been accelerated by changes in urban lifestyles, increased smartphone penetration, advancements in

artificial intelligence, and the normalization of on-demand service expectations fostered by ride-hailing and food delivery platforms. The strategic and operational divergence between traditional e-commerce and quick commerce has profound implications for firms and consumers alike. From an operational perspective, the shift from centralized to hyperlocal fulfillment introduces new cost structures, inventory risks, and labor dynamics. From a strategic standpoint, firms must reassess competitive priorities, balancing speed, cost efficiency, scalability, and sustainability. Most importantly, from a consumer behavior perspective, the emergence of quick commerce alters not only how quickly consumers receive products, but also how frequently they purchase, what categories they prioritize, and how they perceive value, satisfaction, and brand loyalty. Consumer behavior in digital commerce environments has long been studied through lenses such as perceived usefulness, ease of use, trust, and price sensitivity. However, the quick commerce model introduces new behavioral triggers that challenge traditional assumptions. The promise of near-instant gratification reduces the cognitive effort associated with planning and stockpiling, encouraging impulse buying and increasing purchase frequency. Time sensitivity becomes a dominant decision variable, often outweighing price considerations. Moreover, the psychological framing of quick commerce as a "service" rather than a "retail transaction" influences expectations around reliability, responsiveness, and customer support. These shifts necessitate a re-examination of established consumer behavior models within the context of ultra-fast digital fulfilment [2].

Despite the rapid expansion of quick commerce platforms globally, academic literature remains fragmented, with many studies focusing either on traditional e-commerce efficiency or on last-mile logistics optimization in isolation. Comparative analyses that integrate strategy, operations, and consumer behavior across both models remain limited. Existing research often treats delivery speed as a linear enhancement of e-commerce performance, rather than as a structurally transformative variable that reshapes operational architecture and consumer psychology. As a result, there is a critical gap in holistic understanding of how e-commerce and quick commerce differ not only in execution but in their fundamental strategic logic and behavioral outcomes [3]. This article seeks to address this gap by offering a comprehensive comparative analysis of e-commerce and quick commerce strategies and operations, with particular emphasis on their evolving impact on consumer behavior. Rather than evaluating these models as competing formats in a zero-sum framework, the study positions them as distinct yet increasingly interrelated paradigms within the broader digital commerce ecosystem. By synthesizing insights from operations management, strategic management, marketing, and consumer behavior literature, the article aims to develop an integrated understanding of how fulfillment speed, operational design, and strategic priorities jointly shape consumer decision-making and market outcomes.

The objectives of this review are threefold. First, it aims to examine the strategic foundations of e-commerce and quick commerce, highlighting differences in value propositions, competitive positioning, and growth trajectories. Second, it analyzes the operational architectures underpinning both models, including inventory management, logistics design, technology deployment, and cost structures. Third, it explores how these strategic and operational differences influence consumer behavior, focusing on purchase frequency, category preferences, satisfaction, loyalty, and perceptions of value. By addressing these dimensions collectively, the article contributes to both academic theory and managerial practice. Methodologically, the review adopts a structured narrative approach, drawing on peer-reviewed journal articles, industry reports, and empirical studies published over the last two decades, with particular emphasis on recent research addressing on-demand and ultra-fast delivery models. Comparative tables and conceptual graphs are used throughout the article to synthesize findings, illustrate contrasts, and highlight emerging patterns. Rather than presenting fragmented insights, the analysis emphasizes thematic integration, allowing for deeper interpretation of how strategic and operational choices translate into behavioral outcomes. The remainder of this article is structured as follows. The next section presents a comprehensive review of the literature on the evolution of e-commerce and the emergence of quick commerce, situating both models within established theoretical frameworks. This is followed by a detailed comparative analysis of strategies and operations, supported by tables and graphical representations. Subsequent sections examine consumer behavior dynamics across both models, discussing how delivery speed and fulfillment design reshape purchasing patterns and expectations. The article concludes with a discussion of managerial implications, theoretical contributions, limitations, and directions for future research.

2. Literature Review: Evolution of E-Commerce and the Rise of Quick Commerce

The academic study of electronic commerce has evolved alongside technological advancements and changing market structures, reflecting the progressive digitization of retail environments. Early literature on e-commerce primarily

conceptualized it as a technology-enabled extension of traditional retailing, emphasizing efficiency gains through disintermediation, reduced transaction costs, and expanded market reach. Scholars initially focused on issues such as website usability, electronic payment systems, and consumer trust in online transactions, reflecting the novelty and perceived risk associated with purchasing goods without physical inspection. As internet penetration increased and digital infrastructure matured, research attention gradually shifted from adoption barriers to performance optimization and strategic differentiation. Traditional e-commerce models are rooted in centralized fulfillment architectures, where inventory is stored in large-scale warehouses strategically located to minimize national or regional distribution costs. Operations management literature has consistently highlighted the role of economies of scale in enabling cost leadership strategies within this model. By aggregating demand across wide geographic areas, e-commerce firms have been able to optimize procurement, inventory turnover, and transportation efficiency. This structural design has been extensively analyzed through supply chain management frameworks, which emphasize inventory pooling, demand forecasting accuracy, and coordination across upstream and downstream partners [3].

From a strategic perspective, the dominant narrative in e-commerce research has centered on competitive advantage derived from assortment breadth, price transparency, and platform network effects. Marketplace-based e-commerce models, in particular, have attracted scholarly attention due to their ability to leverage third-party sellers to expand product variety without proportional increases in inventory risk. Platform economics literature has examined how two-sided market dynamics, data-driven personalization, and algorithmic pricing reinforce market dominance, often leading to winner-takes-most outcomes. Within this context, delivery speed was traditionally treated as a secondary differentiator, constrained by logistical realities and balanced against cost considerations. Consumer behavior research in traditional e-commerce environments has largely focused on planned purchasing behavior. Studies consistently indicate that online shoppers engage in extensive information search, price comparison, and deliberation prior to purchase, facilitated by digital tools such as reviews, recommendations, and comparison engines. Delivery time, while relevant, was typically framed as a hygiene factor rather than a primary motivator, with consumers demonstrating tolerance for waiting periods ranging from several days to a week, provided that reliability and cost savings were assured. This behavioral pattern aligned well with the operational logic of centralized fulfillment and batch processing [4].

The gradual acceleration of delivery expectations marked a transitional phase in e-commerce evolution. The introduction of same-day and next-day delivery services represented a strategic response to intensifying competition and rising consumer impatience. Scholars have noted that these enhancements required significant investment in logistics infrastructure, including regional distribution centers, last-mile partnerships, and advanced routing algorithms. Importantly, research during this phase began to recognize delivery speed as a strategic variable with measurable effects on conversion rates, customer satisfaction, and repeat purchase intentions. However, even at this stage, delivery acceleration remained embedded within the broader e-commerce paradigm rather than constituting a distinct operational model.

The emergence of quick commerce represents a qualitative break from this trajectory rather than a simple extension of it. Academic and practitioner-oriented literature increasingly characterizes quick commerce as a distinct retail format, defined by ultra-fast delivery, hyperlocal inventory placement, and a narrow but high-frequency product assortment. Unlike traditional e-commerce, which optimizes for scale and efficiency, quick commerce optimizes for immediacy and responsiveness. This shift reflects broader societal trends toward instant gratification, time scarcity, and the prioritization of convenience over cost minimization. Operations-focused studies on quick commerce emphasize the centrality of micro-fulfillment centers, often referred to as dark stores, which are strategically located within dense urban neighborhoods. These facilities enable rapid picking and dispatch, significantly reducing last-mile delivery time. However, the literature also highlights the operational complexity and cost intensity of this model. Maintaining inventory across multiple decentralized locations increases holding costs, heightens demand uncertainty, and complicates replenishment processes. Scholars have drawn attention to the trade-offs inherent in this structure, particularly the tension between speed and profitability [5].

From a strategic management perspective, quick commerce challenges established notions of competitive advantage in retail. Rather than competing primarily on price or assortment, quick commerce firms differentiate themselves through service-level attributes, particularly delivery speed and reliability. This aligns with service-dominant logic, which frames value creation as a co-produced experience rather than a simple exchange of goods. Academic analyses suggest that

quick commerce platforms increasingly resemble service providers, with consumer expectations shaped by benchmarks set in adjacent industries such as ride-hailing and food delivery. The literature on consumer behavior in quick commerce contexts, while still emerging, points to significant departures from traditional e-commerce patterns. Studies indicate that ultra-fast delivery reduces the perceived cost of procrastination, leading consumers to delay purchasing decisions until needs become immediate. This behavioral shift results in higher purchase frequency but lower basket sizes, as consumers rely on quick commerce platforms for incremental replenishment rather than bulk purchasing. Impulse buying behavior is also amplified, driven by reduced waiting time and simplified decision processes. Psychological research contributes additional insight into these dynamics by linking delivery speed to reward mechanisms and temporal discounting. The near-instant fulfillment offered by quick commerce platforms aligns with consumers' preference for immediate rewards, particularly in high-stress urban environments. This has implications for habit formation and platform dependency, as repeated exposure to ultra-fast service conditions consumers to recalibrate their expectations of acceptable waiting time. As a result, quick commerce may exert spillover effects on traditional e-commerce, raising baseline expectations for delivery performance across the industry [6].

Despite growing interest, comparative analyses that systematically integrate e-commerce and quick commerce within a unified framework remain scarce. Much of the existing literature treats quick commerce as a niche or experimental format, focusing on isolated operational challenges or regional case studies. Conversely, traditional e-commerce research often abstracts away from time sensitivity, assuming relatively stable delivery expectations. This separation limits theoretical development and obscures the interdependencies between the two models, particularly as incumbent e-commerce firms increasingly adopt hybrid strategies that incorporate elements of quick commerce. Another notable gap in the literature concerns sustainability and long-term viability. While traditional e-commerce has been criticized for its environmental impact, particularly in relation to packaging and transportation emissions, quick commerce introduces additional concerns related to delivery density, labor conditions, and urban congestion. Academic discourse on these issues is still nascent, with limited empirical evidence on comparative environmental and social outcomes. Integrating sustainability considerations into the comparative analysis of e-commerce and quick commerce represents an important avenue for future research. Overall, the literature reveals a fragmented yet evolving understanding of digital commerce models. Traditional e-commerce is well-established within academic frameworks, with robust theories explaining its strategic logic and consumer behavior implications. Quick commerce, by contrast, is still in a formative stage, with research dispersed across logistics, marketing, and urban studies domains. This review seeks to bridge these streams by positioning quick commerce not as an anomaly but as a structurally distinct yet interconnected evolution of e-commerce, warranting integrated strategic, operational, and behavioral analysis [7].

3. Comparative Analysis of E-Commerce and Quick Commerce Strategies and Operations

The strategic and operational architectures of e-commerce and quick commerce reflect fundamentally different assumptions about consumer priorities, demand patterns, and value creation mechanisms. While both models operate within the broader domain of digital retail, their design logics diverge significantly in terms of fulfillment structures, cost optimization strategies, technological deployment, and performance metrics. Understanding these differences requires moving beyond surface-level distinctions such as delivery speed and examining how strategic intent shapes operational execution and, in turn, consumer experience. Traditional e-commerce strategies have historically emphasized scale, efficiency, and assortment breadth as the primary sources of competitive advantage. These strategies are underpinned by centralized fulfillment systems designed to aggregate demand and exploit economies of scale. Large distribution centers enable firms to consolidate inventory, negotiate favorable procurement terms, and optimize transportation routes over long distances. From an operations management perspective, this model prioritizes cost minimization per unit delivered, often accepting longer lead times as a rational trade-off. Delivery speed improvements, when pursued, are typically incremental and layered onto existing infrastructure rather than fundamentally reshaping it. Quick commerce strategies, by contrast, are built around immediacy and responsiveness, positioning delivery speed not as an operational outcome but as the core strategic promise. This strategic orientation necessitates a radically different operational configuration, characterized by decentralized inventory placement, dense urban coverage, and real-time decision-making capabilities. Rather than seeking to minimize average cost across the network, quick commerce firms focus on minimizing time-to-customer, even at the expense of higher per-order costs. This shift reflects a strategic belief that consumers are willing to trade price sensitivity for time savings, particularly in high-frequency, low-involvement product categories [8].

A comparative examination of business model architecture illustrates these strategic differences clearly. Table 1 presents a synthesized comparison of key strategic and operational dimensions across traditional e-commerce and quick commerce models, drawing on findings from logistics, strategy, and retail operations literature.

Table 1: Comparative Strategic and Operational Architecture of E-Commerce and Quick Commerce

Dimension	Traditional E-Commerce	Quick Commerce
Strategic focus	Cost efficiency and assortment breadth	Speed, convenience, and immediacy
Fulfillment structure	Centralized warehouses	Decentralized micro-fulfillment centers
Inventory strategy	Inventory pooling	Inventory fragmentation
Delivery window	24–72 hours or longer	10–120 minutes
Product assortment	Wide, long-tail	Narrow, high-frequency
Cost structure	Lower variable cost per order	Higher variable cost per order
Demand pattern	Planned and predictable	Impulsive and time-sensitive
Performance metrics	Order accuracy, cost efficiency	Delivery time, service reliability

The implications of these architectural differences are profound. In traditional e-commerce, inventory pooling reduces safety stock requirements and improves forecasting accuracy by smoothing demand variability across regions. This enables firms to maintain high service levels with relatively lean inventories. Conversely, quick commerce's reliance on multiple micro-fulfillment centers increases exposure to demand uncertainty at the local level, requiring sophisticated forecasting algorithms and frequent replenishment cycles. Operations literature highlights that the success of this model depends heavily on data-driven demand sensing and rapid supplier integration. Technology plays a central enabling role in both models, but its application differs in emphasis and scope. In traditional e-commerce, technology investments have historically focused on front-end platforms, recommendation systems, and back-end supply chain optimization tools aimed at maximizing throughput and reducing operational waste. Advanced warehouse automation, robotics, and batch processing systems are deployed to handle large order volumes efficiently. The operational tempo in this model is measured in hours and days rather than minutes, allowing for optimization through planning and scheduling. In quick commerce, technology functions as a real-time orchestration mechanism. Order management systems must dynamically allocate inventory across micro-fulfillment centers, optimize picker routes within confined spaces, and assign delivery partners within seconds of order placement. Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms are extensively used to predict neighborhood-level demand, adjust pricing and promotions dynamically, and manage delivery fleet availability. The operational tempo is compressed, leaving minimal room for manual intervention or error correction. As a result, system reliability and redundancy become critical determinants of service quality. The contrast between the two models can be further illustrated through a conceptual representation of operational trade-offs. Figure 1, conceptually described here, depicts the relationship between delivery speed and cost per order across e-commerce and quick commerce models. In this graph, the horizontal axis represents delivery time, while the vertical axis represents cost per order. Traditional e-commerce occupies the lower-cost, longer-delivery-time region of the curve, benefiting from scale efficiencies. Quick commerce appears in the high-cost, ultra-short-delivery-time region, reflecting the premium associated with speed and localized fulfillment. The curve illustrates diminishing returns, indicating that incremental reductions in delivery time beyond a certain threshold result in disproportionately higher costs. Labor dynamics constitute another critical area of divergence. Traditional e-commerce relies heavily on warehouse labor optimized for batch picking and standardized workflows, often supplemented by automation. Labor costs are distributed across large order volumes, reducing per-unit impact. In contrast, quick commerce is labor-intensive at multiple points, including picking, packing, and last-mile delivery, all of which occur under tight time constraints. Research indicates that labor productivity in quick commerce is highly sensitive to order density and geographic concentration

n, making urban market selection a strategic necessity rather than a convenience. These operational differences translate directly into distinct consumer experiences. Traditional e-commerce encourages planned purchasing behavior, with consumers optimizing baskets to reduce delivery costs or qualify for free shipping thresholds. The waiting period between order placement and delivery reinforces deliberative decision-making and comparison shopping. Quick commerce, by minimizing waiting time, reduces the psychological cost of small, frequent purchases. This shift has been shown to alter not only purchase frequency but also category usage patterns, with consumers increasingly relying on quick commerce platforms for immediate needs rather than routine stock-ups. From a strategic standpoint, scalability represents a key tension between the two models. Traditional e-commerce scales horizontally by expanding warehouse capacity and vertically by onboarding additional sellers, benefiting from network effects and standardized processes. Quick commerce scalability is constrained by urban density, real estate availability, and labor supply, leading scholars to question the long-term profitability and geographic expansion potential of the model. Hybrid strategies are increasingly observed, where incumbent e-commerce firms selectively deploy quick commerce capabilities in high-demand urban zones while maintaining centralized fulfillment for broader coverage. The comparative analysis also reveals differing risk profiles. Traditional e-commerce faces risks related to inventory obsolescence, demand forecasting errors at scale, and competitive price pressure. Quick commerce, while less exposed to long-tail inventory risk due to limited assortments, faces heightened exposure to operational disruptions, including delivery delays, labor shortages, and cost inflation. These risks have strategic implications for pricing models, partnership structures, and capital investment decisions. Overall, the comparison between e-commerce and quick commerce strategies and operations underscores that delivery speed is not merely an operational variable but a strategic choice with cascading effects across the value chain. The two models represent distinct equilibria within the digital commerce landscape, each optimized for different consumer needs and market conditions. Understanding these differences is essential for interpreting their divergent impacts on consumer behavior, which forms the focus of the next section [9].

4. Changing Impact of E-Commerce and Quick Commerce on Consumer Behaviour

The strategic and operational distinctions between traditional e-commerce and quick commerce manifest most visibly in their differential effects on consumer behaviour. While both models operate through digital interfaces and rely on similar technological foundations, the temporal structure of fulfillment fundamentally alters how consumers perceive value, make decisions, and form habits. Delivery speed, in this context, functions not merely as a service attribute but as a behavioral catalyst that reshapes cognitive processes, emotional responses, and consumption routines. Consumer behaviour in traditional e-commerce environments has historically been characterized by deliberation, planning, and price sensitivity. The temporal distance between purchase and consumption encourages consumers to engage in extended information search, compare alternatives, and optimize basket composition. Empirical studies consistently show that consumers using traditional e-commerce platforms tend to consolidate purchases to reduce delivery fees or meet minimum order thresholds, resulting in larger basket sizes and lower purchase frequency. This behaviour aligns with classical consumer decision-making models, which emphasize rational evaluation, perceived risk reduction, and post-purchase waiting as integral components of the consumption process. Quick commerce disrupts this established pattern by collapsing the temporal gap between desire and fulfillment. The promise of near-instant delivery significantly reduces the perceived cost of deferring purchases, encouraging consumers to postpone decision-making until needs become immediate. This temporal compression alters the psychological framing of consumption, shifting it from a planned activity to a reactive one. As a result, consumers increasingly rely on quick commerce platforms for just-in-time replenishment rather than anticipatory stockpiling. Research indicates that this behavioural shift leads to higher purchase frequency, smaller average order values, and increased reliance on platform availability for daily needs. The role of immediacy in shaping consumer expectations is particularly significant. In traditional e-commerce, delivery reliability often outweighs delivery speed in determining satisfaction, as consumers adjust expectations around longer lead times. In contrast, quick commerce consumers exhibit heightened sensitivity to even minor delays, as the value proposition is explicitly anchored in speed. This heightened sensitivity reflects the service-dominant logic underlying quick commerce, where consumers evaluate performance not only in terms of product quality but also in terms of responsiveness and temporal precision. Consequently, satisfaction in quick commerce contexts is more volatile, with service failures exerting a disproportionate negative impact on perceived value and trust. Impulse buying behaviour represents another critical area of divergence. Traditional e-commerce platforms facilitate impulse purchases primarily through recommendation algorithms, promotional cues, and social proof mechanisms such as reviews and ratings. However, the delayed

gratification inherent in longer delivery windows imposes a natural brake on impulsivity. Quick commerce removes this constraint, enabling consumers to act on momentary desires with minimal friction. Behavioral economics research suggests that reducing waiting time amplifies present-biased preferences, making consumers more susceptible to impulse-driven decisions. This effect is particularly pronounced in categories such as snacks, beverages, and personal care items, where immediate consumption enhances perceived utility [10].

The comparative impact of these models on key consumer behaviour dimensions is summarized in Table 2, which synthesizes findings from marketing, consumer psychology, and digital retail studies.

Table 2: Comparative Impact of E-Commerce and Quick Commerce on Consumer Behaviour

Behavioural Dimension	Traditional E-Commerce	Quick Commerce
Purchase planning	High	Low
Purchase frequency	Moderate	High
Basket size	Large	Small
Price sensitivity	High	Moderate to low
Impulse buying	Limited	High
Delivery expectation	Tolerant of delays	Highly time-sensitive
Loyalty drivers	Price, assortment, reliability	Speed, convenience, availability

Beyond transactional metrics, quick commerce also influences habit formation and platform dependency. Repeated exposure to ultra-fast fulfillment conditions consumers to recalibrate their expectations of acceptable waiting time, not only within quick commerce but across digital retail more broadly. This recalibration has spillover effects, increasing dissatisfaction with slower delivery models and placing pressure on traditional e-commerce platforms to accelerate fulfillment. Habit formation theories suggest that behaviors reinforced by immediate rewards are more likely to become automatic, reducing cognitive effort and increasing switching costs. In this sense, quick commerce platforms risk becoming embedded in daily routines, particularly among urban consumers with high time constraints. The impact on consumer loyalty further highlights the contrast between the two models. Traditional e-commerce loyalty is often transactional and price-driven, supported by subscription programs, discounts, and broad assortment access. Consumers frequently maintain accounts across multiple platforms, switching opportunistically based on promotions. In quick commerce, loyalty tends to be more experiential and context-dependent. Consumers demonstrate strong attachment to platforms that consistently deliver within promised time windows, even if prices are higher. However, this loyalty is fragile, as service failures can quickly erode trust given the heightened expectations surrounding speed. A conceptual representation of these dynamics is provided through Figure 2, described here as a comparative graph plotting delivery time on the horizontal axis and consumer satisfaction on the vertical axis. The curve for traditional e-commerce shows a relatively flat slope across longer delivery times, indicating tolerance and gradual satisfaction decline. In contrast, the quick commerce curve is steep, with satisfaction peaking at ultra-short delivery times and declining sharply with even minor delays. This graphical contrast illustrates how delivery speed functions as a threshold variable in quick commerce, rather than a linear contributor to satisfaction.

The changing nature of consumer trust also warrants attention. In traditional e-commerce, trust is built through consistent product quality, secure payment systems, and transparent return policies. Quick commerce introduces additional trust dimensions related to availability accuracy and real-time communication. Consumers expect that items displayed as available will be delivered without substitution or delay, and deviations from this expectation can undermine credibility. This heightened trust requirement places additional pressure on inventory accuracy and system integration within quick commerce operations. Importantly, consumer heterogeneity plays a significant role in moderating these effects. Younger, urban, and time-constrained consumers exhibit stronger preferences for quick commerce, valuing convenience over price

savings. In contrast, price-sensitive and rural consumers continue to favor traditional e-commerce models. This segmentation suggests that the two models are not perfect substitutes but serve overlapping yet distinct consumer needs. As a result, hybrid consumption patterns are increasingly observed, with consumers using traditional e-commerce for planned, bulk purchases and quick commerce for immediate or forgotten items. Overall, the behavioural impact of quick commerce extends beyond incremental convenience improvements, representing a structural shift in how consumers interact with digital retail platforms. By reshaping expectations around time, effort, and gratification, quick commerce challenges long-standing assumptions in consumer behaviour theory and compels a re-evaluation of strategic priorities in digital commerce. These behavioural transformations also raise important questions regarding sustainability, long-term profitability, and societal impact, which are addressed in the following section [11].

5. Operational Challenges, Sustainability, and Long-Term Viability of E-Commerce and Quick Commerce

While both traditional e-commerce and quick commerce have demonstrated their capacity to reshape retail consumption, their long-term viability depends on the extent to which operational challenges can be managed in economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable ways. The acceleration of fulfillment speeds, particularly in quick commerce, has intensified pressures across logistics networks, labor systems, and urban environments, raising questions about scalability and resilience that extend beyond short-term growth metrics. Traditional e-commerce faces a well-documented set of operational challenges primarily associated with scale and complexity. As platforms expand their product assortments and geographic reach, inventory management becomes increasingly intricate, particularly in categories characterized by short product life cycles or volatile demand. Centralized warehousing, while efficient in cost terms, increases exposure to systemic disruptions such as natural disasters, labor strikes, or transportation bottlenecks. Research has shown that even highly optimized fulfillment centers are vulnerable to demand spikes during peak periods, leading to delayed deliveries and reduced service levels. However, the predictability inherent in planned purchasing behaviour allows traditional e-commerce firms greater flexibility in managing these disruptions through buffer inventory and capacity planning. Quick commerce, by contrast, operates under conditions of continuous urgency, where operational slack is minimal and tolerance for delay is extremely low. The decentralization of inventory across numerous micro-fulfillment centers increases fixed costs related to rent, utilities, and staffing, while also amplifying replenishment complexity. Each node in the network must maintain high availability across a limited assortment, making accurate demand forecasting at the neighborhood level a critical success factor. Even minor forecasting errors can result in stockouts or waste, both of which undermine consumer trust and economic performance. Academic studies emphasize that the margin for error in quick commerce operations is significantly narrower than in traditional e-commerce, increasing exposure to operational risk. Labor constitutes a particularly acute challenge for quick commerce models. Ultra-fast delivery relies heavily on human labor for picking, packing, and last-mile delivery, often under time pressure and with limited scope for automation. While traditional e-commerce has increasingly invested in robotics and mechanization to improve labor productivity, the spatial constraints of micro-fulfillment centers limit the feasibility of similar solutions in quick commerce. High employee turnover, regulatory scrutiny, and rising wage expectations in urban markets further exacerbate cost pressures. The sustainability of this labor-intensive model remains a subject of debate in the literature, with some scholars arguing that long-term viability depends on breakthroughs in automation and workforce optimization. Environmental sustainability represents another critical dimension of comparison. Traditional e-commerce has been widely criticized for its environmental footprint, particularly with respect to packaging waste and carbon emissions associated with long-distance transportation. However, centralized fulfillment allows for route optimization and high drop densities in last-mile delivery, which can mitigate emissions on a per-order basis. Empirical studies suggest that when delivery routes are well-optimized, e-commerce can be more environmentally efficient than individual consumer trips to physical stores, particularly in suburban and rural contexts.

Quick commerce presents a more complex sustainability profile. On one hand, hyperlocal fulfillment reduces delivery distances, potentially lowering emissions per trip. On the other hand, the high frequency of small orders and the need for rapid dispatch often result in lower drop densities and increased use of two-wheelers or vans making single-order trips. This can lead to higher emissions per unit delivered, particularly in congested urban environments. Furthermore, the reliance on disposable packaging to protect goods during rapid delivery cycles compounds environmental concerns. The literature increasingly calls for nuanced, context-specific assessments of quick commerce sustainability rather than generalized conclusions. These sustainability challenges are closely linked to economic viability. Traditional e-commerce profitability has historically been driven by scale, with margins improving as fixed costs are spread across growing order

volumes. Subscription models and loyalty programs further enhance lifetime customer value, offsetting thin margins on individual transactions. While profitability remains uneven across markets and firms, the underlying economic logic of traditional e-commerce is relatively well-established and supported by decades of operational refinement. Quick commerce profitability, in contrast, remains uncertain. High variable costs per order, intense competition, and consumer resistance to premium pricing constrain margin expansion. Many quick commerce platforms rely on venture capital subsidies to fund growth, raising questions about their ability to achieve sustainable profitability in the absence of continued external funding. Scholars have highlighted that achieving break-even in quick commerce often requires exceptionally high order density, strong consumer loyalty, and efficient cost management, conditions that are difficult to replicate across diverse geographic markets [12].

Table 3 synthesizes key operational and sustainability challenges associated with both models, drawing on existing empirical and conceptual research.

Table 3: Comparative Operational and Sustainability Challenges

Dimension	Traditional E-Commerce	Quick Commerce
Cost structure	Scale-driven cost efficiency	High variable cost per order
Labor intensity	Moderate, increasingly automated	High, labor-dependent
Environmental impact	Long-distance emissions, packaging	High-frequency delivery emissions
Scalability	High, geographically flexible	Limited by urban density
Profitability horizon	Medium to long term	Uncertain, highly market-specific

The long-term viability of both models is also shaped by regulatory and societal factors. Traditional e-commerce faces increasing scrutiny related to market concentration, data privacy, and taxation. Quick commerce platforms encounter additional regulatory challenges related to zoning laws, labor protections, and urban traffic management. These regulatory pressures can significantly influence operating costs and strategic choices, particularly in cities where local governments seek to balance innovation with quality-of-life considerations. From a strategic perspective, these challenges have prompted experimentation with hybrid models that combine elements of both e-commerce and quick commerce. Incumbent e-commerce firms increasingly deploy micro-fulfillment centers in high-demand urban areas while maintaining centralized warehouses for broader coverage. This hybridization reflects an attempt to capture the behavioural benefits of quick commerce without fully absorbing its operational risks. Academic discourse suggests that such hybrid strategies may represent a more sustainable equilibrium, allowing firms to segment consumers based on urgency and willingness to pay. Ultimately, the sustainability and long-term viability of e-commerce and quick commerce cannot be assessed solely through financial metrics. Consumer expectations, regulatory environments, and societal values play equally important roles in shaping outcomes. As delivery speed becomes normalized, the strategic challenge shifts from differentiation through immediacy to balancing convenience with responsibility. This evolving landscape sets the stage for a broader discussion of strategic implications and future research directions, which is addressed in the concluding sections of this article [14].

6. Discussion, Strategic Implications, and Future Research Directions

The comparative analysis of traditional e-commerce and quick commerce strategies and operations reveals that the distinction between these models extends far beyond delivery speed. Rather, they represent fundamentally different logics of value creation, each optimized around distinct consumer needs, operational constraints, and competitive priorities. The findings synthesized across the preceding sections underscore that quick commerce is not merely a faster variant of e-commerce but a structurally distinct retail paradigm with unique implications for strategy, operations, and consumer behaviour.

From a theoretical standpoint, the analysis challenges linear interpretations of digital commerce evolution that frame delivery acceleration as a natural progression toward ever-greater efficiency. Instead, the evidence suggests the existence of multiple strategic equilibria within the digital retail landscape. Traditional e-commerce occupies an equilibrium characterized by scale efficiency, planned consumption, and price-based competition, while quick commerce occupies an equilibrium defined by immediacy, responsiveness, and service-centric value propositions. These equilibria are sustained by different operational architectures and reinforced by distinct consumer behaviour patterns, making convergence neither automatic nor inevitable. One of the most significant theoretical contributions of this review lies in reconceptualizing delivery time as a threshold variable rather than a continuous performance metric. In traditional e-commerce, incremental reductions in delivery time produce marginal gains in consumer satisfaction, consistent with established service quality models. In quick commerce, however, delivery speed functions as a categorical differentiator, where crossing a temporal threshold fundamentally alters consumer expectations and decision-making processes. This insight has implications for consumer behaviour theory, suggesting that time-based attributes can trigger nonlinear behavioural responses that are inadequately captured by conventional utility-based models. The findings also contribute to operations strategy literature by highlighting the limits of efficiency-centric optimization in time-compressed service environments. Quick commerce demonstrates that operational excellence in ultra-fast delivery contexts depends less on minimizing average costs and more on managing variability, reliability, and real-time coordination. This shift challenges traditional performance measurement frameworks and calls for greater integration between operations management and service design perspectives. It also reinforces the importance of aligning operational choices with clearly articulated strategic intent, as attempts to pursue speed and scale simultaneously often result in structural inefficiencies. From a consumer behaviour perspective, the review underscores the role of immediacy in reshaping purchasing routines, habit formation, and perceptions of value. Quick commerce accelerates the transition from deliberative to habitual consumption by reducing cognitive effort and reinforcing present-biased preferences. This has implications for long-term consumer welfare, as well as for platform dependency and switching behaviour. At the same time, the persistence of planned purchasing in traditional e-commerce contexts suggests that consumers do not uniformly prioritize speed, but rather segment their consumption based on urgency, category characteristics, and situational constraints. This nuanced understanding counters deterministic narratives that predict the wholesale displacement of traditional e-commerce by faster alternatives.

The managerial implications of these findings are substantial. For practitioners, the analysis highlights the risks associated with adopting quick commerce capabilities without a clear understanding of their strategic and operational consequences. Speed-driven models require dense demand, precise forecasting, and robust real-time systems to function effectively. Firms that attempt to graft quick commerce onto centralized e-commerce infrastructures without reconfiguring underlying processes risk eroding margins and service quality. Conversely, firms that remain overly committed to centralized models may struggle to meet rising consumer expectations in high-urgency categories and urban markets. Hybrid strategies emerge as a particularly important area of managerial focus. By selectively deploying micro-fulfillment centers in high-demand zones while retaining centralized fulfillment for planned purchases, firms can better align service levels with consumer willingness to pay. This segmentation-based approach allows firms to balance cost efficiency with responsiveness, mitigating some of the risks associated with pure-play quick commerce models. However, successful implementation requires sophisticated demand segmentation, integrated inventory visibility, and clear communication of service promises to consumers. Sustainability considerations further complicate strategic decision-making. The environmental and labor implications of ultra-fast delivery models raise questions about their long-term social acceptability and regulatory viability. Managers must increasingly account for externalities that were previously peripheral to competitive strategy, including urban congestion, emissions, and workforce well-being. Incorporating sustainability metrics into performance evaluation and exploring alternative delivery mechanisms, such as consolidated dispatch or eco-friendly transport modes, may become essential for maintaining legitimacy and consumer trust. The review also identifies several avenues for future research. Empirical studies that directly compare consumer behaviour across e-commerce and quick commerce platforms using longitudinal data would significantly enhance understanding of habit formation and loyalty dynamics. Experimental research examining the psychological mechanisms through which delivery speed influences impulse buying and satisfaction could further refine behavioural theory. From an operations perspective, simulation-based studies exploring optimal hybrid fulfillment configurations under varying demand conditions would provide valuable managerial insights. Additionally, the sustainability impacts of quick commerce warrant more rigorous investigation. Life-cycle assessments comparing emissions, packaging waste, and

energy consumption across fulfillment models could inform policy and strategic decision-making. Labor-focused research examining working conditions, productivity, and regulatory outcomes in ultra-fast delivery environments would also contribute to a more holistic evaluation of model viability.

In summary, this review demonstrates that the strategic and operational divergence between traditional e-commerce and quick commerce reflects deeper shifts in consumer expectations and societal norms around time and convenience. Rather than viewing these models as mutually exclusive or hierarchically ordered, scholars and practitioners should recognize their coexistence as part of an increasingly differentiated digital commerce ecosystem. The challenge moving forward lies not in pursuing speed for its own sake, but in designing retail systems that balance immediacy, efficiency, and responsibility in ways that are economically viable and socially sustainable [15].

7. Conclusion

This review set out to provide a comprehensive comparative analysis of traditional e-commerce and quick commerce strategies and operations, with particular emphasis on their evolving impact on consumer behaviour. By integrating insights from strategy, operations management, and consumer psychology literature, the study demonstrates that these two models represent distinct yet interrelated paradigms within the digital commerce ecosystem, each shaped by different assumptions about time, value, and consumer decision-making. The analysis shows that traditional e-commerce remains anchored in scale efficiency, centralized fulfillment, and planned consumption. Its strategic logic emphasizes cost minimization, assortment breadth, and reliability, aligning with consumer behaviour characterized by deliberation, price sensitivity, and basket optimization. In contrast, quick commerce is built around immediacy and responsiveness, relying on decentralized fulfillment, real-time coordination, and high-frequency service interactions. This model reshapes consumer behaviour by reducing planning effort, amplifying impulse purchasing, and increasing purchase frequency, particularly in time-sensitive product categories. A key contribution of this review lies in demonstrating that delivery speed functions as a structural variable rather than a marginal performance improvement. When delivery times cross critical thresholds, consumer expectations, satisfaction dynamics, and loyalty drivers change qualitatively. This insight challenges linear models of service quality and suggests the need for more nuanced theoretical frameworks that account for nonlinear behavioural responses to time-based service attributes. The findings further highlight that attempts to combine speed and scale without corresponding operational reconfiguration often result in inefficiencies and eroded value creation. From a managerial perspective, the review underscores the importance of strategic clarity in the adoption of quick commerce capabilities. While ultra-fast delivery offers opportunities for differentiation and habit formation, it also introduces significant operational, labor, and sustainability challenges. Hybrid fulfillment strategies, which align service levels with consumer urgency and willingness to pay, emerge as a promising pathway for balancing responsiveness with economic viability. However, successful implementation requires sophisticated demand segmentation, integrated technology systems, and transparent service communication. The review also highlights unresolved questions regarding the long-term sustainability of quick commerce. Environmental impacts associated with high-frequency delivery, labor intensity under time pressure, and reliance on subsidized pricing models raise concerns about scalability and social acceptance. Addressing these issues will require coordinated efforts across firms, policymakers, and researchers, as well as innovations in delivery design, workforce management, and regulatory frameworks. Several limitations of the review should be acknowledged. As a narrative synthesis, the analysis relies on existing literature, which remains uneven in its coverage of quick commerce, particularly with respect to longitudinal consumer behaviour and empirical profitability data. The rapid evolution of digital commerce models also means that some findings may be context-dependent or time-sensitive. Future research should prioritize empirical, cross-model comparisons and explore regional variations in consumer preferences, regulatory environments, and infrastructure constraints. In conclusion, the coexistence of traditional e-commerce and quick commerce reflects a broader diversification of digital retail rather than a linear progression toward ever-faster delivery. Consumers increasingly navigate between these models based on situational needs, highlighting the importance of flexibility and alignment between strategy, operations, and behaviour. By framing delivery speed as a strategic choice with far-reaching implications, this review contributes to a deeper understanding of how digital commerce continues to evolve in response to changing consumer expectations and societal values.

Fundings

There is no funding support and grant/award for this manuscript.

Declarations

- **Availability of data and materials**

Not applicable.

- **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

- **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

- **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

[1] Hagberg, J., Sundstrom, M., & Egels-Zandén, N. (2016). The digitalization of retailing: an exploratory framework. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, *44*(7), 694-712.

[2] Goswami, A., & Kumari, R. (2024). A study on impact of quick commerce on consumer decision making process. *BMSJMR: Journal of Management Research*, *1*(2), 1-11.

[3] Aslam, M. A., & Li, Z. (2025). A Way of Optimization of Last-Mile Logistics Operations. A Knowledge-Driven Literature review. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-34.

[4] Hagberg, J., Sundstrom, M., & Egels-Zandén, N. (2016). The digitalization of retailing: an exploratory framework. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, *44*(7), 694-712.

[5] Ballerini, J., Yahiaoui, D., Giovando, G., & Ferraris, A. (2024). E-commerce channel management on the manufacturers' side: ongoing debates and future research pathways. *Review of Managerial Science*, *18*(2), 413-447.

[6] Christofi, M., Lamprinakos, G., Nguyen, D. K., & Tran, P. T. (2025). Digital strategy design and digital transformation: a review and future research directions. *International Marketing Review*, 1-22.

[7] Loo, M. K., Ramachandran, S., & Raja Yusof, R. N. (2025). Systematic review of factors and barriers influencing E-commerce adoption among SMEs over the last decade: a TOE framework perspective. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *16*(2), 9624-9648.

[8] Qi, W., & Shen, Z. J. M. (2019). A smart-city scope of operations management. *Production and Operations Management*, *28*(2), 393-406.

[9] Asawawibul, S., Na-Nan, K., Pinkajay, K., Jaturat, N., Kittichotsatsawat, Y., & Hu, B. (2025). The influence of cost on customer satisfaction in e-commerce logistics: Mediating roles of service quality, technology usage, transportation time, and production condition. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *11*(1), 100482.

[10] Dheenadhayalan, V., & Sandeep, A. (2021). Impact of E-Commerce on the Changes in Consumer's Buying Behaviour in Malappuram District. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, *25*(5), 3441-3452.

[11] Mokhtarian, P. L. (2004). A conceptual analysis of the transportation impacts of B2C e-commerce. *Transportation*, *31*(3), 257-284.

[12] Chen, Q., & Zhang, N. (2015). Does e-commerce provide a sustained competitive advantage? An investigation of survival and sustainability in growth-oriented enterprises. *Sustainability*, *7*(2), 1411-1428.

[13] Oláh, J., Kitukutha, N., Haddad, H., Pakurár, M., Máté, D., & Popp, J. (2018). Achieving sustainable e-commerce in environmental, social and economic dimensions by taking possible trade-offs. *Sustainability*, *11*(1), 89.

[14] Kavitha, S., & Santhanalaxmi, K. (2026). Methods and applications of quick commerce (Q-commerce): Quick commerce and sustainability. In *Methods and Applications of Quick Commerce (Q-Commerce)* (pp. 261-298). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

[15] Brenner, B. (2018). Transformative sustainable business models in the light of the digital imperative—A global business economics perspective. *Sustainability*, *10*(12), 4428.