

# Interface of Human Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence: Possibilities and Challenges for the Indian Legal Educational Framework

Dr. Sukdeo Ingale

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Vishwakarma University, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Email: [sukdeo.ingale@vupune.ac.in](mailto:sukdeo.ingale@vupune.ac.in)

## Abstract

The recently started integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into educational systems across the globe, including India, has created revolutionary opportunities coupled with associated challenges. The opportunities may include increased access to educational resources, personalized learning platforms, innovative pedagogical tools, enhanced research capabilities, predictive analysis for dispute resolutions, etc. The associated challenges may include threat to academic integrity, erosion of critical reasoning affecting human capabilities of critical thinking, algorithmic biases benefiting a specific class of society, lack of ethical and moral accountability, regulatory gaps affecting quality education, infrastructural disparities, etc. The research paper examines possibilities of integration of human intelligence and artificial intelligence in the existing Indian legal educational framework to ensure more opportunities and with minimum challenges impacting its quality, accessibility and efficacy. The paper analyzes the challenges of digital divides, pedagogical challenges and faculty readiness with the help of case studies from National Law Universities and other higher educational institutions. Using doctrinal analysis, the paper has also included study of the regulatory framework of the Bar Council of India, the judicial approach as to use of artificial intelligence in legal field. The paper recommends for curriculum reforms, ethical trainings based on written guidelines and regular policy interventions to make this integration more human centric and ethically regulated educational framework.

**Keywords:** Integration of Human Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence, Indian Legal Educational Framework, Digital Divides In Indian Legal Education.

## Introduction:

The integration of human intelligence and artificial intelligence (hereinafter referred as AI) within Indian legal educational framework is a pivotal moment promising a revolutionary mechanism to train the future legal professionals (LegalServiceIndia, 2025). This is not limited to adoption of new technologies for developing innovative teaching – learning processes but it is about strategic blending of analytical power of AI with the critical thinking, ethical reasoning and empathy of human intelligence. The vast and diverse population, complex legal system and the access to existing educational institutions imparting legal education in India that AI shall be utilized to address long standing challenges in Indian legal education and justice delivery system.

However, this journey seems to be full of intrinsic challenges. AI offers unprecedented opportunities for increased access to education, personalized learning experience, innovative teaching pedagogy and enhanced research opportunities (Naayini, 2025; Alashwal, 2024) along with challenges of threat to academic integrity (Ali *et.al*, 2024), erosion of critical reasoning (Jose *et.al*, 2025), inherent algorithmic biases (Chinta *et.al*, 2024), gaps in ethical accountability (Haider & Hussain, 2025) along with the increasing digital divide (Selwyn, 2025; Times of India, 2025), and low levels of faculty readiness (EY-Parthenon & FICCI, 2025). This situation demands careful considerations, strategic interventions and comprehensive analysis of these aspects in light of the regulatory landscape set by the norms of the Bar Council of India. The present research study an honest attempt in this regard exploring also the judicial prospective and risks of unregulated use of AI. Ultimately, it proposes a roadmap for human centric reforms for ethically regulated and future ready legal education system in India integrating human intelligence and AI.

## The Transformative Potential Opportunities of AI in Indian Legal Education:

EY-Parthenon & FICCI Report (2025) suggests that the integration of AI with human intelligence into Indian legal system indicates a Pandora's box of opportunities that can fundamentally change the learning experience, making it more accessible, engaging and effective for all categories of students. These opportunities closely align with the vision of educational flexibility, multidisciplinary and technology based ecosystem.

### **Democratizing Legal Knowledge with Increased Access:**

India has witnessed geographical and socio-economical barriers limiting opportunities of legal education. AI has potentials to significantly democratize access to education (UNESCO, 2023) through various platforms which can extend quality legal content to remote and under-privileged section of the society. It will reduce burden on physical infrastructure mitigating the high costs associated with the disbursement of legal education. The AI based virtual simulations, online resources and digital libraries can bridge the digital divide in society and promote inclusivity across all socio-economic strata (Selwyn, 2025). Some AI powered platforms like NyayGuru, Chatbots, etc. simplify complex legal terms and ideas making legal information available to students using various languages from all corners of the Country. AI powered Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) can integrate legal curriculum to help aspiring lawyers from underprivileged sections of society to prepare for competitive exams (like CLAT, exams of public service commissions, etc.) without relocating to urban cities. This enhanced accessibility to legal content may significantly boost admissions in NLUs and similar institutions imparting legal education creating opportunities for more diverse and representative community of legal professionals.

### **Tailored Learning Journeys through Personalized Education:**

One of the most important advantages of using AI is to personalize the learning experience of different types of students. Learning capabilities of different students may differ due to various reasons, like socio-economic backgrounds, urban or rural schooling, and personal, physical, or mental attributes. AI algorithms can adapt to the individual learning needs and styles Providing customized learning experience. The AI tools may detect knowledge gaps by analyzing real-time performance of the student, and offer individualized targeted feedback suggesting remedies to make learning more effective and efficient. Some studies (MDPI, 2024; Tricon Infotech, 2025) have suggested that AI personalization has improved students' attention as an outcome of enhanced attention, engagement and tailored educational support through AI technologies.

This is a valuable AI-based potential intervention in legal education that involves complex concepts and demands analytical skills at every stage of the learning. Similarly, AI chat bots can give an experience of dialogue with a teacher to overcome difficulties and, for instant feedback on case analysis, contract drafting, or constitutional interpretations. The AI may also create virtual environments for courtroom scenarios or client counseling scenarios for engaging students effectively. This may help in bridging the gap between theory and practical application of laws and theories.

### **Revolutionary Pedagogies through Innovative AI Tools:**

Integration of AI and human intelligence has introduced transformative methods of teaching and learning (EY-Parthenon & FICCI, 2025). For moving beyond rote memorization to active learning, the traditional teaching methods can be either replaced or strongly supported by innovative pedagogical AI tools. Surden (2014) observe that partial automation of certain legal tasks is possible by machine learning techniques. Hence, virtual courts, e-discovery simulations, and AI-assisted moot courts have potentials to provide an experiential learning and a realistic hands-on experience to students. Hybrid classrooms provide an opportunity to use AI chatbots for instant query resolution and interactive teaching and learning engagement. The AI can automate administrative tasks and free the faculty members to engage more in issues requiring complex discussions and mentorship.

### **Accelerating Discoveries by Enhanced Research Capabilities:**

Integration of human Intelligence and AI significantly enhances research capabilities (UNESCO, 2023), which is true for legal research as well. AI can rapidly identify required literature through past databases of legal precedents, statutes, academic, and juristic literature. Some AI-powered platforms like Manupatra, SSC online, Lexis Nexus, Kanoon.ai, BharatLaw AI, etc., can summarize judgments in seconds, which otherwise may require many hours for researchers. This provides an opportunity for the students and faculty members to focus on analytical and critical reasoning tasks based on large data sets. AI can assist in developing research projects, analyzing data, formulating research problems, testing hypotheses, and making predictive decisions throughout the research process. This may help Indian legal institutions to become hubs for innovation and advanced legal scholarship, emphasizing on research and innovations to solve socio-legal problems in Indian society.

### **Navigating the Precipice to Resolve Challenges of AI Integration:**

Along with the opportunities discussed, the integration of AI and human intelligence into Indian legal education poses significant challenges that, if not addressed proactively at the outset, may undermine the quality and integrity of teaching-learning processes.

### **Guarding Academic Integrity against the Threat of Over-reliance:**

According to Mishra *et.al* (2024) the working of AI tools and platforms is based on Large Language Models (LLM). This poses a substantial threat to the academic integrity and academic freedom enjoyed by the critical thinking of human intelligence. The content generated by students using AI for writing essays, research papers, or legal documents gives rise to concerns about plagiarism, authenticity, and the validity of the content. The study conducted by Elisabeth, *et.al*, (2025) found that the over-reliance of students on AI-generated content bypass the essential 'skill-building process' inherent in education. Reading legal text and application of mind for original legal reasoning, critical analysis, and structured argumentation is decreasing among students day by day. The long-lasting impact of reaching the original text through original sources of content is lacking due to over reliance on AI. This may result in an integrity crisis where students may graduate without developing fundamental analytical and writing skills. Due to AI hallucinations, the student relying on the 'incorrect information' generated by AI may take it as if it is 'correct information' about the existing laws and legal system and thereby face severe professional repercussions.

### **Preserving Critical thinking and Erosion of Human Capabilities:**

If the tasks, which otherwise requires deep analytical and critical thinking, continue to be performed using AI tools and platforms, then an excessive dependence on AI could lead to the erosion of human critical thinking and analytical skills. The students and faculty members shall not forget that AI lacks human intuition, contextual human judgment, moral reasoning and ethical deliberations in performing various tasks (Floridi *et. al*, 2018; Surden, 2014), and therefore, AI is not capable of handling evolving complex human situations and human thrust of truth and Justice. Gradually, the current students may lack the depth of critical thinking required during their career to overcome legal dilemmas or ethical stricture. This may have very strange outcomes when these generations will have to lead the nation deciding existence of mankind on earth. Hence, erosion of human capabilities due to replacement of human intelligence by AI has to be prevented.

### **Addressing Inequalities of Algorithmic Biases and Ethical Accountabilities:**

Barocas and Selbst (2016) and Mitchell *et.al*. (2021) demonstrate that the outputs of AI are based on the datasets used by such AI tools and platforms. The decisions of AI will be biased if historical legal datasets reflect social biases on the basis of caste, religion, gender, or socioeconomic status of a particular person. Some AI tools and platforms may perpetuate or sometimes may even over-amplify such inequalities existing in society during their assessments and predictive analysis. This type of algorithmic bias may result in discriminatory outcomes in their simulations and case predictions, proportionately affecting under privileged section of society. This also raises issues of ethical accountability for harms caused by errors of AI. These ethical issues may get compounded due to privacy breaches, inadequate data protection mechanisms, and the absence of a strong regulatory framework.

### **Bridging the Gaps of Infrastructural Disparities and Digital Divides:**

India is facing a significant digital divide (IASSCORE, 2026; The Times of India, 2025; TheLaw.Institute, 2024; Dhole, 2022) as some groups of people have better access to the infrastructure required for the integration of human Intelligence and AI. This is the major hurdle for equitable integration in the Indian legal education framework. Disparities in access to the internet, availability of hardware devices, and digital literacy between people in urban and rural areas do increase the inequalities. The ground-level reality is that many educational institutions lack the necessary technology infrastructure, high-speed internet, and dedicated IT support (Times of India, 2025) to effectively integrate AI with human intelligence. In the near future, this will lead to a situation where the benefits of AI in legal education do not reach all students equally. The commercialization will focus on the priorities of urban elites and will speedily increase the already existing gap between the privileged and marginalized students.

### **Empowering Educators and Faculty Readiness for AI Powered Pedagogy:**

The successful integration of human Intelligence with AI significantly depends heavily on educator's willingness, attitude, and readiness, as motivated faculty members are more likely to adopt AI meaningfully in education (Alnasib, 2023). The non-readiness of faculty member due to lack of necessary trainings leads to the resistance and reluctance of faculty members to experimentation and innovation in AI integration. The lack of sufficient training for the willing faculty members keeps them struggling to effectively guide students in using AI responsibly. Such faculty members cannot critically evaluate the content generated by AI or understand the ethical implications of the integration of human intelligence and AI in legal education. In the absence of continuously conducted comprehensive training programs, the potential of integrating human intelligence with AI will remain untapped, and it will increase the risk of misuse of AI tools and platforms.

### **Regulatory Foundations of Regulatory Bodies:**

The framework of integration of human intelligence and AI in Indian legal education is shaped by the guidelines of regulatory bodies like the BCI. The Bar Council of India (BCI) is the apex regulatory body for regulation of legal education in India. The BCI has issued directives for incorporation of computer education along with subjects like AI, blockchain, electronic discovery, cyber security, robotics, bio-ethics etc. into curriculum to ensure graduates are well equipped to handle contemporary legal challenges. The BCI has not issued comprehensive guidelines governing use of AI by lawyers, law schools and law students, but it required through various guidelines to adhere to ethical, and professional responsibility standards. When read such guidelines together, it emphasis BCI role of balancing regulations and innovations in integration of human intelligence and AI.

### **Judicial Approaches to AI in Legal Contexts:**

The Indian Judiciary is increasingly emphasizing integration of human intelligence and AI to enhance efficiency and access to justice highlighting the growing need for AI literacy through the legal educational framework. The Bombay Bar Association (2025) has issued guidelines to govern ethical and practical use of AI. Recently, the High Court of Kerala (2025) has also issued "Policy Regarding Use of AI Tools in District Courts" to guide how AI can be used by the district judiciary and its staff members. The policy prohibits use of any AI tools for developing legal reasoning, drawing conclusions and findings as well as decision making. It allows use of AI as assistive tool for legal research, drafting documents, administrative functions under the supervision of human intelligence as the human being involved is responsible for the outcome of using AI. Similarly, the Supreme Court of India, Center for Research and Planning (2025), has released a White Paper detailing the ethical guidelines, risks, and roadmap for responsible use of AI integration.

### **Case Studies form Educational Institutions Imparting Legal Education:**

Some Indian institutions have recently begun integration of human Intelligence with AI into their degree programs which witnessed both the opportunities and challenges encountered by such institutions.

#### **National Law School of India University, Bengaluru:**

NLSIU, Bengaluru has been leading integration of human intelligence and AI through the courses offered like Programming for Lawyers and also through use of AI tools and platforms like BharatGen's BhashaBench-Legal. The university, through AI integration, aims to enhance research capabilities and equip their students and faculty members with interdisciplinary research skills. In the National Law School of India University (2025), the integration has shown improved student outcomes in court competitions, legal research and legal writings. However, there are notable challenges of up-skilling students and faculty members along with the deployment and maintenance of digital infrastructure requirements.

#### **O.P. Jindal Global University, Sonipat:**

O.P. Jindal Global University has incorporated integration of AI through curriculum development including AI ethics and blending theoretical ideas with practical applications of generative AI for case analysis (Killemsetty & Bansal, 2025). A pilot program at O.P. Jindal Global University has shown significant reduction in research time for students choosing AI tools and platforms for conducting research (Cyril Shroff Centre for AI, Law and Regulation, 2025). However the internal audit found that there are significant data gaps and hence required stricter ethical guidelines. This highlights the importance of balancing efficiency and time saving with rigorous ethical oversight and continuous evaluation by human intelligence.

### **State Universities and Colleges:**

The UGC (2020), AISHE (2021) and NITI Aayog (2021) highlights that most of the state universities and law colleges suffer from inadequate digital infrastructure required for AI integration. Some research studies conducted by the Ministry of Education, (2020), the UGC, (2022) and NITI Aayog, (2021) indicate that a substantial percentage of faculty members are not adequately trained in integration of human intelligence with AI. Such a lack of training may badly affect their readiness for using innovative teaching-learning processes with the help of AI tools and platforms. UGC (2020), AISHE (2021), and NITI Aayog (2021) demonstrate that the disparities in infrastructure and institutional capacities between the National Law Universities, and state universities and affiliated law colleges, have resulted in uneven adoption of AI tools and platforms. It also widens the gap among the institutions imparting legal education about adoption of ethical standards for misuse of AI tools and platforms.

### **Towards A Human Centric Recommendations and Reforms:**

The human centre approach of integration of human intelligence and AI has the probability of harnessing the potentials of AI and at the same time mitigating its possible risks. This requires a multi level strategy about curriculum reforms, faculty training, equitable infrastructural development, policy reforms, and constant ethical oversight.

### **Curriculum Reforms Embedding AI Literacy and Ethics:**

The immediate curriculum reforms in the curriculum of the legal education are expected to encourage AI literacy, AI associated professional ethics and critical evaluation models. Such reforms shall focus on integration of human intelligence and AI by teaching students how AI works, its applications, limitations, and long term social-ethical implication on the existence of mankind. A course like “AI Ethics in Law” shall be the standard subject offered by all educational institutions providing legal education. The BCI may guide educational institutions about the flexibilities, multidisciplinary elements, critical thinking, and legal reasoning, etc. components of such course.

### **Faculty Training and Empowerment for AI Readiness:**

Some ‘all India level’ programs shall be developed for faculty training and empowerment through cooperation and coordination of UGC and BCI. Such programs may focus on equipping the faculty members with basics of data science, AI pedagogical tools and strategies for mitigating potential risks of using AI. Continuously organised workshops, conferences, certificate courses, and peer learning initiatives can empower faculty members to effectively and efficiently integrate human intelligence with AI.

### **Nation-wide Equitable Infrastructural Developments:**

International Telecommunication Union (2021), Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (2022), and World Economic Forum (2020), emphasis that significantly high investments are required in infrastructure developments for addressing the challenges of digital divide. But, that can be justified considering the outcome of not doing so. Every revolutionary innovation accompanies with itself the division of society in different groups. If not treated at the very initial stage, such a division may destroy the society. Evolution and development of AI is the innovation of centuries, and hence any division so neglected will divide society for the next many centuries. Hence, the government, through public private partnership, should timely invest in development of nationwide equitable infrastructure at a large scale.

### **Policy Intervention and Regulatory Clarity:**

The BCI and relevant government bodies must develop clear, specific and legally enforceable legal norms applicable to integration of Intelligence and AI. This may include dedicated “AI in Education Act” applicable to all educational institutions including the educational institutions imparting Legal education. Such law shall mandate transparency, explainability, constant fairness audits, and define liabilities for the harmed caused due to AI errors in the educational sector. Such a comprehensive ethical code of conduct (for all processes which are the outcome of the integration of human Intelligence and AI) may create an effective monitoring mechanism fostering an environment of AI augmentation instead of replacing human intelligence and ethical reasoning.

**Conclusion:**

The discussions around integration of human intelligence and AI in Indian legal education have gathered momentum in the last few years. There is a long way ahead. The future of integration of Indian courts with AI and streamlining judicial processes using AI has a very bright future. This emphasizes the need of legal education to adapt integration of AI tools and platforms. Hence, the educational institutions imparting legal education shall equip students not only with the technological proficiency but also with the critical judgment necessary to overcome situations of dilemma in using AI. Use of AI to broaden the reach of quality education, tailor learning paths, and engage practical sessions may accelerate legal scholarship and avoid risk of plagiarism, perpetuation of inequalities, decline of analytical skills and over compromised academic quality. The policy having emphasis on AI literacy with ethical considerations can pave the way forward.

**References:**

1. Alashwal, M. (2024). Empowering education through AI: Potential benefits and future implications for instructional pedagogy. *PUPIL: International Journal of Teaching, Education and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.20319/ictel.2024.201212>
2. Ali, W., Alami, R., Alsmairat, M. A., & AlMasaeid, T. (2024). Consensus or controversy: Examining AI's impact on academic integrity, student learning, and inclusivity within higher education environments. In *Proceedings of the 2024 2nd International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR)* (pp. 1–5). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCR61006.2024.10532968>
3. Alnasib, B. N. M. (2023). Factors affecting faculty members' readiness to integrate artificial intelligence into their teaching practices: A study from the Saudi higher education context. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(8). <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.22.8.24>
4. Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. *California Law Review*, 104(3), 671–732.
5. Bombay Bar Association. (2025). *Guidelines on the ethical and practical use of artificial intelligence in the judicial process*. <https://techandjustice.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/india>
6. Chen, M. A. (2012). *The informal economy: Definitions, theories and policies* (WIEGO Working Paper No. 1). Women in Informal Employment: Globalizing and Organizing.
7. Chinta, S. V., Wang, Z., Yin, Z., Hoang, N., Gonzalez, M., & Zhang, W. (2024). FairAIEd: Navigating fairness, bias, and ethics in educational AI applications. *arXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.18745>
8. Cyril Shroff Centre for AI, Law and Regulation. (2025). *About the Centre*. O. P. Jindal Global University. <https://jgu.edu.in/csailr>
9. Dhole, K. D. (2022). Lessons from Digital India for the right to Internet access. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.06740>
10. EY-Parthenon, & Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. (2025). *Future-ready campuses: Unlocking the power of AI in Indian higher education (Indian HEI AI adoption survey)*. [https://www.ey.com/en\\_in/newsroom/2025/10/over-half-of-indian-heis-adopt-ai-policies-as-gen-ai-transforms-teaching-and-learning](https://www.ey.com/en_in/newsroom/2025/10/over-half-of-indian-heis-adopt-ai-policies-as-gen-ai-transforms-teaching-and-learning)
11. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>
12. Haider, S. A., & Hussain, A. (2025). Governing artificial intelligence in legal and professional education: Legal, ethical, and institutional challenges of AI-driven EdTech. *Advance Social Science Archive Journal*, 4(2), 3015–3022. <https://assajournal.com/index.php/36/article/view/1222>
13. High Court of Kerala. (2025). *Policy regarding use of artificial intelligence tools in district judiciary*. [https://images.assettype.com/theleaflet/2025-07-22/mt4bw6n7/Kerala\\_HC\\_AI\\_Guidelines.pdf](https://images.assettype.com/theleaflet/2025-07-22/mt4bw6n7/Kerala_HC_AI_Guidelines.pdf)
14. IASSCORE. (2026). *Digital divide and India*. <https://iasscore.in/current-affairs/digital-divide-and-india>

15. Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur. (2018, August 28). IIT KGP introduces AI in law and management education. *Business Standard*. [https://www.business-standard.com/article/news-ians/iit-kgp-introduces-ai-in-law-and-management-education-118082800802\\_1.html](https://www.business-standard.com/article/news-ians/iit-kgp-introduces-ai-in-law-and-management-education-118082800802_1.html)
16. Jose, B., Cherian, J., Verghis, A. M., Varghese, S. M., S. M., & Joseph, S. (2025). The cognitive paradox of AI in education: Between enhancement and erosion. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, Article 1550621. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1550621>
17. Killemsetty, N., & Bansal, P. (2025). *Recognising and embracing AI in research*. <https://pure.jgu.edu.in/id/eprint/9463/>
18. LegalServiceIndia. (2025). Role of artificial intelligence in legal education and the legal profession. *LegalServiceIndia*. <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/legal/article-12037-role-of-artificial-intelligence-in-legal-education-and-legal-profession.html>
19. Margaret, M., Simone, W., Andrew, Z., Parker, B., & Verma, L. (2019). Model cards for model reporting. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 220–229). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287596>
20. Ministry of Education. (2021). *All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2019–20*. Government of India. [https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload\\_files/mhrd/files/statistics-new/AISHE\\_2019-20.pdf](https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/statistics-new/AISHE_2019-20.pdf)
21. Mishra, T., Sutanto, E., Rossanti, R., et al. (2024). Use of large language models as artificial intelligence tools in academic research and publishing among global clinical researchers. *Scientific Reports*, 14, Article 31672. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-81370-6>
22. Naayini, P. (2025). AI and the future of education: Advancing personalized learning and intelligent tutoring systems. *Frontiers in Educational Innovation and Research*, 1(1), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.62762/FEIR.2025.332098>
23. National Law School of India University. (2025). *Reflections from the NLSIU AI & Law Forum 2025*. <https://www.nls.ac.in/news-events/reflections-from-the-nlsiu-ai-law-forum-2025>
24. NITI Aayog. (2021). *Responsible AI for all*. Government of India. <https://www.niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2021-02/Responsible-AI-22022021.pdf>
25. Selwyn, N. (2025). From access to ability: Educator perspectives on AI and new digital divides in higher education. *Digital Society*, 4, Article 78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-025-00237-z>
26. Supreme Court of India Centre for Research and Planning. (2025). *White paper on artificial intelligence and the judiciary*. Supreme Court of India. <https://cdn.s3waas.gov.in/s3ec0490f1f4972d133619a60c30f3559e/uploads/2025/11/2025112244.pdf>
27. Surden, H. (2014). Machine learning and law. *Washington Law Review*, 89(1), 87–115.
28. The Law Institute. (2024). *The digital divide in India: Challenges and governmental responses*. <https://thelaw.institute/cyberspace-technology-and-social-issues/digital-divide-india-challenges-government-responses>
29. The Times of India. (2025, January 2). Digital divide: Working computers in just 57% of India's schools, internet in 54%. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/digital-divide-working-computers-in-just-57-of-indias-schools-internet-in-54/articleshow/116867829.cms>
30. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/guidance-generative-ai-education-and-research>
31. University Grants Commission. (2020). *Guidelines on digital education and online learning*. Government of India. [https://www.ugc.ac.in/pdfnews/4727453\\_UGC-Guidelines-on-Digital-Education.pdf](https://www.ugc.ac.in/pdfnews/4727453_UGC-Guidelines-on-Digital-Education.pdf)