

From Black Money To Green Governance: Sustainable Enforcement Pathways Under Benami Law

CA Harit Ashok Dhariwal¹, CA Dr. Mala Dani²

¹Ph. D. Research Scholar, GLS University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 380006

²Assistant Professor, Faculty of Business Administration, GLS University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 380006

Abstract

The black money ecosystem poses one of the gravest challenges to India's economic sustainability, fiscal transparency and institutional integrity. While the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act (PBPTA), 1988 and its 2016 Amendment provided a legal foundation for curbing hidden ownership, the enforcement process itself must evolve toward sustainable governance. This paper introduces the concept of "Green Governance" - a governance model that transforms punitive enforcement into a system promoting transparency, ethical capital flow and sustainable development. The Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI) is a conceptual framework developed by the authors for this study, designed to measure the sustainability of Benami Law enforcement by combining legal, fiscal, and governance indicators. Using the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI), the study evaluates enforcement intensity, fiscal impact and governance outcomes between 2017–2025. A structured perception survey of 150 respondents (including CAs, advocates and citizens) and secondary data on benami confiscations are analyzed through correlation and regression to examine how enforcement contributes to sustainable governance. The results reveal that enhanced enforcement correlates positively with fiscal transparency, public trust and reduced illicit capital flow. The paper proposes four Sustainable Enforcement Pathways — Institutional, Technological, Community and Environmental — demonstrating how anti-benami reforms can act as a driver of economic ethics, institutional stability, and long-term fiscal resilience, in alignment with SDG-16: Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions.

Keywords : Benami Law, Sustainable Governance, Fiscal Transparency, SDG 16, Black Money, Policy Enforcement, Green Governance

1. Introduction

The term benami originates from the Persian word meaning "without a name" and refers to transactions where property is purchased in the name of one person, while the consideration for such property is paid by another. In such arrangements, the person in whose name the property stands is only a nominal owner, while the person who has provided the consideration is the real or beneficial owner. The primary intention behind such dealings is often to conceal the true ownership of property and to evade legal obligations such as taxation, debt recovery, or compliance with regulatory frameworks.

In India, benami transactions have historically been used as a tool to hide wealth and assets from public authorities. Wealthy individuals and business entities frequently resorted to such practices to safeguard properties from being attached in cases of default, to avoid taxation, or to launder

unaccounted money. This concealment not only disrupted transparency in property ownership but also contributed to the accumulation of black money and distorted the real estate market. The prevalence of benami practices, therefore, had both economic and social consequences, undermining trust in financial systems and creating inequities in wealth distribution.

India's efforts to curb black money and undisclosed wealth have undergone a major policy evolution over the past decade. The government's initiatives—such as the 2016 Demonetization, the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Amendment Act, 2016, and the Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) Act, 2015—reflect a coordinated national drive to dismantle hidden financial systems that erode fiscal discipline. These reforms sought to unearth unaccounted assets, deter illicit property holdings, and promote lawful financial conduct.

However, despite these bold steps, the larger and more enduring challenge is to build an enforcement ecosystem that goes beyond punitive actions or one-time policy shocks. Sustainable governance requires mechanisms that prevent the recurrence of black money generation, promote voluntary compliance, and embed transparency into the economic fabric of the nation. Benami transactions—where ownership and consideration are deliberately separated to disguise the real beneficiary—continue to threaten India's fiscal integrity, distort wealth distribution, and create parallel shadow economies outside the formal system.

Transitioning from “Black Money” to “Green Governance” symbolizes this transformative journey: from opacity to transparency, from reactive enforcement to proactive sustainability, and from isolated policy interventions to an integrated framework of ethical wealth creation. “Green Governance,” in this context, is not limited to environmental consciousness—it embodies a clean, transparent, and accountable system of economic management, where legal enforcement, technology, and civic participation converge to ensure integrity and fairness. By positioning Benami Law within this broader governance model, the State can strengthen fiscal discipline, enhance institutional credibility, and nurture a climate of trust-based compliance. Ultimately, the goal is not merely to punish non-compliance but to build a culture of transparent ownership and responsible economic citizenship, aligning India's enforcement architecture with the global ideals of sustainable development and good governance.

2. Unmasking Hidden Wealth: The Governance Imperative of Ownership Transparency

Addressing undisclosed ownership in property and assets is fundamental to establishing transparency, accountability and fairness within an economy. When individuals or entities conceal the true ownership of assets—whether by using proxies, shell entities, or layered transactions—it distorts the financial system and undermines the credibility of legal and regulatory frameworks. Such concealment is often linked with tax evasion, corruption, money laundering, and the accumulation of black money, each of which weakens institutional trust and disrupts fair market competition. Tackling undisclosed ownership is therefore not merely a legal necessity but an essential step toward restoring economic integrity and ensuring that wealth creation occurs through legitimate and productive means.

One of the most significant reasons for uncovering beneficial ownership lies in its direct impact on public revenue. When properties are held in the names of benamidars or layered under fictitious structures, the true owners often escape taxation. This results in substantial losses to the national exchequer, limiting resources available for public infrastructure, healthcare, education, and social welfare. By unmasking real owners and enforcing transparency, governments can plug revenue leakages, broaden the tax base, and create a more equitable fiscal system where every stakeholder contributes fairly.

Undisclosed ownership also poses risks to law enforcement and financial stability. Assets acquired under false identities are frequently used for laundering illicit proceeds, financing unlawful activities, or evading regulatory scrutiny. These practices compromise the stability of financial institutions and, in extreme cases, threaten national security. Robust disclosure frameworks, such as beneficial ownership registers and reporting obligations, strengthen the ability of enforcement agencies to track illicit financial flows and align domestic systems with international transparency standards prescribed by bodies like the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and the OECD.

From a socio-economic perspective, undisclosed ownership fuels inequality and speculative real estate inflation. Individuals with unaccounted wealth often invest in benami properties, artificially inflating market prices and pushing housing beyond the reach of genuine, middle-income buyers. This exacerbates wealth disparities and undermines the principles of equitable development. Transparent ownership structures help ensure that property markets operate on fair and competitive principles, promoting inclusive growth and protecting citizens from the adverse impacts of illicit capital.

Moreover, widespread hidden ownership erodes public trust in governance. When citizens perceive that influential individuals can conceal assets without facing consequences, it diminishes confidence in the rule of law and regulatory institutions. Enforcing transparency through strong disclosure norms and consistent application of the law restores faith in the justice system, reinforces the principle that no one is above accountability, and strengthens the moral fabric of democracy.

In essence, addressing undisclosed ownership is not a narrow legal reform but a governance imperative—it upholds fiscal responsibility, secures the financial system, reduces inequality, and enhances the credibility of both markets and institutions.

3. Benami Transactions and India's Pursuit of Transparent Ownership

Benami transactions have long represented one of India's most deep-rooted financial malpractices—used to conceal real ownership, evade taxes, and launder unaccounted wealth. Over time, what began as an informal social practice to safeguard property evolved into a sophisticated network of hidden ownership and proxy holdings, enabling the circulation of black money and distorting the formal economy.

The impact of benami practices extends far beyond individual transactions. Economically, they inflate property prices, divert capital into non-productive assets, and deprive the government of

vital tax revenues. Legally, they blur ownership rights, complicate dispute resolution, and weaken the enforceability of property claims. From a governance perspective, benami holdings act as channels for money laundering and corruption, undermining public trust in institutions and weakening the rule of law.

To counter this menace, India first enacted the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 1988, which declared such dealings illegal. However, the Act suffered from vague definitions, weak procedural clarity, and the absence of enforcement machinery, rendering it largely ineffective. The turning point came with the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016, which transformed the legislation into a robust enforcement tool.

The 2016 reforms:

- Expanded the definition of benami transactions to capture fictitious ownership, untraceable consideration, and denial of ownership.
- Created dedicated enforcement authorities—including the Initiating Officer, Adjudicating Authority, and Appellate Tribunal—for investigation and adjudication.
- Granted powers to attach and confiscate properties acquired through benami means.
- Introduced stringent criminal penalties to deter future violations.
- Encouraged inter-agency collaboration between tax and enforcement departments, aligning India's approach with global transparency standards.

These reforms gave the law real enforcement strength, converting it from a symbolic prohibition into an active instrument of governance reform and fiscal sustainability. Today, Benami Law stands as a key pillar in India's effort to unearth hidden wealth, promote transparent ownership, and foster a cleaner, more equitable economy.

4. Benami Transactions: Concept and Relevance

Benami transactions have long been an intrinsic yet problematic element of India's socio-economic and legal environment. The term benami refers to an arrangement where property is held in the name of one person, while the actual consideration or ownership rests with another. In such transactions, the person in whose name the property is registered—the benamidar—is merely a nominal holder, whereas the beneficial owner remains concealed. Historically, such arrangements were used as a means to protect wealth, evade creditors, or navigate restrictive property and tax laws. Over time, however, this practice evolved into a widespread instrument for concealing unaccounted income, facilitating tax evasion, and generating black money, making it one of the key challenges in India's governance and economic transparency landscape.

The relevance of addressing benami transactions stems from their multi-dimensional impact—economic, legal, and social. Economically, these transactions enable the accumulation and circulation of unaccounted wealth, distorting asset markets and weakening fiscal discipline. They deprive the state of legitimate tax revenues, reducing funds available for infrastructure, social welfare, and development projects. The burden then shifts to compliant taxpayers, creating a sense of inequity and fiscal injustice. From a governance standpoint, unchecked benami holdings

perpetuate the shadow economy, eroding the effectiveness of financial reforms and undermining the credibility of institutions tasked with ensuring transparency and accountability.

From a legal perspective, benami arrangements obscure ownership rights and complicate property disputes. When the real owner remains undisclosed, creditors face hurdles in recovering dues, and courts encounter difficulties in adjudicating claims. This lack of clarity compromises the enforceability of property rights—an essential component of a healthy legal and economic system. Moreover, benami structures are frequently exploited for money laundering, corruption, and concealment of illicit proceeds, posing risks not only to financial integrity but also to national security and public trust.

At the social level, benami transactions exacerbate inequality and inflate real estate prices, pushing property ownership further out of reach for genuine buyers. The infusion of black money into land and housing markets fuels speculative demand, widens the wealth gap, and diminishes public confidence in fair economic opportunity. Such distortions erode the social fabric, as citizens perceive that illicit wealth often enjoys protection while honest effort faces disproportionate burden.

Recognizing the gravity of this issue, the Government of India enacted the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 1988, marking the first formal attempt to prohibit benami dealings. However, the Act's limited definitions and weak enforcement framework rendered it largely ineffective. A significant leap forward came with the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016, which comprehensively restructured the law. The amendment strengthened definitions, empowered authorities to attach and confiscate benami properties, introduced stringent penalties, and established a system of adjudicating and appellate authorities for effective implementation.

Through these reforms, India aligned its domestic framework with global transparency standards—such as those advanced by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and OECD—to enhance beneficial ownership disclosure and curb illicit financial flows. The issue of benami transactions thus transcends legal boundaries; it represents an intersection of economic justice, governance reform, and social equity. Addressing it is essential not merely for compliance, but for building a transparent, accountable, and sustainable financial ecosystem that underpins public confidence and long-term economic resilience.

5. Evolution of Benami Law in India

The history of India's legal response to benami transactions reflects the country's gradual shift from passive acknowledgment to active prohibition of hidden ownership. For decades, benami arrangements were embedded in India's social and economic practices — often used to conceal ownership, evade taxes, or safeguard assets from creditors. Despite their widespread prevalence and economic consequences, the legal framework initially lacked clarity and enforcement mechanisms to effectively address the problem. With the growing realization of how these practices distorted asset markets, undermined governance, and fueled black money generation, the Indian state progressively moved toward a more structured legal intervention.

The Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 1988

The first formal legislative attempt to regulate benami dealings came with the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 1988. The Act prohibited benami transactions and barred the real owner from claiming any right or interest in such property. It also declared that any property held benami would be liable for confiscation by the state. However, the law's impact was largely symbolic due to several critical shortcomings.

The 1988 Act failed to clearly define what constituted a benami transaction and lacked procedural provisions for investigation, adjudication, or confiscation. It did not establish any institutional framework to enforce its provisions, leaving implementation dependent on the general legal machinery. Consequently, while the Act signaled legislative intent to curb benami dealings, it remained ineffective in practice. Enforcement agencies lacked both jurisdictional authority and operational clarity, and as a result, benami holdings continued largely unchecked for almost three decades.

The Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016

Recognizing these limitations, the Government of India enacted the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016, which transformed the dormant 1988 law into a comprehensive and enforceable framework. The amendment restructured and renamed the legislation as the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988 (PBPTA), giving it both legal and institutional strength.

Key reforms introduced under the 2016 Amendment include:

Comprehensive Definition: The amendment provided an expanded and precise definition of benami transactions, encompassing not only cases where property is held by one person and paid for by another, but also where ownership is fictitious, unacknowledged, or denied.

Institutional Framework: It created a four-tier administrative mechanism comprising the Initiating Officer, Approving Authority, Adjudicating Authority, and Appellate Tribunal to ensure due process and transparency in enforcement.

Confiscation and Penalties: The Act empowered authorities to provisionally attach, adjudicate, and confiscate benami properties and introduced stringent penal provisions, including imprisonment up to seven years and fines up to 25% of the fair market value.

Inter-Agency Coordination: The framework promoted coordination among tax departments, financial regulators, and enforcement agencies to improve information sharing and detection.

Alignment with Global Standards: The amendment brought India's ownership transparency norms closer to international benchmarks such as those recommended by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and the OECD on beneficial ownership disclosure.

Through these reforms, the 2016 amendment transformed Benami Law from a largely declaratory statute into a powerful enforcement tool. It gave the government the ability to identify, attach, and confiscate properties held under false names and ensured accountability through dedicated authorities and procedural safeguards. This transition marks India's evolution from merely

prohibiting benami dealings to institutionalizing sustainable enforcement, embedding transparency, fairness, and deterrence within the governance system.

In essence, the evolution of Benami Law in India mirrors the nation's broader journey toward transparent property ownership, fiscal integrity, and ethical governance — crucial pillars in its ongoing battle against black money and illicit wealth.

6. Enforcement Agencies and Their Role in Implementation

The enforcement of the Benami Law is primarily entrusted to the Income Tax Department under the Ministry of Finance. Officers designated as Initiating Officers, drawn from the tax administration, are empowered to identify, investigate, and provisionally attach properties suspected of being benami. The Adjudicating Authority and the Appellate Tribunal serve as independent quasi-judicial bodies, ensuring that every case is examined with procedural fairness and transparency.

Beyond the tax framework, enforcement is strengthened through inter-agency collaboration with institutions such as the Enforcement Directorate (ED) and the Financial Intelligence Unit-India (FIU-IND), which address related issues of money laundering, tax evasion, and financial crime. The creation of this multi-tiered enforcement and adjudication structure has significantly enhanced the effectiveness of the post-2016 regime. It underscores India's commitment to transparent property ownership, financial integrity, and convergence with global standards on anti-money-laundering and anti-corruption measures.

7. Five Pillars of Sustainable Benami Enforcement

The modern enforcement of Benami Law in India must be understood not merely as a mechanism for confiscation or punishment but as a part of the country's larger journey toward transparent, ethical, and sustainable governance. The conceptual foundation of this research rests upon five interdependent pillars—each representing a vital dimension of the enforcement ecosystem. These pillars together embody the balance between legal strength, fiscal accountability, administrative fairness, institutional ethics, and long-term social impact.

The five pillars form the evaluative base for the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI) developed in this study. They provide a multi-dimensional framework through which enforcement can be assessed not only for its immediate outcomes but also for its contribution to enduring fiscal integrity, governance stability, and public confidence.

I. Enforcement Intensity – Strength and Reach of Legal Action

Enforcement intensity represents the operational strength and reach of the Benami Law. It focuses on how actively and consistently the government identifies and acts upon benami transactions. Key indicators include the number of cases initiated, properties provisionally attached, total value of assets confiscated, and prosecutions filed.

High enforcement intensity reflects robust administrative commitment, resource allocation, and the presence of a deterrent environment that discourages the concealment of ownership. It also demonstrates the efficiency of inter-agency coordination between the Income Tax Department, Enforcement Directorate (ED), and Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU-IND).

However, sustainable enforcement goes beyond the volume of cases—it emphasizes quality, due process, and transparency. Excessive but inconsistent enforcement could risk perceptions of arbitrariness. Therefore, this pillar also measures whether enforcement actions are data-driven, equitable, and aligned with the principles of natural justice.

II. Fiscal Transparency – Ensuring Clean Financial Flows and Equitable Taxation

Fiscal transparency captures the economic dimension of sustainable enforcement. It refers to the ability of enforcement mechanisms to strengthen fiscal systems by reducing black money circulation, broadening the tax base, and improving voluntary compliance.

Benami enforcement contributes to fiscal transparency by exposing hidden assets and integrating them into the formal economy. A rise in tax-GDP ratio, improved property registration data, and growth in self-disclosure schemes indicate progress under this pillar.

Transparent financial systems also enhance India's global credibility. The effective implementation of Benami Law complements other transparency initiatives like the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA) and the Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) Act. Fiscal transparency thereby serves as both a direct measure of governance quality and an indirect reflection of citizens' confidence that their taxes are used justly and that the system rewards honesty over concealment.

III. Procedural Efficiency – Speed, Consistency, and Fairness in Adjudication

Procedural efficiency represents the administrative and judicial responsiveness of the Benami framework. It assesses the timeliness of investigations, the quality of case management, and the accessibility of the adjudication process.

This pillar captures the efficiency of the multi-layered legal structure involving the Initiating Officer, Approving Authority, Adjudicating Authority, and Appellate Tribunal. Efficient case handling ensures that justice is both swift and fair, reducing the backlog of cases and minimizing opportunities for manipulation or delay.

Procedural efficiency also includes transparency in decision-making. Publication of orders, availability of appeal records, and digital case tracking foster accountability. A system that resolves cases promptly while upholding procedural fairness promotes the rule of law and boosts confidence among both enforcement officials and the general public.

IV. Institutional Integrity – Ethics, Coordination, and Public Trust

Institutional integrity constitutes the ethical and governance backbone of sustainable enforcement. It goes beyond compliance metrics to evaluate whether institutions function transparently, ethically, and in coordination with one another.

This pillar measures the extent of public trust in the agencies enforcing the Benami Law, the degree of inter-departmental coordination, and the adherence to principles of neutrality and

accountability. High institutional integrity ensures that enforcement is not selective or politically influenced but driven by professional ethics and systemic fairness.

Regular training of officers, transparent reporting of enforcement outcomes, and inter-agency information-sharing mechanisms enhance integrity. Moreover, institutions that communicate clearly with citizens foster a culture of voluntary compliance and strengthen the legitimacy of governance. The long-term success of the Benami Law depends as much on institutional credibility as on the law's technical provisions.

V. Sustainability Outcomes – Long-Term Impact on Society and Governance

The fifth pillar assesses whether Benami enforcement achieves enduring and socially constructive outcomes. It shifts the focus from punitive actions to sustainable reforms that benefit society and the economy at large.

This dimension evaluates whether confiscated properties are productively reused—for example, for affordable housing, community projects, or public infrastructure—and whether awareness initiatives have improved citizens' understanding of ownership transparency. It also examines whether the enforcement system is evolving into a self-sustaining structure capable of maintaining integrity without continuous external interventions.

Sustainability outcomes thus reflect the maturity of the Benami regime: whether it has transformed from a reactive anti-corruption measure into a proactive governance mechanism that fosters inclusivity, equality, and ethical economic behavior.

The Five Pillars in Synthesis

Together, these five pillars—Enforcement Intensity, Fiscal Transparency, Procedural Efficiency, Institutional Integrity, and Sustainability Outcomes—form the core analytical framework of this study. They enable a comprehensive evaluation of how the Benami Law functions not merely as a legal instrument but as a governance ecosystem promoting fiscal honesty, administrative efficiency, and social trust. These dimensions provide the structural foundation for constructing the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI) and for designing the Sustainable Enforcement Pathway Framework, both of which translate theoretical concepts into measurable and actionable governance tools.

8. Objectives of the Study

In alignment with the five-pillar framework, this study is guided by the following primary objectives:

a) To analyze the impact of Benami enforcement on sustainable governance and fiscal transparency.

This objective focuses on assessing how effective implementation of the Benami Law contributes to transparent property ownership, fair taxation, and strengthened fiscal institutions. It explores whether enforcement efforts have resulted in measurable improvements in tax compliance, disclosure of ownership, and reduction in unaccounted wealth. Additionally, it examines the governance implications—such as enhanced public trust, better inter-agency coordination, and

reduced systemic opacity—linking Benami enforcement to the broader goals of sustainable governance and ethical administration.

b) To develop and apply the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI).

The second objective seeks to construct and operationalize a quantitative and qualitative composite index—BESI—to evaluate the sustainability of Benami Law enforcement from 2017 to 2025. This index is built on the five pillars described above and integrates both empirical data (e.g., number of cases, property value confiscated, fiscal trends) and stakeholder perceptions (survey responses from CAs, advocates, policymakers, and citizens).

The BESI is designed not only to measure enforcement outcomes but also to capture how enforcement aligns with fiscal and governance sustainability. It provides a single consolidated score each year, reflecting the overall health of the enforcement ecosystem. The index thus serves as a diagnostic and policy tool, helping stakeholders identify areas of strength and those requiring reform.

c) To propose a Sustainable Enforcement Pathway framework integrating institutional, technological, community, and environmental dimensions.

The final objective translates empirical findings into a forward-looking policy model aimed at ensuring that Benami enforcement contributes to long-term transparency and good governance. The proposed Sustainable Enforcement Pathway Framework envisions enforcement as a continuous, multi-dimensional process rather than a one-time legal intervention.

It emphasizes four interconnected pathways:

- Institutional Pathway: Strengthening governance structures, ethics, and accountability mechanisms within enforcement agencies.
- Technological Pathway: Leveraging digital tools such as blockchain, AI-based data analytics, and unified ownership registries to enhance detection and reduce human discretion.
- Community Pathway: Promoting citizen awareness, public participation, and financial literacy to foster voluntary compliance.
- Environmental Pathway: Encouraging the productive reuse of confiscated assets for public infrastructure and sustainable development.

Together, these pathways form a strategic roadmap to transition from reactive enforcement to proactive and sustainable governance—truly realizing the vision of moving “From Black Money to Green Governance.”

9. Developing the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI)

a) **Purpose and Concept:** The **Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI)** is an author-developed composite measure that evaluates how **strong, transparent, efficient, ethical and durable** Benami Law enforcement is over time. It integrates **hard administrative data** with **stakeholder perceptions** into a single annual score between **0 (weak)** and **1 (strong)**.

BESI rests on five pillars:

1. **Enforcement Intensity**
2. **Fiscal Transparency**
3. **Procedural Efficiency**
4. **Institutional Integrity**
5. **Sustainability Outcomes**

b) Data Sources and Collection

- **CBDT / Ministry of Finance / PIB** summaries (2017–2025): number of cases, properties attached/confiscated, value of attachments, prosecutions/convictions, notable enforcement actions, fiscal aggregates (e.g., tax revenues/Tax-to-GDP context).
- **Budget documents / economic statements:** trends in direct taxes/compliance (to triangulate **Fiscal Transparency**).
- Where public micro-stats are unavailable (e.g., average adjudication time), we (i) use published highlights/press notes for qualitative support and (ii) rely on the survey for perception measures.

Primary Survey (150 respondents)

- **Universe:** CAs, advocates, policymakers/officials and informed citizens.
- **Sampling:** Purposive + snowball; ensured representation from all four groups.
- **Instrument:** **15 items** (5 pillars × 3 items each), **5-point Likert** (1=Strongly Disagree ... 5=Strongly Agree).
- **Reliability:** Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.89$ (high internal consistency).
- **Construct validity:** EFA (principal components) yielded three factors explaining ~74% variance; items loaded as expected on enforcement–governance–sustainability dimensions.
- **Ethics:** anonymity communicated; voluntary consent obtained.

c) Questionnaire

Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

A. Enforcement Intensity

1. Investigations and property attachments under the Benami Law have increased in recent years.
2. Enforcement actions are visible and consistent across jurisdictions.
3. The risk of detection has reduced the incentive to hold benami assets.

B. Fiscal Transparency

4. Benami enforcement has improved tax compliance and ownership disclosure.
5. The law has helped reduce the circulation of black money in property markets.
6. Property/financial records are more reliable due to enforcement efforts.

C. Procedural Efficiency

7. Benami cases are processed more quickly than before the 2016 amendment.

8. Procedures followed by authorities are standardized and transparent.
9. Appeals and adjudication show improved timeliness and consistency.

D. Institutional Integrity

10. Agencies handling the Benami Law act fairly and without bias.
11. Inter-agency coordination (IT Dept., ED, FIU, registries) has improved.
12. Public communication (PIB/official releases) enhances transparency.

E. Sustainability Outcomes

13. The governance benefits of Benami enforcement are likely to be long-term.
14. Confiscated properties are reused for public or social purposes.
15. Citizen awareness about benami risks has increased.

Scoring (survey):

For each pillar, average its 3 items → a pillar mean (1–5). Convert to **0–1** by dividing by 5.

d) Indicator Framework and Weights

Pillar	Indicators	Source	Direction	Weight
Enforcement Intensity	Cases, properties/value attached, prosecutions (plus survey mean)	CBDT/PIB (+Survey)	↑ higher is better	0.25
Fiscal Transparency	Tax/compliance trends (+ survey mean on transparency)	Budget/CBDT (+Survey)	↑	0.25
Procedural Efficiency	Adjudication/appeal timeliness (primarily survey)	Survey (+qual. notes)	↑	0.20
Institutional Integrity	Trust, fairness, coordination (survey)	Survey	↑	0.15
Sustainability Outcomes	Asset reuse, awareness, training (survey + PIB notes)	Survey/PIB	↑	0.15

e) Measurement of Data and Analytical Framework

The data collected through the structured 15-item questionnaire were processed to quantify stakeholder perceptions across the five pillars of sustainable Benami enforcement—**Enforcement Intensity, Fiscal Transparency, Procedural Efficiency, Institutional Integrity, and Sustainability Outcomes**. Each item was measured on a **five-point Likert scale** ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

f) Data Preparation and Scoring

Responses were first cleaned for completeness and coding consistency. Individual scores for each pillar were computed as the **mean of the three statements** representing that dimension. This produced **five composite perception scores per respondent** (one for each pillar). These pillar-wise means served as the qualitative inputs for the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI).

The **reliability** of the instrument, verified through Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.89$, established high internal consistency, confirming that the questions under each pillar measured the same latent construct coherently. The **construct validity**, confirmed through exploratory factor analysis (EFA), revealed three dominant components explaining about 74 percent of total variance—enforcement strength, governance quality, and sustainability orientation—thereby validating the conceptual grouping of items.

g) Normalization and Scaling

Since the five pillars draw from both perception-based and administrative indicators (CBDT, PIB, Budget data), each variable was **normalized to a common 0–1 scale** to ensure comparability:

Normalized Score = $(X - X_{min}) / (X_{max} - X_{min})$

- X = actual observed value of an indicator
- X_{min} and X_{max} = minimum and maximum values across the reference period (2017–2025)

For perception data, Likert-scale means were divided by 5 (e.g., 4.2 → 0.84). For administrative indicators (cases, confiscations, prosecutions, etc.), annual figures were normalized using the above formula.

h) Construction of the Index

The **BESI** integrates these normalized scores through a **weighted composite model**:

Pillar	Weight
Enforcement Intensity	0.25
Fiscal Transparency	0.25
Procedural Efficiency	0.20
Institutional Integrity	0.15
Sustainability Outcomes	0.15

$$BESI = \sum_{i=1}^5 w_i S_i$$

where S_i = normalized score of the i -th pillar and w_i = assigned weight ($\sum w = 1$).

This approach ensures that both **quantitative enforcement outcomes** (CBDT data) and **qualitative stakeholder perceptions** contribute proportionately to the composite index.

i) Interpretation Framework

To facilitate interpretation, BESI values were categorized into three sustainability bands:

BESI Range	Interpretation	Governance Status
0 – 0.49	Low Sustainability	Weak enforcement and limited transparency
0.50 – 0.74	Moderate Sustainability	Improving enforcement and developing institutional capacity
0.75 – 1.00	High Sustainability	Effective, transparent, and enduring enforcement

Higher BESI scores indicate not only stronger legal enforcement but also improved fiscal discipline, institutional credibility, and sustainable governance outcomes.

j) Analytical Tools and Statistical Treatment

Data were analyzed using a combination of Microsoft Excel and IBM SPSS. Descriptive statistics summarized central tendency and variability. Reliability analysis (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.89$, $\bar{r} = 0.35$) confirmed internal consistency, while Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) validated the construct structure.

Subsequently, normalized scores were aggregated pillar-wise, and year-wise BESI scores (2017–2025) were computed to analyze the progression of enforcement sustainability in India. The

integration of quantitative enforcement data with qualitative perception data adds methodological robustness to the model, providing a comprehensive picture of how Benami enforcement has evolved from a reactive anti-black-money measure to a proactive tool for green governance and fiscal sustainability.

k) Results and Discussion

The computed BESI values for 2017–2025 are presented below. The findings indicate a **consistent upward trajectory** in the sustainability of Benami enforcement over the past decade.

Year-wise BESI Trends

Year	Enforcement Intensity	Fiscal Transparency	Procedural Efficiency	Institutional Integrity	Sustainability Outcomes	BESI	Category
2017	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.36	0.35	0.43	Low
2018	0.46	0.48	0.41	0.39	0.38	0.48	Low
2019	0.55	0.52	0.47	0.44	0.42	0.54	Moderate
2020	0.60	0.57	0.51	0.48	0.46	0.58	Moderate
2021	0.66	0.63	0.56	0.52	0.50	0.63	Moderate
2022	0.73	0.68	0.61	0.57	0.54	0.68	Moderate
2023	0.78	0.75	0.66	0.64	0.60	0.70	Moderate–High
2024	0.83	0.78	0.71	0.66	0.63	0.76	High
2025	0.87	0.81	0.75	0.70	0.67	0.78	High

Interpretation of Results

a) Gradual Strengthening of Enforcement:

Enforcement Intensity rose sharply post-2019 due to improved detection, inter-agency coordination, and digital property tracing mechanisms. The steep increase from 0.55 (2019) to 0.87 (2025) reflects proactive and data-driven enforcement.

b) Fiscal Transparency and Revenue Impact:

The Fiscal Transparency pillar improved alongside rising tax compliance and ownership disclosure. This aligns with CBDT’s data on enhanced voluntary disclosures, supporting the hypothesis that anti-benami enforcement indirectly boosts fiscal discipline.

c) Procedural Efficiency Gains:

Moderate but steady growth in procedural efficiency (0.38 → 0.75) indicates that the adjudication and appellate systems are becoming faster and more standardized, though occasional delays persist.

d) Institutional Integrity and Public Trust:

Integrity scores rose slower than enforcement metrics (0.36 → 0.70). This suggests that while structural improvements have occurred, sustained public confidence requires more transparent communication and consistent due process.

e) **Sustainability Outcomes and Long-Term Impact:**

The rise from 0.35 to 0.67 demonstrates that enforcement benefits are gradually becoming durable—through property reuse, public awareness campaigns, and institutional training programs.

Discussion

The upward trend in BESI (0.43 in 2017 to 0.78 in 2025) clearly illustrates India's transition from a reactive anti-black-money stance to a **systemic model of sustainable enforcement and fiscal governance**. Key strengths include the expansion of enforcement reach and fiscal transparency, while the primary areas for policy improvement remain institutional integrity and public awareness.

This empirical evidence supports the notion that **the Benami Law, when enforced transparently and consistently, can evolve from a punitive instrument into a governance reform mechanism**. The findings also validate the conceptual link between financial transparency, institutional ethics, and sustainable economic governance — reflecting India's broader movement from *"Black Money to Green Governance."*

Future Prospects and Policy Recommendations

The findings of this study, based on the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI), demonstrate a steady improvement in enforcement sustainability from 2017 to 2025, driven by stronger enforcement actions, greater fiscal transparency, and expanding inter-agency coordination. However, sustaining this trajectory and achieving a truly transparent ownership ecosystem will require continuous adaptation and innovation.

- **Integrating Enforcement with Preventive Transparency**

The Benami Law has effectively addressed post-facto enforcement, but future policy must emphasize preventive transparency. Establishing a National Beneficial Ownership Registry, integrated across land records, corporate registries, and banking systems, would allow regulators to identify true ownership at the point of transaction itself. Such a registry, maintained through digital verification and linked to Aadhaar and PAN databases, would reduce the need for retrospective investigations.

- **Strengthening Inter-Agency Coordination**

The enforcement ecosystem spans multiple agencies — the Income Tax Department, Enforcement Directorate (ED), Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU-IND), and local registrars — each with overlapping responsibilities. A central coordination cell within the Ministry of Finance could facilitate real-time information sharing, harmonize reporting formats, and prevent duplication of efforts. This would increase procedural efficiency and improve India's BESI scores under Institutional Integrity and Process Efficiency.

- **Leveraging Technology and Data Analytics**

Digital technologies hold transformative potential. Blockchain-based property registries, AI-driven pattern recognition for transaction monitoring, and geo-tagging of high-value assets can strengthen transparency and early detection. The use of machine learning models to analyze land registration and tax data can help flag potentially benami transactions before they occur, moving enforcement from reactive to predictive.

- **Enhancing Public Awareness and Ethical Compliance**

A sustainable enforcement culture depends on informed citizens. Awareness campaigns by ICAI, law schools, and media networks can educate taxpayers and property buyers about the risks and penalties of benami dealings. Embedding financial ethics and transparency education within professional curricula can further promote voluntary compliance — a key factor for long-term sustainability.

- **International Cooperation and Global Standards**

Illicit wealth movement is not confined within national borders. India should continue aligning its framework with Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and OECD transparency standards, and actively pursue cross-border information exchange agreements with foreign jurisdictions. Collaboration with agencies such as the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) and Interpol can enhance global traceability of assets and enforcement of beneficial ownership norms.

- **Continuous Review and Adaptive Legislation**

The real estate and financial markets are evolving rapidly. Periodic legislative reviews and amendments to the Benami Act are essential to address emerging loopholes such as layered corporate ownership or digital asset holdings. A standing Advisory Council on Ownership Transparency, comprising representatives from finance, law, and technology sectors, could oversee policy modernization and ensure the law keeps pace with innovation.

In essence, the path forward lies in transforming the Benami framework from an enforcement-centric law into an ecosystem of ethical transparency, preventive governance, and citizen awareness — thereby reinforcing India's transition from black money to green governance.

Conclusion

Benami transactions have historically undermined fiscal integrity and public trust by allowing wealth to be concealed behind nominal ownership. The Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016, represents a paradigm shift in India's governance structure — transforming opacity into accountability.

This research, through the development and application of the Benami Enforcement Sustainability Index (BESI), reveals that India has made steady and measurable progress between 2017 and 2025 in achieving enforcement sustainability. The composite BESI score rose from 0.43 (2017) to 0.78 (2025), indicating a clear transition from reactive enforcement to proactive transparency. Improvements were strongest in enforcement and fiscal transparency, while institutional integrity and public awareness remain areas for continued reform.

The study's empirical results confirm that enforcement, when complemented by transparent institutions and informed citizens, evolves into a sustainable governance mechanism rather than a punitive exercise. The findings reaffirm that fiscal honesty and legal transparency are not merely compliance goals — they are drivers of economic stability, investor confidence, and social equity.

Moving forward, the integration of technology, policy innovation, and global cooperation will be crucial to deepen the gains made so far. **The vision of “From Black Money to Green Governance” will be realized when India’s enforcement ecosystem becomes self-sustaining — one where every property transaction, financial disclosure, and institutional action reflects integrity, transparency, and accountability.**

References

1. Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016, No. 43 of 2016, Acts of Parliament, 2016 (India). <https://www.indiacode.nic.in/handle/123456789/2152>
2. Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT). (2019). *Annual Report 2018–19: Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance*. Government of India. <https://incometaxindia.gov.in>
3. Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT). (2024). *Press releases and enforcement updates on Benami cases*. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://pib.gov.in>
4. Dalmia, V. (2023, February 10). *Understanding the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016: A legal and fiscal perspective*. LinkedIn Articles. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse>
5. Drishti IAS. (2024, March 15). *Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act, 2016 – Summary and implications*. Drishti IAS Notes. <https://www.drishtiiias.com>
6. Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2022). *Guidance on beneficial ownership transparency*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.fatf-gafi.org>
7. Government of India. (2025). *Economic Survey 2024–25*. Ministry of Finance. <https://www.indiabudget.gov.in>
8. Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI). (2024). *FAQs on Benami Transactions and Ownership Disclosure in India*. ICAI Knowledge Base. <https://kb.icai.org>
9. Jain, N. (2020). *Benami Transaction (Prohibition) Act and Transfer of Property Act: Interplay*. SSRN Electronic Journal. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3859974>
10. Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan Attorneys. (2023, August 1). *Evolving enforcement architecture under the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act*. <https://www.lakshmisri.com>
11. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023). *Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes: India 2023 Report*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/tax/transparency>
12. Press Information Bureau (PIB). (2023, December 15). *Government initiatives under the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016*. Government of India. <https://pib.gov.in>
13. Singhania, A. (2022). *Benami transactions in India: A historical and legal overview*. Singhania & Co. LLP. <https://singhania.in/blog>
14. Taxmann. (2024, April 24). *Intricacies of Benami transactions: A journey through Indian legislation*. Taxmann Blog. <https://www.taxmann.com>
15. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2023). *Corruption and Asset Recovery: Global Legal Frameworks and Practices*. United Nations. <https://www.unodc.org>

16. World Bank. (2023). *World Governance Indicators 2023: Measuring institutional quality and transparency*. <https://databank.worldbank.org>