Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 5 Issue 2 (2025)

Quick Commerce in India: Navigating Challenges and Unlocking Opportunities for Sustainable Growth

Prof. Priyanka Mourya[1] and Dr. Sivaprasad Murugan[2]
[1]Assistant Professor, Lala Lajpatrai Institute of Management, Mumbai, India
[2]Associate Professor, Chetana's Ramprasad Khandelwal Institute of Management and Research, Mumbai, India

Abstract:
Indian economy has shifted its paradigm from agri-based to service-driven and all-inclusive economy in due course. Due to globalization, privatization and technological advancements, trade and commerce activities are flourished. The next evolution of e-commerce, quick commerce (Q-commerce), has taken off in India thanks to shifting consumer lifestyles, digital adoption, and the growing need for lightning-fast deliveries. With a focus on its implications for long-term sustainability, this study examines the opportunities and problems related to the expansion of Q-commerce in India. On the one hand, Q-commerce sites like Blinkit, Zepto, and Swiggy Instamart are using hyperlocal delivery models to redefine convenience and generate job opportunities. However, there are a number of obstacles they must overcome, such as high operating costs, ineffective inventory management, environmental issues brought on by excessive packaging, and labour exploitation in the gig economy. The lack of regulations and infrastructure limitations in India's cities and semi-urban areas that hinder scalability are also examined in the study. By means of an extensive examination of secondary

Keywords:
Quick commerce, E-commerce innovation, Sustainable growth, Hyperlocal delivery, Consumer behavior, Gig economy, Green logistics

1. Introduction:

A development of traditional e-commerce, quick commerce (Q-commerce) is revolutionizing the Indian retail industry by providing lightning-fast delivery services in as little as 10 to 30 minutes. Rapid urbanization, widespread smartphone use, and rising consumer expectations for convenience are the main causes of this change (Deloitte, 2023). Businesses like Blinkit, Zepto, and Swiggy Instamart have taken the lead in a crowded market where speed and dependability of service are crucial differentiators. Redseer (2022) predicts that the demand for immediate delivery of household goods, personal care products, and groceries will propel the Indian Q-commerce market to grow 10–15 times between 2022 and 2025. Additionally, Q-commerce presents special operational and logistical difficulties. A dense network of micro-fulfillment centers, or "dark stores," located close to high-demand areas is necessary for prompt delivery, which dramatically increases operating costs (EY India, 2023). Maintaining product availability, controlling inventory turnover, and making sure the workforce is prepared under extreme time constraints are still crucial concerns. The model is made even more complex by the use of gig workers, as worries about unstable employment, irregular pay, and little social protection are the main topics of discussion (ILO, 2022).

Since the Q-commerce model is linked to excessive packaging, higher vehicle emissions from last-mile deliveries, and inefficient energy use in storage and logistics, environmental sustainability is yet another major concern (KPMG, 2023). These issues run counter to India's commitment to sustainable development goals, which is why green logistics innovations like AI-driven route optimization, biodegradable packaging, and electric vehicle use are necessary. Notwithstanding its technological advancements, Q-commerce may hasten environmental degradation in the absence of these interventions. Q-commerce's urban focus also limits its scalability. Despite being emerging markets, tier-2 and tier-3 cities encounter infrastructure challenges such as inadequate digital infrastructure, low supply chain maturity, and poor road connectivity (NITI Aayog, 2022). In addition, problems like data security, gig economy labor rights, and dark store zoning are made more difficult by the absence of a thorough regulatory framework. A policy change is necessary to address these structural gaps and promote the expansion of Q-commerce in a responsible and scalable manner.

Despite these obstacles, Q-commerce creates chances for supply chain innovation, micro-entrepreneurship, and digital transformation in retail. Delivery predictability, customer experience, and inventory accuracy are all being enhanced by technologies like blockchain, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things (IoT) (PwC India, 2023). Digital integration of small and local vendors into broader ecosystems is promoting economic inclusion and bolstering hyperlocal economies. There is room to align these models with the broader goals of sustainable urban development and Digital India as they develop. In India, Q-commerce is a vibrant combination of speed, technology, and convenience; however, its viability hinges on addressing important ethical, equitable, and environmental concerns. In order to provide a roadmap for inclusive and sustainable growth, this study aims to analyze the sector's dualities. The study adds to the conversation on creating robust, accountable, and customer-focused digital commerce platforms by combining knowledge from sustainability frameworks, policy analysis, and current industry trends.

2. Background of Study:

With the rise of quick commerce (Q-commerce), an incredibly quick delivery model that promises to deliver goods—mostly groceries and everyday necessities—within 10 to 30 minutes, India's retail scene has seen a dramatic change in recent years. Technological developments, growing digital penetration, and shifts in consumer behavior toward instant gratification have all played a significant role in this evolution. As urban populations began to depend on home delivery services for convenience and safety, the COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated the adoption of Q-commerce platforms (Bain & Company, 2022). Startups and aggregators like Blinkit, Zepto, and Swiggy Instamart were born out of the need for almost instant delivery. They used gig workers and micro-fulfillment centers to efficiently complete low-value, high-frequency orders. The Q-commerce model is becoming ingrained in urban consumption patterns as Indian consumers grow more tech-savvy and time-conscious.

Although Q-commerce's convenience and instantaneity make it appealing, the business model has a number of operational and logistical limitations. Establishing a dense network of dark stores, purchasing real-time inventory management systems, and hiring a flexible workforce that can

handle erratic demand peaks are all necessary to meet rapid delivery commitments (FICCI, 2023). Even the top Q-commerce players are concerned about profitability because of these factors, which dramatically raise the cost-to-serve. Additionally, using gig workers creates complications related to insurance, worker rights, and fair wages—all of which are frequently sacrificed in the name of expediting delivery. According to industry analysts, despite high volumes and investor interest, the majority of Q-commerce businesses will continue to operate at a loss unless unit economics are improved through technological innovation or widespread adoption (Kearney India, 2023).

From the perspective of the customer, Q-commerce is about more than just speed; it's also about dependability, product accessibility, and user-friendliness. A key element of competitiveness is service excellence since customers are expecting more and more real-time order tracking, flexible delivery schedules, and consistent product quality. Furthermore, the demand for quick and easy shopping experiences has been further fueled by demographic changes, including smaller nuclear families, rising disposable income, and an increase in the number of women in the workforce (ICRIER, 2022). Because Gen Z and millennials prefer instantaneous, mobile-first experiences, Q-commerce is a crucial service for urban retailers and aggregators as they propel the growth of digital commerce. However, Q-commerce operators are under tremendous pressure to deliver consistent service across a variety of service dimensions due to the high expectations of their customers.

The sustainability of Q-commerce in terms of the environment and society is another significant worry. The model's heavy reliance on motorbike deliveries raises emissions and carbon footprints, particularly in crowded cities (World Economic Forum, 2023). Furthermore, the use of excessive packaging to preserve brand image and product quality adds to the growing waste issues. Stakeholders in the Q-commerce ecosystem need to assess their environmental practices and implement green logistics solutions as India works to meet its net-zero carbon emissions targets by 2070. This entails switching to electric cars (EVs), putting returnable packaging into place, and using AI-powered tools to optimize route planning. Environmental sustainability is a consumer priority as well as a legal requirement, especially for younger, eco-aware consumers.

Socioeconomic issues like labor exploitation in the gig economy and environmental concerns have drawn attention. The foundation of Q-commerce, gig workers, frequently deal with erratic work schedules, no health insurance, and little legal protection. There are regulatory ambiguities surrounding worker classification, benefits, and social security because India's labor laws have not kept up with the changing nature of platform work (Brookings India, 2021). Q-commerce businesses need to have stakeholder discussions and take proactive steps to protect worker welfare in light of the growing discussions surrounding moral business conduct and inclusive growth. By doing this, they not only guarantee business continuity but also foster brand loyalty and consumer trust in a market that is extremely competitive.

Policymakers, urban planners, entrepreneurs, and civil society must all work together to ensure the expansion and sustainability of Q-commerce in India in light of these intricate interdependencies. Regulations governing worker rights, waste management, data privacy, and

dark store zoning must be established immediately. Public-private partnerships, on the other hand, can be extremely important for improving urban infrastructure, promoting green innovation, and raising consumer and small retailer digital literacy. Establishing a balanced ecosystem where speed does not come at the expense of environmental degradation or social equity is essential to Q-commerce's long-term survival. This study aims to put these dynamics in perspective and offer solutions for overcoming obstacles and seizing chances for equitable and long-term growth.

3. Scope and Significance of Study:

With a primary focus on urban and metropolitan areas like Delhi NCR, Mumbai, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad, the study's scope centers on examining the swift commerce (Q-commerce) services' explosive growth in India. It includes an analysis of the delivery methods, customer expectations, Q-commerce business models, and technology integration that makes hyperlocal logistics possible. Additionally, by looking at the role of gig workers, energy-intensive logistics, and packaging waste, the study broadens its focus to include the socioeconomic and environmental effects of Q-commerce. The study takes into account major players like Zepto, Blinkit, Swiggy, Instamart, and Dunzo, providing a current view of pricing, competition, and innovation tactics (IMARC Group, 2024). In order to keep the focus on immediate-delivery platforms and their operational complexities, the study purposefully leaves out traditional e-commerce and brick-and-mortar retail segments. The scope also includes assessing urban planning restrictions and policy frameworks that affect the scalability of Q-commerce, particularly in tier-2 and tier-3 cities.

In light of India's shift to a digitally empowered society, where convenience-based consumption is changing consumer expectations, this study is important. With the help of real-time inventory data, AI-powered demand forecasting, and micro-fulfillment centers, Q-commerce represents a transition from demand-fulfillment to demand-anticipation (Frost & Sullivan, 2023). Understanding how this disruptive model can support national objectives like "Digital India," "Smart Cities Mission," and "Startup India" is crucial. The study also highlights how Q-commerce, albeit in an unofficial and frequently unregulated form, helps create jobs, particularly for migrant workers and urban youth. The study offers significant insights into platform accountability, worker safety, and employment quality by examining labor dynamics in the gig economy. This information is essential for striking a balance between the convenience economy, moral labor standards, and legislative changes.

This study is important from a business and investment perspective because it can be used by retail strategists, supply chain managers, venture capitalists, and Q-commerce operators. This study offers insights into cost optimization, customer retention, and service quality improvement, as many Q-commerce businesses struggle to turn a profit because of high operating costs and competitive pricing. Additionally, it provides insight into how technological advancements are influencing the customer experience, including AI chatbots, smart route planning, and IoT-enabled inventory (Deloitte India, 2024). The study gives companies strategic tools for market segmentation, loyalty program design, and personalization by examining consumer preferences

and pain points. In a highly competitive market, these findings are important not only for business performance but also for attaining long-term customer satisfaction.
The study becomes even more pertinent when considering environmental sustainability, which is a major issue for India's quickly growing urban areas. Due to non-biodegradable packaging, increased vehicle usage, and last-mile delivery congestion, Q-commerce by its very nature produces higher emissions (WRI India, 2023). Through the use of biodegradable packaging, electric vehicles, and energy-efficient warehouse techniques, the study investigates how Q-commerce operators can make the shift to green logistics. Additionally, it assesses whether customers are willing to pay more for sustainable services and whether corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs can help reduce environmental impact. Q-commerce businesses can develop long-term brand equity and regulatory goodwill by incorporating environmental factors into their business plan. For stakeholders interested in the triple bottom line—profit, people, and planet—this research is therefore important.

The study's contribution to urban governance and policymaking is another significant aspect. Zoning laws, traffic rules, data privacy standards, and labor codes are all either out-of-date or not adequately enforced in the complex urban ecosystems in which Q-commerce services operate (Observer Research Foundation, 2023). The study makes suggestions for developing progressive and inclusive regulatory frameworks that define platform accountability, safeguard consumer data, and incorporate dark stores into smart city plans. Additionally, it suggests partnerships between civil society, logistics companies, and municipalities to guarantee social justice, digital literacy, and more seamless infrastructure development. Therefore, the study is important for think tanks, policymakers, and urban planners who want to control innovation without stunting growth.

This study adds to the small but expanding corpus of research on Q-commerce in emerging economies, which has theoretical and scholarly significance. Traditional e-commerce and supply chain logistics have been extensively studied, but Q-commerce has received less attention from Indian academics. By combining theories of consumer behavior, labor economics, digital transformation, and sustainability science, this study presents interdisciplinary viewpoints. It also creates opportunities for more empirical studies on the effects of Q-commerce on different product categories, demographics, and geographical areas. As a result, the study is a fundamental resource for scholars, instructors, and students examining the connections between development, technology, and commerce in addition to being a useful manual for practitioners.

4. Objectives of Study:

- To examine the operational models and technological innovations adopted by Q-commerce companies in India
- To evaluate the perceptions, preferences, and behavioral trends of Indian consumers toward Q-commerce services
- To investigate the socio-economic impact of Q-commerce on gig workers and delivery partners in the Indian urban economy
- To analyze the environmental implications of Q-commerce operations in urban India

- To identify policy gaps and recommend sustainable, inclusive, and scalable strategies for Q-commerce development in India

5. Review of Literature

The rise of e-commerce in India, which was marked by urban consumers' growing desire for instant gratification, gave rise to quick commerce (Kapoor & Singh, 2023). This change was sped up by the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced businesses to optimize delivery times to 10 to 30 minutes (Mukherjee et al., 2022). Micro-fulfillment centers, also known as "dark stores," proliferated as a result of this paradigm shift, and app-based logistics solutions became more widely used. Businesses that prioritize speed and convenience over conventional retail methods, such as Blinkit, Swiggy, Instamart, and Zepto, have effectively capitalized on this trend (Gupta & Agarwal, 2023). The success of Q-commerce has been largely attributed to technological developments, including real-time inventory management, AI-driven demand forecasting, and GPS-based route optimization (Jain et al., 2023). Smart-routing systems have improved delivery timelines by 20%, and AI integration has decreased stock-outs by up to 40% (Reddy & Thakur, 2024). Furthermore, as evidenced by consumer adoption rates in metro areas, mobile-first interfaces and hyperlocal recommendations have improved user engagement (Sharma & Banerjee, 2023).

According to several studies, convenience, speed, and an on-demand lifestyle are the main reasons why millennials and Gen Z consumers are adopting Q-commerce at the highest rate (Patel & Kumar, 2022). Their usage trends point to a rise in expenditure on necessities and quick groceries. According to a survey conducted in Mumbai and Delhi NCR, more than 65% of participants use Q-commerce at least once a week, and they gave the service's speed and usability high marks (Mehta & Rangan, 2023). The Q-commerce model still faces profitability challenges despite its growing popularity. Unit economics are strained by high infrastructure costs, such as fleet maintenance, workforce compensation, and dark-store rent (Ghosh & Roy, 2023). Although analysts contend that attaining scale economies is crucial, aggressive discounting and promotional expenditures continue to result in the majority of Indian businesses operating at a loss (Kanal & Menon, 2024).

The use of gig workers creates long-term labor problems. According to studies, these workers frequently receive less than the minimum wage, have no benefits, and are at risk for health issues (Srivastava & Gupta, 2023). The industry suffers from unclear worker classification and insufficient social protection, and regulatory interventions are still being developed (Nair & Prasad, 2024). The question of whether gig workers should be given official employment status is becoming more and more heated. The environmental impact of Q-commerce has garnered attention, particularly in relation to packaging waste and carbon emissions from motorbike fleets (Khan & Iyer, 2024). Last-mile deliveries have increased air pollution in crowded cities. To reduce ecological footprints, literature suggests using reusable packaging, electric cars, and AI-driven route consolidation (Shukla & Varghese, 2023).

Significant obstacles are posed by regulatory barriers, such as zoning restrictions for dark stores, uneven urban planning, and the lack of policies specifically for gig workers (Saxena & Joshi,

2024). Furthermore, the rapid expansion of Q-commerce outside of metro areas is hindered by infrastructural deficiencies in tier-2/3 cities, such as inadequate road connectivity and unreliable internet (Trivedi & Rao, 2023). By incorporating local suppliers and micro-retailers into platform ecosystems, Q-commerce has the potential to democratize the economy (Bhatt & Shah, 2023). In addition to giving small businesses more digital exposure and a wider market reach, this inclusion boosts local economies. Additionally, it supports national programs like Startup India and Digital India, which encourage young people to start their own businesses.

In Q-commerce, customer loyalty is largely dependent on service quality determinants, such as speed, dependability, and convenience (Chatterjee & Das, 2023). The incorporation of chatbots, real-time tracking, and loops for customer feedback has raised the bar. However, there are still differences between platforms, particularly during times of high demand or peak hours, which results in inconsistent service (Deshmukh & Nanda, 2024). Scholars who have synthesized the literature support a multi-stakeholder strategy that includes investment in green technology, regulatory reform, and labor rights protection in order to ensure sustainability (Verma & Khanna, 2024). Promising pilot projects include biodegradable packaging and EV-based fleets. To develop complex frameworks for sustainable Q-commerce, future research is advised to use mixed-method approaches, such as worker interviews, consumer surveys, and environmental impact modeling.

6. Discussion and Analysis:

A major change in urban consumer behavior and the retail ecosystem is indicated by the rise of quick commerce, or Q-commerce, in India. In order to satisfy the growing demands of time-conscious, tech-savvy customers, companies such as Zepto, Blinkit, Swiggy, Instamart, and BigBasket Now have invented ultra-fast delivery models. Digital payments, smartphone adoption, and young working professionals' need for instant gratification have all contributed to this change (IMARC Group, 2024). Nonetheless, the hyper-growth model is under pressure to balance environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and commercial scalability (Patel & Desai, 2025). The high operating costs of Q-commerce are one of its main problems. Significant operating costs result from micro-fulfillment centers, fleet maintenance, and round-the-clock staffing. Profitability is strained by these elements as well as low average order values and aggressive discounting tactics (Kanal & Menon, 2024). In order to maintain financial sustainability, it is necessary to reconsider pricing models, delivery optimization, and cost-sharing arrangements, as many businesses continue to operate at a loss despite rising order volumes (Ghosh & Roy, 2023).

Another urgent problem is the dynamics of the gig economy. Usually categorized as independent contractors, delivery partners lack job stability, paid time off, and health insurance (Srivastava & Gupta, 2023). According to studies, the majority work under algorithmic management systems that have unpredictable effects on income and make just over the minimum wage (Nair & Prasad, 2024). Q-commerce businesses will be expected to improve worker protections and make contributions to social security programs as India moves closer to formalizing gig work through impending labor reforms (Brookings India, 2021). Q-commerce has benefited greatly from technological innovation. AI is used by businesses to improve delivery efficiency through

dynamic routing, demand forecasting, and real-time inventory tracking (Jain et al., 2023). App-based interfaces and GPS-based fleet optimization have improved customer engagement and shortened delivery times (Sharma & Banerjee, 2023). However, because of inadequate infrastructure and low levels of digital literacy, tier-2 and tier-3 cities have limited adoption of these technologies (Tiwari & Deshmukh, 2023). This poses a challenge to the fair growth of Q-commerce throughout India.

Concern over environmental sustainability is becoming more prevalent in the discussion of Q-commerce. Vehicle emissions and packaging waste increase with the number of deliveries (Khan & Iyer, 2024). While some companies are experimenting with compostable packaging and electric vehicles, these practices are not widespread in the industry (Shukla & Varghese, 2023). Urban delivery networks are a major source of greenhouse gas emissions, according to WRI India (2023), which calls for stronger packaging regulations and policy support for green logistics. The viability of Q-commerce is also impacted by zoning and urban planning. In residential areas, dark stores frequently operate without regulatory clearance, leading to conflict with local authorities (Saxena & Joshi, 2024). Businesses are operating in legal limbo as a result of municipal frameworks' failure to adjust to this new retail model. For sustainable infrastructure integration, regulations pertaining to land use, urban warehousing, and digital storefronts must be clear (NITI Aayog, 2022).

Small businesses can benefit financially from Q-commerce. When local vendors and kirana shops are incorporated into Q-commerce platforms, their reach and digital visibility are increased (Bhatt & Shah, 2023). However, the smallest players may be excluded by participation barriers like high commission rates and order thresholds (Roy & Das, 2024). Platforms must create policies that guarantee fair participation and shared value creation in order to achieve meaningful inclusion. In Q-commerce, customer loyalty and trust are largely reliant on accuracy, dependability, and complaint handling. Platforms that maintained 90% on-time delivery had noticeably higher rates of repeat business, according to a study by Chatterjee and Das (2023). However, inconsistent service frequently results in unhappy customers, particularly during periods of high demand (Deshmukh & Nanda, 2024). Chatbots, loyalty programs, and real-time grievance redressal are examples of customer-centric technologies that need to become commonplace.

Legislators are starting to acknowledge the regulatory gaps in areas like data privacy, zoning, and worker protections. Although consumer data use is covered by the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (2023), algorithmic accountability and consent in digital commerce still require clarification (Singh & Shukla, 2024). A well-thought-out national Q-commerce policy can guarantee responsible data governance, promote platform-worker relations, and direct sustainable practices (Observer Research Foundation, 2023). India's Q-commerce industry is at a turning point in its development. It has revolutionized digital innovation and convenience, but how well it handles labor rights, sustainability, legal compliance, and fair access will determine how successful it is in the long run. For Q-commerce to develop into a robust, inclusive, and ecologically conscious segment of India's digital economy, a cooperative framework involving the government, corporations, labor unions, and civil society is required.

7. Findings of Study:

- According to the study, Q-commerce has become very popular with urban consumers, especially in tier-1 cities like Hyderabad, Bengaluru, Delhi, and Mumbai. Convenience, time-saving advantages, and app-based user experiences are the main reasons why more than 65% of digitally active consumers in these areas said they use quick commerce services at least once a week. The majority of users were millennials and Gen Z, indicating that the demand for instant delivery is being driven by younger demographics (IMARC Group, 2024).
- Even though the market is expanding quickly, the majority of Q-commerce businesses are having a very hard time turning a profit. The average order value is less than the cost burden of high-frequency delivery logistics, real-time inventory systems, and dark store infrastructure. Many businesses still run at a loss and mainly depend on venture capital to stay in business (Patel & Desai, 2025).
- Legal Protection Research shows that gig workers, who are crucial to the delivery of Q-commerce, deal with unstable work environments. Many of them are devoid of formal grievance procedures, health benefits, social security, and minimum wage guarantees. Concerns regarding worker exploitation and the lack of labor protections in the platform economy are raised by the fact that many delivery partners put in long hours for irregular pay (Srivastava & Gupta, 2023).
- To increase speed and efficiency, businesses have successfully implemented AI-based demand forecasting, inventory tracking, and dynamic route optimization. Stock-outs have significantly decreased as a result of these innovations, and supply chains now have better real-time visibility. However, because of infrastructure constraints, this technology is primarily found in metropolitan areas and has not yet fully impacted tier-2 and tier-3 markets (Jain et al., 2023).
- According to the study, Q-commerce's hyperlocal delivery model is linked to a startling increase in packaging waste and vehicle emissions. Widespread adoption is still low, despite a few platforms starting trials for sustainable packaging and electric vehicles. Most businesses' pricing and operational strategies do not yet take into consideration the environmental cost of quick deliveries (Khan & Iyer, 2024).
- The study found a dearth of thorough laws pertaining to environmental compliance, gig worker protection, data privacy, and dark store zoning. The majority of platforms function in legally murky areas, particularly when it comes to labor rights and land use. Inconsistent enforcement persists despite the Digital Personal Data Protection Act (2023) in India, which attempts to address consumer data concerns (Singh & Shukla, 2024).
- It was discovered that prompt deliveries, attentive customer service, and order accuracy had a significant impact on consumer trust and brand loyalty. User retention is severely impacted by cancellations, delays, and opaque delivery tracking. Higher Net Promoter Scores and repeat business were reported by platforms with superior reliability metrics (Chatterjee & Das, 2023).
- Local suppliers and kirana shops can be integrated into Q-commerce platforms to increase product offerings and create economic opportunities. Platform-related vendors saw an

increase in sales and a wider clientele. High commission fees and small retailers' lack of decision-making authority, however, continue to be issues (Bhatt & Shah, 2023).

- According to the study, more and more customers—particularly those between the ages of 25 and 40—are prepared to support platforms that practice environmental responsibility, even if it means paying a little more. However, the impact of this awareness is limited by Q-commerce companies' lack of transparency regarding sustainability practices (Verma & Singh, 2024).
- The study comes to the conclusion that in order for Q-commerce in India to shift toward a sustainable model, companies, legislators, civil society, and consumers must work together. This covers environmental standards, gig worker rights, regulatory reform, and fair digital inclusion. Q-commerce runs the risk of turning into a temporary convenience rather than a long-term, sustainable solution in the absence of these interventions (Observer Research Foundation, 2023).

8. Conclusion

Urban consumers' interactions with digital retail platforms have undergone a radical change as a result of the quick commerce (Q-commerce) movement's explosive growth in India. This model has quickly upended conventional supply chains and established a new paradigm for convenience-driven consumption by providing almost instantaneous delivery of necessities. According to the study, younger consumers have embraced Q-commerce in significant numbers, particularly in metropolitan areas. But this expansion has also resulted in serious operational, environmental, and regulatory issues that call into question the model's long-term viability. The study comes to the conclusion that although Q-commerce has enormous potential to transform India's digital economy, there are many issues with its current course that require immediate attention. The model is expensive to operate and largely relies on gig workers, who frequently lack labor rights and social protection. It is incompatible with India's sustainability goals because it increases emissions and packaging waste, unless corrective action is taken. Moreover, regulatory frameworks in areas like labor, data privacy, and zoning have not kept up with the rate of innovation in this sector. Without unambiguous legal support and ethical business practices, Q-commerce might find it difficult to move past its current VC-funded, urban-focused framework. The degree to which stakeholders successfully balance innovation and accountability will determine the future of Q-commerce in India. Legislators must enact comprehensive laws that guarantee delivery partners are treated ethically and that dark store operations are conducted in sustainable urban planning. Q-commerce companies need to make investments in inclusive partnerships with small vendors, transparent pricing, and eco-friendly practices. By supporting platforms that place a high priority on moral behavior and environmental sustainability, consumers also play a crucial role. Q-commerce in India can evolve from a convenience-driven fad into a robust, inclusive, and sustainable digital commerce model that significantly advances national development objectives by embracing a multi-stakeholder, long-term strategy.

References:

1. Bain & Company. (2022). How India Shops Online 2022: The rise of quick commerce. Retrieved from https://www.bain.com/globalassets/noindex/2022/how-india-shops-online-2022.pdf

2. Bhatt, R., & Shah, P. (2023). Digital inclusion through quick commerce platforms in India. Journal of Retail Innovation, 8(1), 45–62.
3. Brookings India. (2021). Platform work and India's labor law: Emerging challenges and regulatory responses. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/research/platform-work-in-india/
4. Chatterjee, K., & Das, S. (2023). Service quality and consumer loyalty in Q‑commerce: A study of Indian millennials. Customer Experience Quarterly, 5(2), 33–48.
5. Deloitte India. (2024). Quick commerce in India: Unlocking speed with scale and sustainability. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com/in/en/pages/consumer-business/articles/q-commerce-2024.html
6. Deloitte. (2023). The rise of Q-commerce in India: Hyperlocal, hyperfast delivery redefining the game. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com/in/en/pages/consumer-business/articles/q-commerce-in-india.html
7. Deshmukh, A., & Nanda, V. (2024). Operational consistency in quick commerce delivery systems. International Journal of Logistics, 12(4), 112–128.
8. EY India. (2023). Q-commerce: Fast forward India's retail future. Retrieved from https://www.ey.com/en_in/consumer-products-retail/q-commerce-in-india
9. FICCI. (2023). Reimagining Indian retail logistics: Challenges and solutions for Q-commerce. Retrieved from https://ficci.in/spdocument/20818/Reimagining-retail-logistics-q-commerce.pdf
10. Frost & Sullivan. (2023). India Quick Commerce Market Outlook 2023–2028. Retrieved from https://www.frost.com/research/india-quick-commerce-trends/
11. Ghosh, S., & Roy, A. (2023). Economics of speed: Unit cost analysis of Indian Q-commerce firms. Indian Journal of Business Finance, 16(2), 78–94.
12. Gupta, N., & Agarwal, S. (2023). Competitive strategies in India's emergent Q‑commerce market. Asian Journal of Retail Management, 3(1), 25–40.
13. ICRIER. (2022). Retail in post-pandemic India: Changing consumer trends and digital adoption. Retrieved from https://icrier.org/publication/retail-post-pandemic-india
14. ILO. (2022). Digital labour platforms and the future of work in Asia-Pacific. Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---asia/---ro-bangkok/documents/publication/wcms_835556.pdf
15. IMARC Group. (2024). India Quick Commerce Market: Industry Trends, Share, Size, Growth, Opportunity and Forecast 2024–2029. Retrieved from https://www.imarcgroup.com/india-q-commerce-market
16. Jain, P., Mehta, R., & Sharma, L. (2023). AI and IoT-driven logistics in fast commerce. Technology in Retail, 9(3), 56–75.
17. Kanal, S., & Menon, T. (2024). Achieving profitability in the Q‑commerce model: The Indian context. Economic Strategies Journal, 7(1), 103–121.
18. Kapoor, V., & Singh, R. (2023). Instant gratification: Customer drivers in Q‑commerce adoption. Marketing Insights India, 11(1), 14–29.
19. Kearney India. (2023). India's Q-commerce evolution: Building a profitable model. Retrieved from https://www.kearney.com/consumer-retail/article/-/insights/india-q-commerce-opportunities

20. Khan, M., & Iyer, D. (2024). Environmental cost of ultra-fast deliveries in Indian metros. Journal of Urban Ecology, 10(2), 89–105.
21. KPMG. (2023). Green retailing: A sustainable roadmap for e-commerce logistics. Retrieved from https://home.kpmg/in/en/home/insights/2023/03/green-retailing-q-commerce.html
22. Mukherjee, S., Rao, P., & Das, R. (2022). Pandemic-triggered adoption of quick commerce in India. Journal of Retail Technology, 4(4), 99–115.
23. Nair, S., & Prasad, M. (2024). Gig economy regulations in India: A Q-commerce perspective. Labour Policy Review, 15(1), 31–49.
24. NITI Aayog. (2022). Reimagining the logistics sector in India: A policy perspective. Retrieved from https://www.niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2022-05/logistics-policy.pdf
25. Observer Research Foundation. (2023). Gig economy and urban regulation in India: Challenges and policy pathways. Retrieved from https://www.orfonline.org/research/gig-economy-and-urban-regulation-in-india/
26. Patel, V., & Desai, R. (2025). Profitability analysis of Q-commerce models. Financial Review of Retail, 4(1), 11–30.
27. Patel, V., & Kumar, A. (2022). Consumer preferences and quick commerce participation in urban India. Indian Journal of Consumer Behavior, 6(2), 23–40.
28. PwC India. (2023). Reinventing retail with Q-commerce: Innovations and challenges. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.in/industries/consumer-markets/q-commerce-report-2023.html
29. Reddy, K., & Thakur, S. (2024). Effectiveness of routing algorithms in last‑mile delivery. Computers in Logistics, 14(1), 47–60.
30. Redseer. (2022). India's Q-commerce market poised for exponential growth. Retrieved from https://redseer.com/newsletters/india-q-commerce-market-forecast/
31. Roy, S., & Das, M. (2024). Small-holder inclusion in quick commerce ecosystems. Entrepreneurship and Society, 3(3), 85–102.
32. Saxena, R., & Joshi, P. (2024). Regulatory challenges of dark stores in urban India. Journal of Urban Affairs, 6(2), 55–72.
33. Sharma, N., & Banerjee, R. (2023). Mobile UX and Q-commerce engagement: A metro-centric study. Journal of Digital Retail, 2(3), 68–82.
34. Sharma, N., & Banerjee, R. (2023). Mobile UX and Q-commerce engagement: A metro-centric study. Journal of Digital Retail, 2(3), 68–82.
35. Shukla, P., & Varghese, R. (2023). Innovations in green packaging for quick commerce. Environmental Packaging Journal, 7(2), 115–130.
36. Singh, R., & Shukla, D. (2024). Data governance under India's DPDP Act. Law & Tech Review, 2(2), 60–78.
37. Srivastava, A., & Gupta, K. (2023). Work dynamics of gig workers in India's delivery sector. Journal of Labour Studies, 9(2), 55–71.
38. Tiwari, R., & Deshmukh, A. (2023). Digital infrastructure and hyperlocal delivery. Smart Urban Systems, 5(4), 70–88.
39. Trivedi, M., & Rao, K. (2023). Infrastructure hurdles for Q‑commerce in secondary cities. Journal of Urban Studies, 17(3), 42–58.
40. Verma, M., & Khanna, L. (2024). Sustainable frameworks for quick commerce: Policy and practice. Journal of Sustainable Business, 5(1), 90–108.

41. Verma, M., & Singh, L. (2024). Consumer trade‐offs in Q‐commerce service delivery. Journal of Consumer Studies, 6(1), 50–67.
42. World Economic Forum. (2023). The future of last-mile delivery: Sustainability and urban logistics. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-last-mile-ecosystem
43. WRI India. (2023). Sustainable last-mile delivery in Indian cities: Solutions and case studies. Retrieved from https://wri-india.org/publication/green-logistics-urban-india