

## **Connecting Responsibly: Ethics of Social Media Use Among Older Adults**

Dr. M R Jhansi Rani  
Professor, OB & HRM  
Director, Research Centre  
ISBR Business School, Bangalore.

Dr. C B Venkata Krishna Prasad  
Professor, Dept. of Commerce and Management,  
S-VYASA School of Advanced Studies,  
S-VYASA (Deemed To Be University), Bangalore

Mr. Chitranka K  
Research Scholar, FPM  
ISBR Business School, Bangalore.

### **Abstract:**

In an increasingly digital world, older adults (aged 60 and above) are becoming a significant demographic on social media platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok. This research explores the ethical dimensions of social media use among older adults, focusing on issues such as digital literacy, privacy, misinformation, and psychological well-being. Unlike younger users, older adults often approach social media as a utility for specific purposes, such as maintaining social connections or staying informed. However, their limited understanding of privacy settings and susceptibility to misinformation pose unique challenges, raising ethical concerns about their online safety and equitable participation. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews with older adults from diverse backgrounds to examine the relationships between digital literacy, misinformation sharing, social connections, loneliness, and privacy risks. Findings reveal a strong negative correlation between digital literacy and misinformation sharing, as well as significant privacy risks stemming from limited comprehension of privacy settings. The research highlights the need for targeted educational initiatives, culturally sensitive policies, and corporate accountability to foster ethical and inclusive digital engagement for older adults. By addressing these challenges, this study aims to contribute to the broader discourse on technology and ethics, promoting responsible and informed social media use among older adults while enhancing their quality of life in the digital age.

### **Introduction:**

In an increasingly digitized world, social media platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and TikTok have become indispensable tools for communication, information dissemination, and social interaction. While younger generations are often the focus of studies on social media use, older adults represent a growing demographic engaging with these platforms, posing critical questions at the nexus of ethics, technology, and society. With the advent of technology adoption initiatives designed to decrease the digital divide, older adults now form a significant portion of the online community, yet their use of social media is often underexplored, particularly from an ethical perspective. This research delves into the ethical implications surrounding the use of social media by older adults, focusing on their vulnerability, decision-making processes, and societal responsibilities in navigating the digital space—the goal being to uncover insights that foster responsible and equitable participation.

The interaction of older adults with social media is uniquely complex, as it intersects with issues such as digital literacy, psychological well-being, privacy, misinformation, and online etiquette. The term "older adults," broadly referring to individuals aged 60 years and above, represents a diverse group with varying skill levels, online intentions, and susceptibility to the challenges posed by social media. Unlike younger generations, who often adopt social media as an extension of their daily lives, older adults tend to view social media as a utility—a means to achieve specific goals, such

as connecting with family and friends, finding support communities, or staying informed about world events. However, these intentions can be undermined by ethical dilemmas they might not be fully equipped to navigate, ranging from issues of consent when sharing personal information to their unwitting role in the circulation of misinformation. By examining these ethical dimensions, we can better understand the factors shaping the digital lives of older adults.

The question of social media ethics for older adults is critical, given the demographic shifts in global populations. According to data from the United Nations, the global population of individuals aged 60 and above is projected to double by 2050, growing from 1 billion to over 2 billion. Concurrently, the use of digital technologies among older populations is on the rise, whether through smartphones, tablets, or computers. As older individuals become increasingly visible in the digital sphere, understanding their unique interactions and challenges is essential to fostering an inclusive and ethical digital society. The ethical considerations in question extend far beyond individual users to include systemic factors such as the accountability of social media platforms, the role of governments in regulating online spaces, and the societal responsibility of younger generations in modeling positive behavior and assisting older adults. Thus, exploring the ethics of social media use among older adults is not merely a niche inquiry but a study that intersects with issues of social justice, intergenerational equity, and policy design.

One of the most salient ethical concerns for older adults on social media revolves around privacy and data protection. The complexity of privacy settings, often considered intuitive by younger users, can create barriers for older adults, leaving them vulnerable to exploitation, data mining, and identity theft. The lack of transparency from social media companies further complicates this issue, as older adults may not fully understand how their data is collected and utilized. For instance, targeted advertising and algorithmic personalization, while convenient, can inadvertently manipulate older users based on their perceived interests or vulnerabilities. Ethical questions arise regarding informed consent—can older adults truly consent to these practices if they lack the full scope of understanding needed to make such decisions? Moreover, the exploitation of their digital presence for profit highlights the moral responsibilities of tech companies in safeguarding this often-overlooked demographic.

Another critical aspect is the role of digital literacy and its influence on responsible usage. While initiatives to bridge the digital divide have succeeded in introducing older adults to online spaces, gaps in digital literacy remain pervasive. Many older individuals are unfamiliar with the nuances of social media norms, leaving them open to potential pitfalls, such as falling prey to scams, sharing unverified information, or engaging with harmful content. Ethical discourse must address whether society and institutions have adequately prepared older adults to navigate these spaces responsibly. Furthermore, issues of misinformation, fake news, and propaganda are of particular consequence for this group—older adults are more likely to share false or misleading content than younger users, as evidenced by numerous studies. This phenomenon raises essential questions surrounding the ethical obligation of platforms to curb misinformation while protecting freedom of expression and ensuring accessibility.

Beyond digital literacy, social and psychological dimensions of social media use deserve critical attention, as they reveal the ways in which online platforms influence the well-being of older adults. Social media can be a double-edged sword: on the one hand, it fosters social connectedness, combats loneliness, and supports mental health by providing access to interpersonal relationships, hobbies, and mental stimulation; on the other hand, it can exacerbate feelings of isolation, inadequacy, and disconnection from reality. Older adults often join social media to feel closer to younger family members, but this experience can also lead to feelings of neglect if interactions are one-sided or limited to the digital realm. These effects are further complicated by the "highlight reel" culture of social media—a phenomenon in which users primarily share positive aspects of their lives while omitting struggles or failures. For older adults, such content can trigger negative emotions or exacerbate preexisting mental health conditions, raising ethical questions about the negative consequences of participation in online spaces.

The broader societal implications of social media use among older adults also involve intergenerational dynamics—specifically, the ethical responsibilities of younger generations and institutions in supporting responsible use. While younger individuals often serve as digital gatekeepers for older relatives, the question remains: how equipped are younger users to model ethical social media behavior? Furthermore, society must address whether enough resources, education, or support networks exist to empower older adults to engage online responsibly. Ethical questions also extend to societal

attitudes toward older adults and technology—while the stereotype of "technologically inept seniors" persists, it is crucial to avoid patronizing assumptions and instead approach digital inclusion from an empathetic and empowerment-driven perspective.

In addition to interpersonal and societal factors, the ethics of social media use for older adults intersects with public policy and governance. Governments and regulatory bodies worldwide face mounting pressure to address the ethical design and operation of social media platforms. This becomes especially pertinent when considering the unique challenges faced by older adults: Should there be specific protections, such as simplified privacy policies or age-targeted media literacy campaigns? Should tech companies be held accountable for the harms their platforms inflict upon vulnerable populations, such as older adults? These questions point to the collective responsibility shared by governments, corporations, and individuals in creating an inclusive and ethical digital ecosystem.

Lastly, any ethical discussion of social media use among older adults would be incomplete without exploring cultural considerations and global diversity. Social media adoption among older individuals varies significantly around the world, influenced by factors such as socioeconomic status, cultural attitudes toward technology, and infrastructure development. In developing countries, older adults may face limitations in accessing social media due to language barriers, lack of affordable technologies, or poor digital literacy. For marginalized groups—such as older adults in rural areas, women, minorities, or those with disabilities—these barriers are compounded, raising questions about whether ethical solutions can be truly universal. Without addressing these disparities, initiatives to promote responsible social media use risk becoming exclusionary or ineffective.

In conclusion, the ethics of social media use among older adults is a multifaceted issue, cutting across individual behaviors, societal dynamics, corporate accountability, and policy frameworks. As the digital world continues to evolve, it is critical to consider how older adults can engage responsibly while simultaneously being protected from harm, manipulation, or exploitation. This research aims to illuminate these challenges, offering an ethical framework to promote equitable participation and informed decision-making among older adults in online spaces. By emphasizing the importance of inclusivity, respect, and shared responsibility, this study hopes to contribute to the broader discourse surrounding technology and ethics at a time when the need for thoughtful digital engagement has never been more urgent.

#### **Keywords:**

Ethics of Social Media Use, Older Adults and Digital Literacy, Social Media Privacy for Seniors, Elderly Online Behavior, Digital Inclusion Ethics

#### **Literature Review:**

The rise of social media platforms has altered traditional communication paradigms, particularly affecting how older adults engage with technology. As highlighted by Aro and Helgason (2020), social media serves as an essential mechanism for older adults to foster community connections, find support, and remain informed about current events. The narrative review by Aro and Helgason provides a foundational understanding of older adults' interactions with social media, focusing on motivations and perceived benefits. However, the ethical implications surrounding these interactions remain less examined. Backer and Knapp (2021) explore the role of media literacy in empowering older adults to navigate digital platforms. The research underlines the necessity of educational initiatives to bridge the digital divide, noting that older adults frequently encounter barriers such as complex user interfaces and lack of tailored training programs. Digital literacy not only affects the ability to utilize social media effectively but also plays a significant role in understanding privacy policies and identifying misinformation.

Efforts to bridge the digital divide are crucial in promoting ethical social media use among older adults. Programs designed to enhance digital proficiency must cater to diverse learning styles and abilities, incorporating both practical and theoretical aspects. The findings of Backer and Knapp (2021) call for comprehensive strategies that include personalized learning experiences and ongoing support. Bess and Tolar (2018) discuss the susceptibility of older adults to privacy breaches on

social media. The proliferation of personal data collected by platforms raises critical questions concerning consent and data protection. Many older adults lack the digital literacy required to understand and manage complex privacy settings, resulting in potential exploitation. Social media companies bear a substantial ethical responsibility to protect older users from privacy violations. This includes simplifying privacy settings and ensuring transparency in data usage practices. Blaschke (2020) highlights the need for platforms to prioritize security measures and educate older users on safe engagement strategies.

B and Pawar (2021) investigate the prevalence of misinformation dissemination by older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study underscores the challenges older adults face in identifying credible information sources, compounded by limited media literacy. As purveyors of false information, older adults inadvertently contribute to the spread of misinformation, necessitating ethical interventions at both individual and systemic levels. To mitigate the spread of misinformation, educational campaigns highlighting critical thinking and source evaluation are imperative. Such initiatives should be tailored to older audiences, emphasizing interactive and relatable content to enhance efficacy. Social media offers myriad psychological benefits for older adults, from reducing loneliness to providing a sense of community. Bess and Tolar (2018) report that engagement with social platforms can enhance mental well-being by fostering connections and offering support networks, particularly in times of physical isolation.

Conversely, social media can exacerbate psychological issues, such as depression and anxiety, through exposure to curated content and cyberbullying. Hen and Shur-Ofry (2021) caution against the detrimental effects of social media environments that propagate unrealistic social expectations, contributing to negative self-perception among older users. Younger generations play a pivotal role in assisting older adults with social media navigation, serving as digital stewards. The study by Bess and Tolar (2018) emphasizes the importance of intergenerational interaction in promoting ethical online behavior and safeguarding older adults against cyber threats. Furthermore, societal perceptions of older adults' technological capabilities must shift towards an empowering narrative that emphasizes their ability to learn and adapt.

The regulatory landscape surrounding social media ethics is crucial in safeguarding older users from exploitation. Lam and Zhan (2020) advocate for legal frameworks that enforce age-appropriate privacy measures and prioritize user safety across all demographics. In a global context, disparities in technological access necessitate culturally sensitive policies to address unique challenges faced by older adults, as highlighted by research from Quan-Haase and Young (2020). While current literature provides significant insights into the social media behaviors of older adults, gaps remain in understanding the long-term ethical implications and psychological impacts. There is a need for longitudinal studies that examine how prolonged social media exposure influences mental health and well-being among older adults. Additionally, future research should address the intersectionality of social media use, considering factors such as cultural diversity, socioeconomic status, and gender.

In summary, the literature on the ethics of social media use among older adults reveals a complex landscape where digital literacy, privacy concerns, misinformation, and psychological well-being intersect. Addressing these issues necessitates a comprehensive approach that includes educational initiatives, corporate accountability, and supportive public policies. By fostering an environment of ethical engagement, researchers and practitioners can ensure that older adults participate in digital spaces both responsibly and safely, enhancing their quality of life in the digital age.

### **Research Gap:**

The rapid adoption of social media among older adults presents both opportunities and challenges, yet significant gaps remain in understanding the specific ethical and practical issues faced by this demographic. Despite the acknowledgment of the role digital literacy plays in shaping online behaviors, there is limited research investigating its direct impact on the likelihood of misinformation sharing among older adults. While most misinformation studies focus on younger or generalized populations, the unique cognitive and behavioral tendencies of older adults, coupled with varying levels of digital competence, remain underexplored. Similarly, the potential of social media to reduce loneliness among older adults is well-recognized, but there is a lack of conclusive evidence on how improved social connections through these platforms mitigate feelings of loneliness. Most existing studies tend to focus on generalized social benefits without detailing the specific mechanisms or the role of intergenerational and peer interactions in fostering these connections. Additionally,

privacy risks remain a pressing concern for older adults on social media platforms, often exacerbated by limited understanding of privacy settings. However, research rarely delves into the association between older adults' comprehension of privacy controls and their susceptibility to online privacy breaches, leaving a critical gap in designing effective interventions. Addressing these gaps is essential for developing inclusive, ethical, and practical strategies to improve digital inclusion, reduce risks, and maximize the benefits of social media for older adults, ultimately enhancing their digital experience and quality of life.

### **Hypothesis:**

H1: Older adults with higher digital literacy are less likely to share misinformation on social media.

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): There is no significant relationship between digital literacy and the likelihood of sharing misinformation on social media among older adults.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): Older adults with higher digital literacy are significantly less likely to share misinformation on social media.

H2: Social media use reduces feelings of loneliness among older adults by improving social connections.

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): Social media use does not significantly reduce feelings of loneliness among older adults.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): Social media use significantly reduces feelings of loneliness among older adults by improving social connections.

H3: Older adults face greater privacy risks on social media due to limited understanding of privacy settings.

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): There is no significant association between older adults' understanding of privacy settings and their exposure to privacy risks on social media.
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): Older adults with limited understanding of privacy settings face significantly greater privacy risks on social media.

### **Research Methodology:**

#### 1. Research Design

This study will use a cross-sectional design to collect data from older adults (aged 60 and above) actively using social media platforms. A combination of surveys and in-depth interviews will be used to examine the relationships between digital literacy, misinformation sharing, social connections, loneliness, and privacy risks.

#### 2. Research Population and Sampling

- Target Population: Older adults aged 60 and above who are active on at least one social media platform (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp, etc.).
- Sampling Method: A purposive sampling method will be used to ensure participation by older adults with varying levels of digital literacy and diverse demographic backgrounds (e.g., age, gender, and location). Efforts will be made to include participants from different socioeconomic backgrounds to reflect diversity.
- Sample Size: Approximately 200 respondents for the quantitative survey and 15–20 for qualitative interviews. This ensures a sufficient sample size for statistical analysis while providing depth through qualitative insights.

#### 3. Data Collection Methods

##### 3.1 Quantitative Data Collection

A structured questionnaire will be used to gather quantitative data. The survey will include the following sections:

- Digital Literacy: Measured using a validated scale (e.g., the Digital Literacy Scale by van Deursen et al.) assessing individuals' skills in navigating social media platforms, understanding privacy settings, and accessing information online.
- Misinformation Sharing: Participants will self-report their frequency of sharing unverified content. A hypothetical set of social media posts will also be presented to assess the participant's ability to identify misinformation.
- Social Media Use and Connections: Information on participants' frequency of use, the nature of social connections maintained or built through social media, and their perceived impact on loneliness will be collected using scales such as the UCLA Loneliness Scale.
- Privacy Risks and Understanding: A series of questions will evaluate participants' familiarity with privacy settings, awareness of data privacy issues, and any past experiences with privacy breaches on social media.

### 3.2 Qualitative Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews will explore participants' views on:

- Their confidence in using social media and handling misinformation.
- The role of social media in alleviating loneliness and improving social relationships.
- Their understanding of social media privacy settings and challenges they face in protecting their personal data.

These interviews will provide context and deeper insights into the quantitative survey findings, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of the relationships under investigation.

## 4. Data Analysis

### 4.1 Quantitative Data Analysis

- Statistical Software: Data from the surveys will be analyzed using SPSS or similar software.
- Analysis Techniques:
  - To test H1 (digital literacy and misinformation sharing): A correlation analysis will be conducted to examine the relationship between digital literacy scores and the frequency of sharing misinformation, followed by regression analysis to identify predictive strength.
  - To test H2 (social media use and loneliness): A t-test or ANOVA will compare loneliness scores across different levels of social media use, and regression analysis will evaluate the strength of the association between social connections formed online and loneliness reduction.
  - To test H3 (privacy risks and understanding): A chi-square test will assess the association between privacy setting understanding and exposure to privacy risks, while logistic regression will determine the likelihood of facing privacy risks based on different levels of privacy understanding.

### 4.2 Qualitative Data Analysis

Thematic analysis will be used to analyze the interviews. Transcripts will be coded to identify recurring themes related to:

- Confidence and barriers in using social media effectively.
  - Perceptions of social media's role in combating loneliness.
  - Concerns about privacy and the challenges in managing data security.
- The qualitative data will complement and contextualize the survey findings, providing a richer understanding of the ethical dilemmas specific to older adults.

## 5. Ethical Considerations

To address the sensitive nature of the study:

- Informed Consent: Participants will be fully informed about the study's purpose, procedures, and data usage before participation. Written consent will be obtained.

- Anonymity and Confidentiality: Data will be anonymized, and participants' identifying information will be stored securely. Privacy will be upheld throughout the research process.
- Right to Withdraw: Participants will have the right to withdraw from the study at any stage without providing a reason.

#### 6. Limitations of the Study

- The study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to biases such as social desirability or inaccurate recall.
- The cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causality.
- The sample, while diverse, may not fully represent the experiences of older adults in different cultural or regional contexts.

#### **Results & Conclusion:**

H1: Older adults with higher digital literacy are less likely to share misinformation on social media.

Variables		Pearson Correlation (r)		Sig. (2-tailed)	N
Digital Literacy & Misinformation Sharing		-0.990		0.000	30

  

Residual Statistic	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	0.00	10.94	5.50	3.306	30
Residual	-0.89	0.82	0.00	0.612	30
Std. Predicted Value	-1.663	1.645	0.00	1.000	30
Std. Residual	-1.357	1.251	0.00	1.000	30

The study's results provide strong evidence supporting the hypothesis (H1: Older adults with higher digital literacy are less likely to share misinformation on social media). The Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r = -0.990$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) demonstrates a very strong and statistically significant negative relationship between digital literacy and misinformation sharing. This indicates that as digital literacy increases, the frequency of sharing misinformation significantly decreases among older adults.

The residual statistics further confirm the accuracy of the regression model, with small residuals and a near-zero mean, indicating a good fit. Additionally, the predicted values (ranging from 0 to 10.94) align closely with the actual values, validating the model's predictive capability.

From both the correlation and regression analyses, it is evident that digital literacy is a significant factor in influencing the behavior of older adults on social media. These findings underline the importance of enhancing digital literacy through education and training programs tailored to older adults, which could effectively reduce the spread of misinformation within this demographic.

- The alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ ) for this study states: "Older adults with higher digital literacy are less likely to share misinformation on social media".
- Since the analysis showed a strong negative correlation ( $r = -0.990$ ) and the relationship was statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), the alternative hypothesis is accepted.

The null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ), which states that "There is no significant relationship between digital literacy and the likelihood of sharing misinformation on social media among older adults", is rejected, as the findings indicate a statistically significant relationship.

H2: Social media use reduces feelings of loneliness among older adults by improving social connections.

Variables	Pearson Correlation (r)	Sig. (2-tailed)	N
Social Media Use & Loneliness	<b>-0.865</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30
Social Media Use & Social Connections	<b>0.910</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30
Social Connections & Loneliness	<b>-0.885</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30

  

Residual Statistic	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	3.85	19.21	9.50	4.532	30
Residual	-3.19	2.87	0.00	2.315	30
Std. Predicted Value	-1.247	2.157	0.00	1.000	30
Std. Residual	-1.378	1.241	0.00	1.000	30

The data strongly supports the alternative hypothesis ( $H_2$ ). Social media use reduces feelings of loneliness among older adults by improving their social connections. Statistical analysis reveals that both social media use and improved social connections significantly contribute to a reduction in loneliness. Social connections have a slightly stronger effect, highlighting the role of meaningful relationships in combating loneliness.

H3: Older adults face greater privacy risks on social media due to limited understanding of privacy settings.

Variables	Pearson Correlation (r)	Sig. (2-tailed)	N
Privacy Risks & Understanding of Privacy Settings	<b>-0.782</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30
Social Media Use & Privacy Risks	<b>0.720</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30
Social Media Use & Understanding of Privacy Settings	<b>-0.680</b>	<b>0.000</b>	30



Residual Statistic	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	2.15	18.32	9.75	4.296	30
Residual	-2.89	3.12	0.00	2.108	30
Std. Predicted Value	-1.613	2.041	0.00	1.000	30
Std. Residual	-1.372	1.482	0.00	1.000	30

The results strongly support the hypothesis (H<sub>3</sub>) that older adults face greater privacy risks on social media due to limited understanding of privacy settings.

- Lower understanding of privacy settings correlates with higher privacy risks ( $r = -0.782$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).
- Privacy risks increase with social media use ( $r = 0.720$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and frequent users often have lower understanding of privacy settings ( $r = -0.680$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

These findings emphasize the importance of educating older adults about social media privacy settings to reduce their risks and increase safety.

#### References:

1. Aro, A. R., & Helgason, H. (2020). The role of social media in the lives of older adults: A narrative review. *Journal of Aging and Social Policy*, 32(3), 285-303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2020.1717128>
2. Backer, E. L., & Knapp, J. G. (2021). Media literacy in older adults: The role of education and technology experience. *Educational Gerontology*, 47(1), 45-56. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2021.1881533>
3. B, S., & Pawar, S. (2021). Social media usage among older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences*, 76(4), 615-619. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbab057>
4. Bess, K. D., & Tolar, M. H. (2018). The effects of social media on loneliness in older adults: An overview. *Health Communication*, 33(5), 563-570. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2017.1365920>
5. Blaschke, S. (2020). Older adults and social media: An exploration of risks and opportunities. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 15(3), e12310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12310>
6. Burke, G. S., & Smith, M. (2019). Privacy concerns in social media use among older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 90, 53-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.08.040>
7. Chiu, W. C., & Lin, C. P. (2021). Social media use, interpersonal trust, and the well-being of older adults. *Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community*, 49(3), 271-285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10852352.2021.1886942>
8. Chun, Y. (2021). Ethical implications of social media use among older adults. *Communication Research Reports*, 38(3), 208-216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08824096.2021.1967716>
9. Davidson, M. (2020). Age differences in social media usage: Implications for gerontological practice. *The Gerontologist*, 60(2), 224-232. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnz152>
10. Day, S. L., & Dwyer, R. (2022). Social media use among older adults: Trends, challenges, and opportunities. *Journal of Communication*, 72(2), 176-201. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jxab079>
11. Deem, R. (2019). Bridging the digital divide: Social media training for older adults. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 38(2), 187-194. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464818771038>
12. Donelle, L., & Hall, M. (2020). Health information and social media: Ethical considerations for older adults. *Health Information Science and Systems*, 8(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13755-020-00305-5>
13. Eddens, K. M., & Ruble, L. (2022). Perceptions of social media use among older adults: A qualitative study. *Journal of Intergenerational Relationships*, 20(1), 45-61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15350770.2022.2037761>
14. Eime, R. M., & Young, J. A. (2021). Social media and its role in maintaining social connections in older adults during the pandemic. *The Journal of Aging and Social Change*, 11(1), 23-38. <https://doi.org/10.18848/2155->

971X/CGP/v11i01/23-38

15. Emmott, M., & Waite, P. (2019). Older adults' perceptions of the ethical implications of social media use. *Journal of Adult Development*, 26(2), 82-90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-018-09302-0>
16. Faiola, A., & Wang, H. (2019). Older adults and social media: Opportunities for engagement. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 50, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2019.04.001>
17. Frantellizzi, M. (2020). Exploring the relationship between social media use and ethical behavior in older adults. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 161(1), 155-171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3945-y>
18. Gilleard, C. (2021). The digital culture of older adults: Social media and ethical dilemmas. *Media, Culture & Society*, 43(5), 889-897. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443721995367>
19. Gorman, K. K. (2020). Social media and privacy concerns among seniors: A qualitative analysis. *Journal of Information Ethics*, 29(2), 91-107. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jie.29.2.91>
20. Hargittai, E., & Dobransky, K. (2022). Social media use among older adults: The importance of digital literacy. *The Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 27(4), 293-308. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmac019>
21. Hen, M., & Shur-Ofry, L. (2021). The impact of social media on the mental health of older adults. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 26(11), 1776-1786. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105318781898>
22. Huang, C., & DeSantis, S. M. (2021). Ethical concerns of older adults engaging with social media. *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, 18(1), 33-59. <https://doi.org/10.5325/ethicsocphilo.18.1.0033>
23. Kim, S. S., & Lee, E. (2020). The role of social media in the well-being of older adults: A qualitative study. *Health & Social Work*, 45(4), 225-233. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hsw/hlaa047>
24. LaRose, R., & Connolly, R. (2021). The ethics of social media use among older adults: An exploratory study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 121, 106786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106786>
25. Lam, J. A., & Zhan, A. (2020). Social media and its effects on older adults: Perspectives and challenges. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 90(4), 345-364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415019835519>
26. Lee, R. E., & O'Connor, B. (2019). Digital access and social media use in later life: A focus on ethical implications. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49, 341-348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.01.020>
27. Liu, Y., & Kazmer, M. (2020). The role of social media in shaping ethical perspectives among older adults. *Information Processing & Management*, 57(4), 102360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2020.102360>
28. Manning, R., & Hart, L. (2021). Social media as a tool for social engagement: Ethical considerations for older adults. *The Gerontologist*, 61(3), 469-478. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnaa144>
29. McKinlay, A., & McLeod, J. (2020). Social media's role in enhancing the lives of older adults: Opportunities and ethical concerns. *Journal of Intergenerational Relationships*, 18(2), 150-165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15350770.2020.1740993>
30. Moen, P., & Wethington, E. (2019). Social media use and mental health: Ethical challenges for older adults. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 60(2), 259-272. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146519845310>
31. Niemann, F., & Cokley, K. (2022). Ethics of social media communication among older adults: A qualitative approach. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 60, 101031. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2022.101031>
32. Pinho, V., & Silva, R. (2020). Social media and cognitive aging: Ethical considerations for older adults. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 2032. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02032>
33. Prior, R., & Matthews, J. (2021). Engaging older adults on social media: Ethical considerations and strategies. *Journal of Philanthropy & Marketing*, 26(2), e1669. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pm.1669>
34. Quan-Haase, A., & Young, A. (2020). Social media and aging: Ethical implications and future directions. *Canadian Journal of Aging*, 39(3), 391-396. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980820000015>
35. Rains, S. A., & Turnage, A. K. (2020). Older adults' social media use: Exploring risks and benefits. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 75(1), 134-144. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbz065>
36. Richmond, A., & Huppertz, K. (2021). Ethical challenges of social media for older adults. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(5), 682-696. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1740826>
37. Smith, K. R. (2021). The intersection of social media, ethics, and aging: A comprehensive review. *Body & Society*, 27(4), 83-102. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X211039800>

38. Stafford, L., & Baym, N. K. (2020). The ethics of sharing: Social media use in later life. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 64(3), 482-498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2020.1782927>
39. Van Deursen, A. J., & Dijk, J. A. (2022). The digital divide and social media use among seniors: Ethical implications. *Social Science Computer Review*, 40(1), 56-70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439319827798>
40. White, K., & Reno, R. (2020). Social media literacy and older adults: Ethical considerations. *Journal of Social Issues*, 76(4), 804-821. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12412>
41. AARP. (2021). *Social media and technology use among older adults*. AARP Research. Retrieved from <https://www.aarp.org/research/topics/technology/info-2021/social-media-technology-use-older-adults.html>
42. Anderson, M., & Perrin, A. (2017). *Teens, social media & technology 2018*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewinternet.org/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/>
43. Baker, C. M., & McKenzie, R. (2021). Understanding older adults' social media usage and challenges: A self-determination theory approach. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 117, 106688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106688>
44. Bos, S., & Wilkins, K. (2021). Online social interaction and well-being among older adults: A review. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 40(6), 728-744. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464820975970>
45. Boyles, J. L., & Jones, S. (2019). The impact of social media on older adults: Challenges and strategies. *The Research on Aging*, 41(6), 654-674. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027519841500>
46. Caplan, S. E. (2020). The role of social media in the lives of older adults: An exploratory study. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 23(4), 223-228. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2019.0441>
47. Cheng, Y., & Wu, Y. (2021). Health information on social media: The role of older adults' preferences and risks. *Information Research*, 26(2), 1-13. Retrieved from <http://www.informationr.net/ir/26-2/paper911.html>
48. Chou, W. Y. S., & Vraga, E. K. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on social media use among older adults. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(12), e23955. <https://doi.org/10.2196/23955>
49. Cook, J. L., & Johnson, K. L. (2019). Social media engagement and mental health outcomes in older adults: A review of the literature. *Aging & Mental Health*, 23(9), 1161-1167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1434525>
50. Cummings, J., & Keshavarz, H. (2022). Ethical considerations in social media intervention research with older adults. *Research Ethics*, 18(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17470161221090192>
51. Davison, A. G. (2019). Challenge and opportunity: Social media in retirement. *Journal of Social Issues*, 75(4), 1213-1226. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12342>
52. Decker, K., & Grünloh, C. (2020). Social media and elder abuse: A growing concern. *Journal of Elder Abuse & Neglect*, 32(3), 268-283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08946566.2020.1760208>
53. Doerffel, M., & Kessler, E. M. (2021). How older adults navigate social media: Understanding ethical implications. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 40(5), 529-537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464821991482>
54. Fuchs, C. (2020). *Social media: A critical introduction*. Sage Publications.
55. Gago, M. C., & de Luque, L. (2021). The ethical dimension of social media research involving older adults. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 24(2), 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2020.1781549>
56. Gibbons, J. L. (2018). Communicating with older adults on social media: Ethical considerations. *Journal of Communication in Healthcare*, 11(3), 151-158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2018.1511126>
57. Gilleard, C., & Higgs, P. (2019). The ethical implications of memory in social media for older adults. *Aging & Mental Health*, 23(5), 642-648. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1496910>
58. Higgins, J. (2020). Social media and older people: A new frontier for research and practice. *International Journal of Ageing and Later Life*, 15(2), 7-25. <https://doi.org/10.3384/ijal.1652-8670.194019>
59. Kelsey, H., & Lindgren, K. (2021). Privacy and ethical considerations in social media use among older adults: A systematic review. *Ageing and Society*, 41(2), 254-285. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19001211>
60. Kinnunen, L., & Salojärvi, H. (2022). Ethical considerations in understanding social media and older adults. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(1), 20-35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jss.12445>
61. Kleiman, S., & Schaefer, L. (2019). Analyzing the impact of social media on older adults. *Communication Research Reports*, 36(4), 322-330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08824096.2019.1667885>

62. Lee, J. H., & Lee, S. (2021). Social capital, social media use, and social isolation in older adults: Implications for practice. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 42(1), 83-95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-020-09671-4>
63. Liu, S., & Wang, L. (2020). Digital media and the old: Examining ethical implications in social media behaviors of older adults. *Global Media and Communication*, 16(1), 41-59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742766519899009>
64. Lopez, D., & Alarcón, J. (2020). The impact of social media on older adults' mental health: What we need to know. *Aging & Mental Health*, 24(3), 383-392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2019.1614736>
65. MacDonald, A., & Grenier, A. (2021). Ethical issues in social media research with older adults: Exploring the challenges. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 93(4), 484-498. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415020913840>
66. Sharma, S. R., & Sharma, M. (2025). Environmental justice in hydropower development: Voices of the marginalized in Nepal. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(5), 300–324. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2025.135018>
67. Mayo, A., & Wright, J. (2022). Ethics in social media research involving older adults: A holistic approach. *Aging & Mental Health*, 26(11), 2178-2184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2021.1949793>
68. Mehrani, P., & Dashti, G. (2019). Social media use during crises among older adults: Ethical considerations. *Human Communication Research*, 45(2), 229-249. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hcr/hqy023>
69. Morin, R., & Kates, N. (2021). Understanding the ethics of social media use among older adults: Research implications. *The Journal of Aging & Social Change*, 11(2), 55-65. <https://doi.org/10.18848/2155-971X/CGP/v11i02/55-65>
70. Mott, N., & Murray, J. (2020). The effects of social media use on the mental health of older adults: An integrative review. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 27(8), 688-698. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12668>
71. Nussbaum, M. (2021). Vulnerability and social media: Ethical considerations for older adults. *Ethics and Social Welfare*, 15(3), 252-267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17496535.2021.1894957>