Investigating the Negative Impact of Personalized Marketing on Customer Retention among Gen Z Population

Mrs. Vinitha Vincent[3]
(Assistant Professor)
vinitha@xime.org,
Mr. Tejas Rathod[1]
tejas29blr@xime.org,
Ms. Khwahish Chhablani[2]
khwahish29blr@xime.org
Ms. Janvi Gupta[3]
janvi29blr@xime.org
Xavier Institute of Management and Entrepreneurship
Bengaluru- 560100

ABSTRACT:
Personalized marketing has been the cornerstone of contemporary digital strategy, using high- end analytics and artificial intelligence to give targeted advertisements and communications. While this would ideally boost customer experience and deepen loyalty, it still faces certain challenges in the new generation that is known to be the most digitally native and keenly aware of privacy: Gen Z. This research examines whether the personalized marketing strategy, such as privacy invasion, information overload from over personalized content, and trust degradation, may harm the retention of these customers.

Using a mixed-methods approach that integrates structured questionnaires with qualitative interviews, the present study explores how Gen Z perceives and interacts with personalized marketing strategies. It gives particular attention to the moderating effects of privacy sensitivity, digital engagement habits, and alignment with brand values. The findings provide insight into the balance between personalization and ethical marketing practices that focus on transparency and value-driven communication. This research will guide businesses in building long-term loyalty with Gen Z consumers.

Index Terms—Gen Z, customer retention, marketing ethics, privacy sensitivity, targeted advertising.

I. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of digital marketing, driven by Big Data and artificial intelligence, has enabled businesses to employ more sophisticated strategies for consumer engagement. Among these, the most powerful tool is certainly personalized marketing, as a means of creating customer loyalty through experiences designed specifically for the individual. By analyzing behavioral data, marketers can curate content, recommend products, and deliver messages in alignment with individual preferences. The rise in ubiquity, however, has sparked debate regarding its

ethical implications regarding consumer privacy, autonomy, and trust.

Gen Z are the people born between 1997 and 2012, thereby offering a lot of opportunity but challenging marketers as well. Digital natives, they expect online experiences to be seamless; therefore, their brands have to speak to their values. They like authenticity, inclusivity, and sustainability in purchases. However, their increased awareness of data collection practices and skepticism toward overt marketing efforts make them more critical of intrusive or excessive personalization. Missteps in personalized marketing can lead to mistrust, reduced brand loyalty, and even active disengagement.

This study looks into the relationship between personalization and customer retention among Gen Z consumers. It attempts to understand when personalization weakens or strengthens consumer relationships. Through the exploration of variables such as privacy sensitivity, engagement behavior, and alignment with brand values, the study finds some critical factors that affect the perception of personalization among Gen Z. The study also encompasses the need for ethical marketing, where a model of personalization respects consumer agency while providing value-driven experiences.

Beyond this, the study proposes a framework for optimizing personalized marketing strategies toward alignment with the expectations of Gen Z. In doing so, it aims to contribute to the general understanding of how businesses might respond to the changing expectations of this critical consumer segment in the digital age.

II. VARIABLES
A. **Dependent Variable**
1. **Customer Retention**
The primary outcome variable of this study would be customer retention because it will measure how sustainable retaining Gen Z customers is over time. Such retention can be measured through some metrics, such as repeat sales, customer lifetime value, loyalty programs participation, and churn rate. All such metrics together indicate how well the strategies of personalized marketing ensure long-run engagement with the brand on the part of Gen Z consumers.

B. **Independent Variable**
1. **Personalized Marketing**
It considers the level of tailored marketing operations that are embedded in the entire process of customer engagement through fact-based knowledge of consumers. Among the specific characteristics are targeted adverts, customized recommendation, and planned communication based on consumer data. The study traces how all the efforts may unfortunately result in detrimental effects, for instance, complaints of privacy invasions, overexposure, and loss of consumer confidence.

C. **Moderating Variable**

1. **Gen Z Population**

Specific to the Gen Z population, mediating or moderating variables for the relationship between personalization and customer retention include the following:

- **Privacy Sensitivity:**

Individual differences in concern regarding the collection and use of information among Gen Z can serve as a mediator or moderator. Those with higher levels of privacy concerns may see personalization as an invasion of privacy, thus enhancing its negative impact.

- **Digital Engagement Habits:**

The level of Gen Z's engagement with digital platforms, from heavy users to minimal engagement, impacts their sensitivity to personalization. Heavy users are likely to be more sensitive to marketing tactics, while less active users may respond differently to tailored strategies.

- **Brand Values Alignment**

The degree to which the personalization of a brand is in line with what Gen Z expects in authenticity, inclusivity, and ethics will determine their loyalty.

Misalignment will render personalization ineffective and likely lead to disengagement.

III. OBJECTIVES

A. **Objective 1**

Identify the negative impact of personalization marketing on customer retention among Gen Z.

This objective explores the negative impacts of personalization marketing strategies, which include:

1. Disengagement from irrelevant or repetitive ads Over personalization can be frustrating to consumers and may cause them to disengage from interactions with the brand.
2. Perceptual distrust from excessive personal data collection
   Overuse or abuse of personal information can inspire skepticism and lower trust with the brand.
3. Perceived undervaluing from misaligned personalization:
   Poorly applied personalization that does not connect with individual tastes or beliefs can be seen as an indication of a lack of effort to really get to know the consumer.

B. **Objective 2**

Find how Gen Z-specific traits drive the relationship between personalizing marketing and customer retention.

This aim examines the generational traits of Gen Z and the influence on marketer outcomes. Specifically, consider the following two aspects

1. Sensitivity about privacy, data, and proper ethics The role played by data that is processed ethically to gain trust from a group with high senses of privacy.
2. Habits of a digital native
   Frequency and extent of exposure through digital use and influence this will have toward the view of personalized messaging and performance of the process.
3. Value alignment-driven loyalty

How the importance of aligning marketing efforts with Gen Z's preferences for sustainability, inclusivity, and authenticity relates to long-term customer retention.

IV. METHODOLOGY
A Survey Design
1. Survey Methodology
This research employed a structured survey tool to collect insights on personalization marketing experiences from the Gen Z respondents. It covered 18 variables which related to key issues including privacy concerns, engagement in personalized content, and brand loyalty. These variables have been selected to ensure the coverage of all the relevant factors determining customer retention in this age group. Respondents were recruited through online platforms to ensure diverse participation, targeting a mix of students and young professionals aged 18 to 26. This demographic range reflects the core of Gen Z and encompasses individuals with varying levels of digital engagement and purchasing power. The survey employed a 5-point Likert scale to measure respondents' attitudes, ranging from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree," allowing for nuanced analysis of perceptions and behaviours.

2. Survey Focus Areas
The survey was built to target three major dimensions.
1. Privacy Sensitivity:
   Concern about data collection, storage, and usage in the marketing process.
2. Digital Content Engagement:
   Effectiveness and Relevance of Targeted Ads and Recommended Contents.
3. Brand Loyalty

Measuring long-term consumer behaviors such as repeat purchase, word-of-mouth, and trust in brands through personalization marketing.

B. Statistical Tools
1. KMO and Bartlett's Test
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy and Bartlett's test of Sphericity was used as the tools for checking that whether the data were appropriate or not for factor analysis or not. Since the values of KMO measures were at more than 0.6, while the statistics for Bartlett's were highly significant.

2. Factor Analysis
EFA was applied to determine the underlying components of the survey data. Six key components were extracted that explained 62.905% of the total variance. These components represent important dimensions such as privacy sensitivity, perceived ad relevance, trust in personalization, and engagement with tailored content. The factor structure was used to group variables into coherent constructs, allowing for targeted analysis of their impact on customer retention.

3. Regression Analysis

4. Multiple regression analysis was employed to analyse the relationship of the personalization marketing variables to customer retention. Predictor variables involved transparency, perceived ad relevance, and privacy sensitivity measures. This analysis identified the significant predictors, with an emphasis on the role of transparency as a factor in fostering trust, and the necessity for non-intrusive relevant ads.

RESULTS
A. **KMO and Bartlett's Tests**
The results of the KMO and Bartlett's tests confirmed the adequacy of the data for factor analysis:

- KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy:
  The KMO value of 0.663 indicates moderate adequacy, suggesting that the sample size and correlations among variables are suitable for identifying underlying factors.
- Bartlett's Test of Sphericity:

 The Chi-square value of 531.559 ($p < 0.001$) confirms that the variables are sufficiently intercorrelated for conducting factor analysis.

B. **Factor Analysis**
Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) identified six distinct components, collectively explaining 62.905% of the total variance. This cumulative variance reflects the multi-dimensional nature of the factors influencing Gen Z's perceptions of personalized marketing.
Key Components and Factors:

Component	Variance (%)	Key Factors
1	20.091	Privacy sensitivity, ad overload
2	13.004	Trust in ethical practices
3	9.470	Perceived relevance

- Component 1 (Privacy Sensitivity, Ad Overload): This component highlights the centrality of privacy concerns and the negative effects of excessive targeted advertising.
- Component 2 (Trust in Ethical Practices):
  Trust in transparent and ethical marketing practices emerged as a significant dimension influencing consumer engagement.
- Component 3 (Perceived Relevance):

The alignment of personalized content with individual preferences was identified as a critical driver of engagement and loyalty.

C. **Regression Analysis**
Multiple regression analysis identified significant predictors of customer retention, emphasizing the interplay between personalization strategies and Gen Z's expectations.

a. **Significant Predictors:**
1. **Transparency**:
   o Standardized Beta (β) = 0.404, p = 0.001
   o Transparency had the strongest influence on customer retention. Respondents who perceived brands as transparent in their data collection and personalization practices were more likely to exhibit loyalty.

2. **Ad Relevance:**
   o Standardized Beta (β) = 0.239, p = 0.012
   o Relevant and meaningful advertising content positively impacted consumer loyalty, underscoring the importance of personalized messaging that aligns with user preferences.

b. **Non-Significant Variable:**
1. **Frequency of Interaction:**
o p = 0.774
o The analysis showed that the frequency of interaction with digital platforms or personalized content did not significantly influence customer retention. This finding suggests that mere exposure is insufficient to foster loyalty without addressing deeper concerns such as trust and relevance.

V. ANALYSIS AND INSIGHTS
1. **Transparency and Ethical Practices Are Foundational**
The transparency variable was identified to be the most significantly influential predictor of customer retention at a significance level of 0.001, with β = 0.404. This illustrates the desire of Gen Z for ethical data use and open communication of the technique in which information for which personal data are being used is being collected.

o **Implication**:
Transparency builds trust, which is a critical factor for retaining Gen Z consumers. Brands that show ethical practices and ensure data privacy are likely to have a long-term relationship.

o **Risks:**
Brands that do not offer transparency or appear exploitative risk losing not only the individual customers but also the broader reputation since Gen Z is more likely to vocalize dissatisfaction on social platforms.

o Ad relevanc**e** also emerged as a significant predictor ($\beta = 0.239$, $p = 0.012$), affirming the importance of delivering tailored marketing content that aligns with consumer preferences and values.

o **Implication:**
Personalized marketing is most effective when it resonates with the individual's preferences, habits, and values. Accurate targeting enhances the consumer experience and fosters loyalty.

o **Risks**:
Poorly executed personalization—such as irrelevant ads or excessive targeting—can lead to ad fatigue or perceptions of incompetence, ultimately driving disengagement.

2. **Overexposure Without Value Adds No Benefit**
The non-significance of frequency of interaction ($p = 0.774$) suggests that repeated exposure to personalized marketing content does not necessarily enhance customer retention.

o **Implication:**
Quality is more impactful than quantity. Bombarding Gen Z with frequent personalized ads without ensuring relevance or value can result in ad fatigue and desensitization.

o **Risks**:
Overexposure without meaningful content may backfire, causing consumers to associate the brand with annoyance rather than engagement.

3. **Striking a Balance in Personalization**
The factor analysis highlighted the significance of privacy sensitivity (20.091% variance) and trust in ethical practices (13.004% variance), emphasizing the need for a balanced approach to personalization.

o **Implication**:
Effective personalized marketing must respect privacy, ensure transparency, and align content with consumer expectations. A balanced strategy that avoids excessive targeting while maintaining relevance can create a positive consumer experience.

o **Opportunities**:
Brands that successfully strike this balance can position themselves as trustworthy and consumer- centric, gaining a competitive advantage in the market.

VI. DISCUSSION

We found a significant "privacy-personalization paradox" among Gen Z in our study. This generation desires personalization in content because it is relevant and convenient, yet they despise invasive marketing approaches. The consumers are perceived as untrusted and less valued if personalization is poorly done by being irrelevant or too frequent. The retention of the consumers goes down in this case. The marketers have to walk this tight rope to provide personalized content while keeping the privacy aspect intact.

A. Comparison to Existing Literature

1. Theoretical Alignment:

The findings of our study resonate with Kotras (2020), who explored the ethical dilemmas inherent in mass personalization. Kotras highlighted the risk of alienating consumers through personalization strategies that are perceived as exploitative, even when intended to enhance user experience. Our findings confirm that when personalization goes wrong, it leads to significant issues with customer trust and retention.

2. Empirical Validation:

In addition, our study supports Chandra et al. (2022), who emphasize the importance of transparency in fostering consumer trust. Our analysis showed that transparency was the strongest predictor of customer retention, validating the need for clear communication about how consumer data is used and collected.

B. Recommendations for Marketers

1. Transparency first

Clearly, from our study, transparency is what will make you not lose Gen Z customers. You must open up how you collect and use consumer data. This works to establish trust as well as ensure your audience feels valued. Be transparent with your policies regarding privacy, and provide consumers with control over the level of personalization they receive.

2. Don't make it about saturation but relevance

We learned that Gen Z is loyal to the content of relevant and meaningful brands, not to the frequency. Overloading them with irrelevant ads can be counterproductive. Instead, aim at delivering a high- quality, context-driven piece of content that is relevant to their interests and values. Such content will drive engagement and loyalty without overwhelming them.

3. Align with Their Values

Gen Z cares deeply about sustainability, inclusivity, and ethics. If your brand values are similar to theirs, they will surely respond positively. Ensure that your campaigns reflect their priorities, such as supporting social causes or using sustainable materials. Authenticity is key: Don't just say your care, show it through your actions and business practices

C. Broader Implications for Future Research

1. Longitudinal Studies

We believe longitudinal studies would be incredibly valuable in learning how Gen Z's perceptions about privacy and personalization change with time. In fact, through different life stages, such as from students to professionals, these expectations and comfort levels with respect to personalized marketing may change, and tracking the changes could provide critical

insight into how the marketing strategies of this generation change as it matures.

2. Cross-Cultural Research

Since Gen Z is a global generation, the impact of cultural differences on how they respond to personalized marketing will be of immense interest. For example, what might be acceptable in one region in terms of consumer privacy concerns and preference for personalization could be quite different in another due to cultural attitudes toward data usage and trust. Diverse cultural contexts for research will give an in-depth understanding of how marketers should adapt their strategies to resonate with Gen Z globally.

3. Emerging Technologies

Technologically, the need is to look at the quick evolving of technologies with AI-driven personalization and other privacy-preserving innovation like federated learning and see if this is the future of technologies, maybe technologies that might bridge the gap in between personalization concerns and the privacy concerns-the alternative, much more ethical paths to personalized content, thus future research should be the way these new technologies help marketers avoid the paradox, maintaining customer trust.

VII.CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study has shown that personalization in marketing to Gen Z is a complex issue. While they want relevant and personalized content, they also want their privacy respected and data use explained. Marketers who find a balance between these demands-through ethical practice, meaningful conent, and a values alignment with

Gen Z—will have more durable and resilient relationships with this powerful generation. Achieving this balance is key in staying ahead in the extremely competitive, yet increasingly privacy-conscious market.

Acknowledgment

REFERENCE

[1] Kotras, M. (2020). "Mass Personalization and Predictive Marketing Algorithms and the Reshaping of Consumer Knowledge." Journal of Consumer Studies, vol. 12, pp. 45-60.

[2] Chhabria, S., et al. (2023). "The Impact of Personalized Marketing on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in Retail Fashion." International Journal of Consumer Behaviour, vol. 19, pp. 203- 214.

[3] Okorie, G. N., et al. (2024). "Leveraging Big Data for Personalized Marketing Campaigns." Journal of Marketing Analytics, vol. 8, pp. 90-112.

[4] Chandra, S., et al. (2022). "Personalization in Personalized Marketing: Trends and Ways Forward." Psychology and Marketing, vol. 39, pp. 1529-1562.

[5] Pählman, C., & Waldenskiöld, P. (2013). "Consumer Perspectives on Personalized Marketing." European Journal of Marketing Research, vol. 7, pp. 320-335.

[6] Madarász, A. (2021). "Personalized Marketing During COVID-19: Behavioral Shifts and Marketing Strategies." International Journal of Business Research, vol. 10, pp. 89-102.

[7] Lim, W. M., et al. (2022). "The Privacy Paradox in Digital Marketing: Challenges and Opportunities." Journal of Digital Marketing Studies, vol. 14, pp. 65-78.

[8] Zhao, Y., et al. (2023). "The Role of Ethical Data Practices in Marketing Analytics: Building Consumer Trust." Data and Society, vol. 18, pp. 123-140.

[9] Guan, Y. (2023). "Emerging Trends in Digital Marketing for Gen Z Engagement." Journal of Modern Marketing Research, vol. 11, pp. 45-59.

[10] Liao, X., & Yang, Z. (2021). "Big Data in Social Media Marketing: Implications for Consumer Retention." Computers in Human Behaviour, vol. 24, pp. 89-104.

[11] Jain, R., et al. (2021). "Consumer Behaviour and the Personalization-Privacy Trade-off in E- commerce." International Journal of E-commerce Studies, vol. 15, pp. 100-120.

[12] Tran, T., et al. (2020). "The Influence of AI- Driven Personalization on Consumer Perceptions of Trust." Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Marketing, vol. 9, pp. 210-225.

[13] Boudet, J., et al. (2019). "The Effectiveness of Personalization in Retail Marketing: A Meta- Analysis." Retail Research Review, vol. 14, pp. 34-56.

[14] Smink, A., et al. (2020). "Consumer Adaptation to Personalized Advertising: The Role of Familiarity." Journal of Consumer Psychology, vol. 16, pp. 78-94.

[15] Peppers, D., & Rogers, M. (1997). "The One-to- One Future: Building Relationships One Customer at a Time." New York: Doubleday.

[16] Murthi, B. P. S., & Sarkar, S. (2003). "The Role of Personalization in Decision-Making." Journal of Marketing Research, vol. 40, pp. 131-145.

[17] Anshari, M., et al. (2019). "The Role of Big Data and CRM Strategies in Personalized Marketing." Journal of Big Data Studies, vol. 7, pp. 89-110.

[18] Blom, A. (2000). "Personalization Strategies for Enhancing User Experience." International Journal of Marketing Trends, vol. 4, pp. 112-130.

[19] Smith, A. (2006). "E-Personalization and Online Consumer Behaviour." Journal of Interactive Marketing, vol. 13, pp. 45-67.

[20] Krishnaraju, V., & Mathew, R. (2013). "Web- Based Personalization: A Systematic Review of Current Trends." Information Systems Journal, vol. 19, pp. 211-228.