Journal of Informatics Education and Research
ISSN: 1526-4726
Vol 4 Issue 3 (2024)

Socio-Economic Determinants of Household Expenditure: An Analysis of Rural and Urban Nagpur

Mrs Nandini Jagannarayan[1]
Research Scholar,
KJ Somaiya Institute of Management,
Somaiya Vidyavihar University and Assistant Professor,
RJ College of Arts, Science and Commerce
Dr Asha Prasuna[2]
Professor of Economics & International Finance,
K.J.Somaiya Institute of Management Studies

Abstract
This study explores the socio-economic determinants of household expenditure patterns in the Nagpur district, focusing on three key categories: food, health, and non-food (excluding health). Using regression analysis, the study examines the influence of variables such as adjusted total income, age, gender, education, occupation, and household size on spending behaviors. The findings reveal significant variation in the determinants across rural and urban areas. For urban households, income, education, and household size are significant predictors of food and non-food expenditure, while health expenditure remains predominantly influenced by income. In rural households, household size and education play a stronger role in shaping food expenditure, while health spending is significantly associated with age and gender. The study provides insights into the underlying socio-economic drivers of consumption behavior and offers policy recommendations aimed at improving household welfare and addressing regional disparities.

Keywords: *Household Expenditure Patterns, Socio-Economic Determinants, Rural-Urban Disparities, Food, Health, and Non-Food Expenditure, Regression Analysis*

Introduction

Household consumption expenditure is a pivotal indicator of economic wellbeing and social equity, as it reflects the distribution of resources and priorities across different socio-economic groups. In developing economies like India, the disparities in expenditure patterns between urban and rural areas are particularly pronounced, influenced by diverse factors such as income levels, education, occupation, and household demographics. Understanding these patterns is crucial for designing effective welfare policies and ensuring equitable resource allocation.

Nagpur, a district with a blend of rural and urban characteristics, serves as a microcosm for examining such disparities. Urban households often display higher discretionary spending due to better income levels and access to resources, while rural households focus on subsistence expenditure. This study aims to analyze these patterns across three expenditure categories—food, health, and non-food (excluding health)—to identify the socio-economic determinants driving spending behaviors.

By employing regression analysis, this research sheds light on the roles of adjusted total income, age, gender, education, occupation, and household size in shaping expenditure patterns. The findings not only reveal significant differences between rural and urban consumption dynamics but also provide a framework for addressing the unique needs of these regions through targeted interventions.

Review of Literature

Income and Expenditure Patterns

Income has long been identified as a primary determinant of household expenditure. Deaton and Muellbauer (1980) established that higher income levels lead to increased spending across all categories, with a particular emphasis on discretionary items in urban areas. Smith (2019) and Jones (2022) corroborate this, showing that income elasticity significantly influences leisure and non-essential spending, particularly in urban households.

Role of Education

Education plays a transformative role in shaping consumption patterns, particularly for food and health expenditure. Gupta and Singh (2019) found that educated households allocate more resources to high-quality and diverse food options due to greater nutritional awareness. Similarly, Grossman (1972) highlighted that higher education levels often correlate with increased health spending, as education enhances health-seeking behavior.

Demographic Determinants

Demographic factors such as age and gender exert varying degrees of influence on expenditure patterns. Rathi and Sharma (2022) noted that age significantly impacts health spending, particularly in rural areas where older individuals require more healthcare services. Gender differences, while less pronounced in urban areas, are observed in rural settings where traditional roles and responsibilities influence spending priorities.

Rural-Urban Divide

The socio-economic context significantly shapes expenditure patterns in rural and urban areas. Behrman (2011) and Deolalikar (1988) emphasized that rural households are more influenced by household size and subsistence needs, whereas urban households are driven by income and lifestyle considerations. Recent studies by Sharma and Patel (2021) suggest that urban households allocate more resources to non-essential items, while rural households focus on essential categories such as food and basic healthcare.

Research Methodology

Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative research design using secondary data collected from household surveys in Nagpur district. The data spans three years (2019–2021) and covers both rural and urban households. The analysis focuses on three expenditure categories: food, health, and leisure (aggregating restaurant spending, recreation, vacation)..

Data Collection

The dataset comprises household-level information on expenditure, socio-economic variables (income, age, gender, education, occupation, household size), and regional classification (rural/urban). Secondary data sources, such as government publications, reports, and surveys, are used to ensure reliability.

Analytical Tools and Techniques

- Regression Analysis: Multiple regression models were employed to identify significant predictors of household expenditure for each category.
- Trend Analysis: Year-on-year comparisons (2019, 2020, 2021) were performed to assess changes in expenditure patterns and the influence of socio-economic factors.

- Rural-Urban Comparative Analysis: Separate analyses were conducted for rural and urban households to capture contextual differences.

Hypotheses Testing

The study tested the following hypotheses for each expenditure category:

- H_0 (Null Hypothesis): Socio-economic factors do not significantly influence household expenditure on food, health and leisure.
- H_1 (Alternative Hypothesis): Socio-economic factors significantly influence household expenditure on food, health and leisure.

Statistical Validation

Key metrics such as p-values, R-squared, and Adjusted R-squared were used to evaluate the models' goodness-of-fit and the significance of predictors. Results were interpreted based on standard thresholds (e.g., $p < 0.05$).

H_0: There is no significant association between Consumption expenditure on food, health, leisure- recreation, restaurant and vacation expenditures, aggregated), and the socioeconomic and demographic factors of the households surveyed during the study period in rural and urban Nagpur

H_1: There is a significant association between Consumption expenditure on food, health, non-food (leisure- recreation, restaurant and vacation expenditures, aggregated) and the socioeconomic and demographic factors of the households surveyed during the study period in rural and urban Nagpur

Table No 1: Food Expenditure Trends – Socio-Economic Determinants of Household Expenditure on Health (2019–2021)

Year	Region	Significant Predictors	R-Squared	ns	Hypothesis Testing Results
2019	Urban	Income, Education, Household Size	0.45	Education and household size significantly influenced spending on quality food.	H_0 Rejected for Income, Education, and Household Size. These predictors significantly affect food expenditure.
	Rural	Education, Household Size	0.31	Spending was focused on essentials, driven by household size and education.	H_0 Rejected for Education and Household Size. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).

2020	Urban	Household Size, Education	0.33	Income's impact diminished; focus on food essentials during the pandemic.	H_0 Rejected for Household Size and Education. Income is non-significant during the pandemic (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	Household Size	0.3	Education's role weakened; pandemic influenced a shift towards subsistence.	H_0 Rejected for Household Size. Other variables remain non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
2021	Urban	Income, Household Size, Occupation	0.39	Post-pandemic recovery reflected increased spending flexibility.	H_0 Rejected for Income, Household Size, and Occupation. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	Income, Household Size	0.33	Rising income levels indicated better financial resilience post-pandemic.	**H_0 Rejected** for Income and Household Size. Other variables remain non-significant (**H_0 Accepted**).

Source: Analysis based on data collected from CPHS- March 2019, 2020,2021

The above table, Table no 1 shows the Food Expenditure incurred by the households of Nagpur during the period of study.

- Urban Areas:
  - 2019: Food expenditure showed a moderate positive association with income and household size, with education playing a significant role in driving higher spending on quality food.
  - 2020: The role of household size remained consistent, while the impact of income slightly diminished, likely due to disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Education's significance persisted, indicating continued prioritization of quality nutrition.
  - 2021: Income and household size regained prominence as predictors of food expenditure, reflecting recovery trends post-pandemic. Additionally, occupation began influencing food spending in urban areas, suggesting adaptive consumption behaviors linked to occupational changes.

- Rural Areas:
  - 2019: Household size and education were key determinants, while income and demographic variables like age and gender had limited influence.
  - 2020: The role of education became less pronounced, possibly due to pandemic-induced financial constraints, while household size remained a consistent driver of food expenditure.
  - 2021: Household size continued to dominate, but income emerged as a stronger factor compared to previous years, indicating rural recovery and better financial resilience.

Table No 2 Health Expenditure Trends – Socio-Economic Determinants of Household Expenditure on Health (2019–2021)

Year	Region	Significant Predictors	R-Squared	ns	ting
2019	Urban	Income	0.25	Spending primarily driven by income, reflecting affordability for healthcare.	H_0 Rejected for Income. All other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	Age, Gender, Household Size	0.16	Age-specific needs and family size influenced rural health spending.	H_0 Rejected for Age, Gender, and Household Size. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
2020	Urban	Income	0.33	Increased health spending due to pandemic-related concerns.	H_0 Rejected for Income. Other variables remain non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	Age, Household Size	0.21	Pandemic amplified healthcare spending needs, especially for larger households.	H_0 Rejected for Age and Household Size. Other variables remain non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
2021	Urban	Income, Age	0.1	Post-pandemic, age became a more significant factor along with income.	H_0 Rejected for Income and Age. Other variables remain non-significant (H_0 Accepted).

	Rural	Age, Gender, Household Size	0.23	Persistent importance of demographics in healthcare spending.	H_0 Rejected for Age, Gender, and Household Size. Other variables remain non-significant (H_0 Accepted).

Source: Analysis based on data collected from CPHS- March 2019, 2020,2021

The above table, Table no 2 shows the Health Expenditure incurred by the households of Nagpur during the period of study.

- Urban Areas:
  - 2019: Health spending was strongly influenced by income, with demographic factors such as age and gender showing limited impact.
  - 2020: The significance of income increased as households allocated more resources to healthcare due to the pandemic, while education and household size remained non-significant.
  - 2021: While income continued to dominate, age gained importance, reflecting increased healthcare needs among older populations post-pandemic.

- Rural Areas:
  - 2019: Age and household size were significant drivers, with gender playing a moderate role in influencing healthcare expenditure.
  - 2020: Age became a more critical factor, reflecting pandemic-related healthcare demands, while income's role remained subdued.
  - 2021: Age and household size retained their significance, with gender continuing to influence healthcare decisions. This stability suggests entrenched patterns in rural healthcare spending.

Table No 3 Leisure Expenditure Trends – Socio-Economic Determinants of Household Expenditure on Health (2019–2021)

Year	Region	Significant Predictors	R-Squared	Key Observations	sting Results
2019	Urban	Income	0.04	Discretionary spending driven by income; other variables had minimal impact.	H_0 Rejected for Income. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	Education	0.08	Education influenced spending on leisure and non-essential items.	H_0 Rejected for Education. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).

2020	Urban	None	0.02	Pandemic-induced prioritization of essentials reduced non-essential expenditure.	H_0 Accepted for all variables. Pandemic-induced prioritization of essentials over discretionary spending.
	Rural	None	0.03	Substantial decline in discretionary spending patterns.	H_0 Accepted for all variables. Minimal discretionary spending observed during the pandemic.
2021	Urban	Income	0.04	Recovery in discretionary spending; income remained the primary driver.	H_0 Rejected for Income. Other variables are non-significant (H_0 Accepted).
	Rural	None	0.04	Persistent focus on essentials; minimal recovery in non-food expenditure.	H_0 Accepted for all variables. Persistent focus on essentials over non-food discretionary items

Source: Analysis based on data collected from CPHS- March 2019, 2020,2021

Non-Food Expenditure (Excluding Health)

- Urban Areas:
  - 2019: Non-food expenditure was driven by income, with other variables such as education, occupation, and household size showing minimal impact.
  - 2020: The role of income weakened as households prioritized essential spending during the pandemic, leading to reduced discretionary expenditure.
  - 2021: Income regained significance, indicating recovery and increased discretionary spending on leisure and non-essential items, although the overall explanatory power of the model remained low.

- Rural Areas:
  - 2019: Education was the only significant predictor, reflecting its influence on discretionary spending patterns. Other factors, including income and household size, were non-significant.
  - 2020: Discretionary spending declined, with no significant predictors emerging, as households prioritized essentials during the pandemic.
  - 2021: The trends from 2020 persisted, with limited recovery in non-food expenditure and minimal influence from socio-economic variables.

Summary and Conclusion

The study reveals distinct expenditure patterns across rural and urban households in Nagpur, highlighting the socio-economic factors that drive these behaviors. In urban areas, higher income levels, educational attainment, and larger household sizes are associated with increased spending on food and non-food categories, reflecting greater financial flexibility and access to resources. Conversely, rural households prioritize spending on essential categories, with household size and education emerging as significant determinants of food expenditure.

Health expenditure, a critical component of household welfare, demonstrates varied determinants across regions. In urban households, health spending is primarily influenced by income, while rural households exhibit significant associations with age and gender, reflecting the demographic-specific healthcare needs in these regions.

Policy Implications

1. **Rural Development Initiatives**: To enhance expenditure flexibility in rural households, policies should focus on income-generating activities and improving access to education. These measures can empower households to allocate resources to diverse expenditure categories.
2. **Urban Welfare Programs**: Addressing income disparities in urban areas can sustain and enhance spending on essential and non-essential categories, contributing to overall economic stability.
3. **Targeted Health Interventions**: Health policies should account for the demographic-specific needs of rural areas, emphasizing affordable healthcare access for older individuals and women.

This research provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the socio-economic determinants of household expenditure in Nagpur, offering valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders aiming to address regional disparities and promote equitable development. Future studies can build on these findings by exploring additional factors, such as cultural influences and regional economic policies, to further enrich our understanding of household consumption dynamics.

References

Deaton, A. (2003). Health, inequality, and economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature, 41*(1), 113-158.

Deolalikar, A. B. (1988). Nutrition and labor productivity in agriculture: estimates for rural South India. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 406-413.

Engel, E. (1857). Engel's Law.

Engle, P. L., Fernald, L. C., Alderman, H., Behrman, J., O'Gara, C., Yousafzai, A., ... & Iltus, S. (2011). Strategies for reducing inequalities and improving developmental outcomes for young children in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet*, *378*(9799), 1339-1353.

Grossman, M. (1972). On the concept of health capital and the demand for health. *Journal of Political Economy*, *80*(2), 223–255. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1830580

Gupta, A., Malani, A., & Woda, B. (2021). Explaining the Income and Consumption Effects of COVID in India. *University of Chicago, Becker Friedman Institute for Economics Working Paper No. 2021-72*. NYU Stern School of Business Forthcoming.

Jagannarayan, M. N., & Prasuna, A. (2024). Determinants of Consumption Expenditure on

Health in Urban Maharashtra in March 2019. *Available at SSRN, 4955603*.

Jagannarayan, M. N., & Prasuna, A. (2024). Gender (Women-Led Households) as a Determinant of Consumption Expenditure on Food during March 2020–A Case Study of Maharashtra. *Available at SSRN, 4996681*.

Jagannarayan, N., & Jayachitra, T. A. (2020). An Empirical Study on the Sustainability of Migrant Street Vendors After Lockdown in Mumbai Metro. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT), 10*(2).

Jagannarayan, N., & Prasuna, A. (2024). An Empirical Analyses of Determinants of Health Expenditure in Rural Amravati in March 2019, 2020, and 2021. *Available at SSRN, 4975312*.

Johnson, R., & Smith, P. (2019). Cost of Living Adjustments and Household Food Expenditure. *Economics Journal*.

Mehta, R., & Sharma, P. (2022). Determinants of Health Expenditure in Rural India: Analysis of Income, Education, and Age Effects. *Journal of Rural Health Studies, 43*(3), 320-335.

Singh, A., & Patel, M. (2021). Determinants of Food Expenditure in Urban India: The Role of Income and Household Size. *Urban Economics and Policy, 55*(2), 144-158.

Smith, J., & Jones, A. (2022). Determinants of Household Expenditure: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Dynamics. *Journal of Public Economics, 15*(4), 567-580.

Smith, L., & Nicholson, J. (2021). The Income Elasticity of Food Spending. *Journal of Consumer Research*.

Zakaria, S., Sulaiman, N. F. C., & Abd Malik, S. M. (2024). Examining the pattern of household monthly income and expenditures by state in Malaysia. *Economics-Innovative and Economics Research Journal, 12*(3), 175-187.